140600-01-0126

The New York State School Report Card for Stanley Makowski Early Childhood Center in Buffalo City School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



February 2000

The University of the State of New York
The State Education Department

March 6, 2000

THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, *Chancellor*, A.B., J.D. ..... Elmira
DIANE O'NEILL MCGIVERN, *Vice Chancellor*, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D. ..... Staten Island
J. EDWARD MEYER, B.A., LL.B. ..... Chappaqua
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, B.A., M.A., P.D. ..... Hollis
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D. ..... New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D. ..... Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S. ..... Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D. ..... Lloyd Harbor
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D. ..... Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A. ..... New York
HAROLD O. LEVY, B.S., M.A. (Oxon.), J.D. ..... New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D. ..... Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D. ..... Belle Harbor
RICARDO E. OQUENDO, B.A., J.D. ..... Bronx
ELEANOR P. BARTLETT, B.A., M.A. ..... Albany
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B. ..... Buffalo

President of The University and Commissioner of Education
RICHARD P. MILLS

Chief Operating Officer
RICHARD H. CATE

Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education
JAMES A. KADAMUS

Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services
CHARLES SZUBERLA

Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services
MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including Braille, large print or audiotape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 152, Education Building, Albany, N.Y.

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator
Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team
Room 863 Education Building Annex
New York State Education Department
Albany, NY 12234

e-mail: ***RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov***

Grade 4

English Language Arts



Performance at This School		Counts of Students								Mean Score
		Not Tested			Tested					
		IEP[1]	ELL[2]	Absent[3]	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total	
Jan 1999	General Education		11	13	38	59	14	2	113	614
	Special Education	1	1	8	7	16	1	0	24	611
	All Students	**1**	**12**	**21**	**45**	**75**	**15**	**2**	**137**	**613**

Grade 4 English Language Arts Levels – Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards

Level 4 (692-800)	**These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.** They show superior knowledge and skill for each standard for elementary students, and proficient intermediate-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show thorough understanding of written and oral text. Students' writing is well organized, with minor errors that do not interfere with readability.
Level 3 (645-691)	**These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.** They show knowledge and skill for each standard for elementary students, and show some intermediate-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show understanding of written and oral text. Students' writing is generally focused and organized, with minor errors that do not interfere with readability.
Level 2 (603-644)	**These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.** They show some knowledge and skill for each standard for elementary students, but none of the intermediate-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show partial understanding of written and oral text. Students' writing has some focus and basic organization and uses simple sentences and vocabulary. Errors sometimes interfere with readability.
Level 1 (455-602)	**These students have serious academic deficiencies.** They show no evidence of any proficiency in one or more of the elementary standards and incomplete proficiency in all three of the standards. Students show minimal understanding of written and oral text. Students' writing is brief, general, or uses repetitive statements, and reveals difficulty in organizing thoughts. Errors interfere with readability.

Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

English language learners (ELL), formerly referred to as limited English proficient (LEP), are students for whom English is a second language. Schools assist these students in learning English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 4 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 4	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress
January 1999	12	7

* Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the School Profile page of this report. Further explanation is available at http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html.

1 These students with disabilities were exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

2 These students were not required to take the grade 4 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30th percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment. Other grade 4 ELL students must take this test.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Grade 4

Mathematics

Grade 4 Mathematics Performance in June 1999
(All Students: General Education and Special Education)



Performance at This School		Counts of Students								Mean Score
		Not Tested			Tested					
		IEP[1]	ELL[2]	Absent[3]	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total	
June 1999	General Education		0	4	21	47	51	8	127	632
	Special Education	0	0	0	6	11	10	0	27	625
	All Students	**0**	**0**	**4**	**27**	**58**	**61**	**8**	**154**	**631**

Grade 4 Mathematics Levels – Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem Solving Standards

Level 4 (678-810)	**These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.** They show superior knowledge and skill for each key idea for elementary students, and proficient knowledge and skills for each key idea for intermediate students. They work with decimals and use percentages; measure length, area, and volume; and apply concepts of probability. They analyze situations, explain reasoning, and draw conclusions.
Level 3 (637-677)	**These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.** They show knowledge and skill for each key idea for elementary students, and some knowledge and skills for each key idea for intermediate students. They have a basic understanding of real world data, use appropriate units of measure, and understand fundamentals of geometry. They can justify a reasonable solution.
Level 2 (602-636)	**These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.** They show some knowledge and skill for each key idea for elementary students, but no knowledge and skills for the key ideas for intermediate students. They use basic mathematics facts, work with whole numbers, and identify units of measurement. They can use manipulatives to solve for an unknown.
Level 1 (448-601)	**These students have serious academic deficiencies.** They show no evidence of proficiency in one or more of the elementary key ideas and incomplete proficiency in all seven key ideas. They know some basic addition and subtraction, how to count to find answers, and understand that some events are more likely than others.

* Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the **School Profile** page of this report. Further explanation is available at http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html.

1 These students with disabilities were exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

2 These students were not required to take this test because they were English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there was no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of this mathematics assessment.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

School Profile

Principal: Mr. Bernard Youra				Phone: (716)888-2007
Organization 1998-99	School Staff[1] (both full- and part-time)			
Grade Range	Student Enrollment	Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals	Count of Paraprofessionals
K-4	991	64	3	36

1997-98 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil	$9,451

Student Enrollment			
Grade Level	October 1998	Grade Level	October 1998
Pre-Kindergarten	92	Grade 7	0
Kindergarten	198	Grade 8	0
Grade 1	211	Grade 9	0
Grade 2	196	Grade 10	0
Grade 3	184	Grade 11	0
Grade 4	158	Grade 12	0
Grade 5	0	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	44
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	0

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar School Groups	1996-97		1997-98		1998-99	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Language Learners	74	9.6%	70	8.3%	104	9.6%
Eligible For Free Lunch	467	67.9%	533	69.7%	764	77.1%

Similar Schools Group	This school is in Similar Schools Group 5. All schools in this group are elementary level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for elementary level schools in these districts.

[1] Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.

School Accountability Measures

The Regents expect schools to meet or exceed 90 percent on each benchmark shown here. Regulations require school districts to develop and implement local assistance plans (LAP) to bring every public school up to these levels. These plans must include activities to improve the performance of all students, including students with disabilities. LAP for district public schools are available for public review at the district office.

All students continuously enrolled from October until the date of State testing at this school are included in these measures. Students with disabilities are included[1]. Also, schools with fewer than 20 students in a grade may combine results for three consecutive years to reduce the impact of small-population variability. Local school officials have this information.

English Language Arts Performance of Students in Grades 4 and 8 for 1998-99

	Continuously Enrolled Students Required To Take State ELA Tests		English Language Learners Reported Using Alternative Measure		Benchmark Percentage
	Tested	At Or Above Level 2 In English Language Arts	English Language Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Satisfactory Progress	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(b+d)/(a+c)
Grade 4	133	89	12	7	66%
Grade 8					NA

Mathematics Performance of Students in Grades 4 and 8 for 1998-99

	Continuously Enrolled Students		Benchmark Percentage
	Tested	At Or Above Level 2 In Math	
	(a)	(b)	(b/a)
Grade 4	149	124	83%
Grade 8			NA

Demonstrated Competency of Students in Grade 11 for 1998-99

Competency Area	Grade 11 Enrollment June 1999 (a)	Regents Level (higher) (b)	RCT Level (lower) (c)	Benchmark Percentage[2] (b+c)/a
Reading				NA
Writing				NA
Mathematics				NA

[1] All students with disabilities who are enrolled in this school are included in these measures along with general education students, regardless of classroom environment and level of special education services. These calculations match those used to identify the schools farthest from State standards [potential schools under registration review (SURR)] and Title I schools that are making adequate yearly progress.

[2] The State data system does not separate these Grade 11 results based on duration of enrollment. Some schools with high mobility grade 11 populations may not have their LAP status correctly identified in this report. These numbers are, however, indicative of performance in all schools.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when there are fewer than five students in a grade tested or enrolled. If fewer than five English language learners were reported (English Language Arts Performance table), the counts are replaced by the pound character (#).