
441000-01-0014

The New York State School Report Card for Monhagen Middle School in Middletown City School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



February 2001



The University of the State of New York The State Education Department

March 18, 2001

THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, Chancellor, A.B., J.D.	Elmira
DIANE O'NEILL MCGIVERN, Vice Chancellor, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	Staten Island
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, B.A., M.A., P.D.	Hollis
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S	Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	Lloyd Harbor
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	Syracuse
Merryl H. Tisch, B.A., M.A.	New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
RICARDO E. OQUENDO, B.A., J.D.	Bronx
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	Buffalo
CHARLOTTE K. FRANK, B.B.A., M.S.Ed., Ph.D.	New York
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3 rd , B.A., M.S.F.S.	Hartsdale

President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

Chief Operating Officer

RICHARD H. CATE

Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education JAMES A. KADAMUS

Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services

CHARLES SZUBERLA

Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services

MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 152, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team Room 863 Education Building Annex New York State Education Department Albany, NY 12234

e-mail: <u>RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov</u>

Grade 8 English Language Arts Grade 8 English Language Arts Performance (All Students: General Education and Special Education) 45% 47% 50% 47%49% 40% 35% 40% ^{35%}31% 30% **1999** 20% 10% 2000 7% 6% 4% 10% 0% Level 2 Level 3 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Level 1 Similar Schools* **This School**

	Porfo	counts of Students				Mean Score					
		Not Tested			Tested						
at	at This School IEP ¹ ELL ² Absent ³ Level 1 Level 2		Level 2	Level 3 Level 4 Total			Score				
	Ge	neral Education		2	5	10	78	70	13	171	701
June	Sp	ecial Education	0	2	7	12	18	2	0	32	665
1999		All Students	0	4	12	22	96	72	13	203	695
	Ge	neral Education		0	9	20	95	68	9	192	694
May	Sp	ecial Education	0	0	1	17	18	4	0	39	666
2000		All Students	0	0	10	37	113	72	9	231	689
Grad	e 8	English La	nguage	e Arts	Levels -	Listeni	ng, Read	ling, and	Writing	Standa	ards
Level (739-83 Level (701-73	30) 3	some commence level written and sophisticated and These studer examination. level skills in list text. Students' w	nd oral te ad effectiv Ints mee They sho	ext. Studen re language t the sta ow knowled eading and	ts' writing is and few or ndards and dge and skill writing. Stu	d, with co for each st dents show	y well organi spelling, gram ontinued st andard for int general unde	ized, insightfu mar, or punct eady growt termediate str erstanding of	ul, and thorou tuation. :h, should udents and so intermediate-	pass the ome comme level writter	Regents encement- and oral
Level 2 (662-700) These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show some knowledge and skill for each standard for intermediate students. Students show partial understanding of intermediate-level written and oral text. Students' writing has some focus and basic organization and uses simple sentences and vocabulary. Errors sometimes interfere with comprehension.											
Level (527-66		These studen of the standard show minimal uses repetitive and comprehe	ds for in understa stateme	termediate	e students intermediat	and incomp e-level writ	olete proficie ten and ora	ency in all th I text. Stude	nree of the sents' writing	standards. is brief, ge	Students eneral, or

Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

ELL are students for whom English is a second language. Schools teach these students English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 8 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 8	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress
June 2000	0	0

* Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. Further explanation is available at http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html.

1 These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

2 These students were not required to take the grade 8 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30th percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

60%

50%

40%

30%

20%

10%

0%

16%

Level 1


11%

8% 7%

Level 4

Grade 8

Mathematics



^{*} Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the **School** Profile page of this report. Further explanation is available at <u>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html</u>

¹ These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

² These students are not required to take this test because they are English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there is no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

³ These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics assessment.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

School Profile

Principal: Mr. Rich	ard Delmoro		Phone: (845)346-4800			
Organization 1999-2000		School Staff ¹ (both	School Staff ¹ (both full- and part-time)			
Grade Range Student Enrollment		Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals			
6-8	700	55	7			

1998-99 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil

\$11,860

Student Enrollment						
Grade Level	October 1999	Grade Level	October 1999			
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	196			
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	246			
Grade 1	0	Grade 9	0			
Grade 2	0	Grade 10	0			
Grade 3	0	Grade 11	0			
Grade 4	0	Grade 12	0			
Grade 5	0	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	7			
Grade 6	234	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	17			

Student Demographics Used To	199	7-98	1998	8-99	1999-	2000
Determine Similar School Groups	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Language Learners	10	1.5%	20	3.1%	36	5.1%
Eligible For Free Lunch	247	37.5%	249	38.4%	223	31.9%

¹ Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.

System of Accountability for Student Success (SASS)

This section presents this school's results relative to Commissioner's standards for school performance. To raise performance, the Commissioner has established State standards for schools for each school year through 2002-2003. Each fall the Commissioner will announce State performance standards for schools for one additional school year.

Schools are expected to meet or exceed the Commissioner's standards. School districts must develop and implement local assistance plans (LAP) to bring every public school up to these levels. Schools that fall below a standard are assigned adequate yearly progress (AYP) targets. This school-specific program of attainable goals is intended to allow schools below standard to "compete against themselves" by showing adequate progress towards closing the gap between their baseline performance and the State standard.

A Performance Index is used to assess school performance relative to elementary- and middle-level State standards for English language arts and for mathematics. The Performance Index credits each school for the students demonstrating some of the Learning Standards (Level 2) and for the students demonstrating all of the assessed Learning Standards (Level 3 and Level 4). Students at the higher levels contribute more to the Performance Index than do Level 2 students. Level 1 performance gives no credit. In its simplest form, the Performance Index is the sum of the percentage of students performing at or above Level 2 plus the percentage of students performing at or above Level 3.

More information about these accountability statistics is available at the State Education Department Web site (<u>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001</u>). There you can find the rules for which students' results are included in the Performance Index, for how the performance of English language learners is included, for how the baseline numbers are calculated, for accountability for very small schools, and for when and how adequate yearly progress targets are assigned.


Middle-Level School Performance

The Performance Index used to measure elementary school performance is computed using aggregate results of continuously enrolled students on the State Grade 8 assessments.

Performance Index	200 180 160 140 120 100 80		¢	<u> </u>		
	60 —	1998-99	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
School Perfo	rmance	134	119			
	Target		136	137	139	140
-A-State Standa	rd		140	140	145	150
School Base	line	134	126			

English Language Arts Performance of Students in Grade 8

This school did not achieve the State standard, and has not made adequate yearly progress. The 1999-00 school performance index is based on results for 229 students. The 1999-00 school baseline combines results for 1998-99 and 1999-00 and so reflects the performance of 423 students.



Mathematics Performance of Students in Grade 8