
# The New York State School Report Card for Monroe Woodbury Middle School in Monroe-Woodbury Central School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



February 2000



The University of the State of New York
The State Education Department

March 6, 2000

# THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, Chancellor, A.B., J.D.	. Elmira
DIANE O'NEILL McGIVERN, Vice Chancellor, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	. Staten Island
J. EDWARD MEYER, B.A., LL.B.	. Chappaqua
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, B.A., M.A., P.D.	. Hollis
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	. New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	. Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S.	. Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	. Lloyd Harbor
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	. Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A.	. New York
HAROLD O. LEVY, B.S., M.A. (Oxon.), J.D.	. New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	. Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	. Belle Harbor
RICARDO E. OQUENDO, B.A., J.D.	. Bronx
ELEANOR P. BARTLETT, B.A., M.A.	. Albany
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	. Buffalo

## President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

# **Chief Operating Officer**

RICHARD H. CATE

**Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education** James A. Kadamus

# Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services

CHARLES SZUBERLA

### Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services

MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including Braille, large print or audiotape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 152, Education Building, Albany, N.Y.


e-mail: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team Room 863 Education Building Annex New York State Education Department Albany, NY 12234

# Grade 8

# **English Language Arts**



### Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

English language learners (ELL), formerly referred to as limited English proficient (LEP), are students for whom English is a second language. Schools assist these students in learning English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 8 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 8	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress
June 1999	2	#

<sup>\*</sup> Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the School Profile page of this report. Further explanation is available at <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html</a>.

comprehension.

<sup>1</sup> These students with disabilities were exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


<sup>2</sup> These students were not required to take the grade 8 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment. Other grade 8 ELL students must take this test.

<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

# Grade 8

# **Mathematics**



	Performance	Counts of Students						Maan		
	This School	Not Tostad		Tested				Mean Score		
ai	This School	IEP <sup>1</sup>	ELL <sup>2</sup>	Absent <sup>3</sup>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total	Score
	General Education		0	1	8	105	220	68	401	732
June 1999	Special Education	0	0	1	25	37	10	1	73	691
1999	All Students	0	0	2	33	142	230	69	474	726

# Grade 8 Mathematics Levels - Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem Solving Standards

Graue o	Grade o Mathematics Levels - Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem Solving Standards					
<b>Level 4</b> (760-882)	These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination. They show superior knowledge and skill for each key idea for intermediate students, and proficient commencement-level skills. They consistently demonstrate proficiency and accuracy in processes and solutions. They clearly communicate mathematical insights, use a wide range of mathematical problem-solving strategies, and identify the most efficient means to a solution.					
Level 3 (716-759)  These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the F examination. They show knowledge and skill for each key idea for intermediate students, and commencement-level skills. They use prime numbers, factors, rational numbers; apply formulas; visualized immensional shapes; understand and use the Pythagorean theorem and trigonometric functions.						
<b>Level 2</b> (681-715)	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show some knowledge and skill for each key idea for intermediate students. They use basic reasoning, understand percentages, visualize two-dimensional shapes, understand properties of polygons and use patterns.					
<b>Level 1</b> (517-680)	These students have serious academic deficiencies. They show no evidence of proficiency in one or more of the key ideas for intermediate students and incomplete proficiency in all seven key ideas. They can use variables and make and use simple measurements. They may use simple operations and understand simple graphical displays. They can identify some patterns and functions.					

<sup>\*</sup> Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the **School** Profile page of this report. Further explanation is available at <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html</a>

<sup>1</sup> These students with disabilities are exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

<sup>2</sup> These students are not required to take this test because they are English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there is no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

# **School Profile**

Principal:	Mr. Joseph Fra	Phone	e: (914)928-2321	
Organization 1998-99  Grade Student Enrollment		Sch	nool Staff <sup>1</sup> (both full- and part-t	ime)
		Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals	Count of Paraprofessionals
7-8	971	74	7	19

1997-98 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil	\$10,097
--	----------

Student Enrollment						
Grade Level	October 1998	Grade Level	October 1998			
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	492			
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	479			
Grade 1	0	Grade 9	0			
Grade 2	0	Grade 10	0			
Grade 3	0	Grade 11	0			
Grade 4	0	Grade 12	0			
Grade 5	0	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	0			
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	0			

Student Demographics Used To	1996-97		1997-98		1998-99	
Determine Similar School Groups	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Language Learners	5	0.6%	5	0.5%	5	0.5%
Eligible For Free Lunch	26	3.0%	30	3.3%	41	4.2%

Similar Schools Group	This school is in Similar Schools Group 31. All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.

# School Accountability Measures

The Regents expect schools to meet or exceed 90 percent on each benchmark shown here. Regulations require school districts to develop and implement local assistance plans (LAP) to bring every public school up to these levels. These plans must include activities to improve the performance of all students, including students with disabilities. LAP for district public schools are available for public review at the district office.

All students continuously enrolled from October until the date of State testing at this school are included in these measures. Students with disabilities are included<sup>1</sup>. Also, schools with fewer than 20 students in a grade may combine results for three consecutive years to reduce the impact of small-population variability. Local school officials have this information.

### English Language Arts Performance of Students in Grades 4 and 8 for 1998-99

		ously Enrolled Students To Take State ELA Tests	English Language I Reported Using Alternativ		Benchmark
	Tested	At Or Above Level 2 In English Language Arts	English Language Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Satisfactory Progress	Percentage
	(a) (b)		(c)	(d)	(b+d)/(a+c)
Grade 4					NA
Grade 8	464	#	2	#	97%

### Mathematics Performance of Students in Grades 4 and 8 for 1998-99

	Continuous	Benchmark Percentage	
	Tested		
	(a)	(b)	(b/a)
Grade 4			NA
Grade 8	467	438	94%

### Demonstrated Competency of Students in Grade 11 for 1998-99

Competency Area	Grade 11 Enrollment June 1999	Regents Level (higher)	RCT Level (lower)	Benchmark Percentage <sup>2</sup>
Alea	(a)	(b)	(c)	(b+c)/a
Reading				NA
Writing				NA
Mathematics				NA

441201-06-0009 March 06, 2000 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All students with disabilities who are enrolled in this school are included in these measures along with general education students, regardless of classroom environment and level of special education services. These calculations match those used to identify the schools farthest from State standards [potential schools under registration review (SURR)] and Title I schools that are making adequate yearly progress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The State data system does not separate these Grade 11 results based on duration of enrollment. Some schools with high mobility grade 11 populations may not have their LAP status correctly identified in this report. These numbers are, however, indicative of performance in all schools.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when there are fewer than five students in a grade tested or enrolled. If fewer than five English language learners were reported (English Language Arts Performance table), the counts are replaced by the pound character (#).