
220701-04-0002

# The New York State School Report Card for Cape Vincent Elementary School in Thousand I slands Central School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



February 2001



The University of the State of New York The State Education Department

March 17, 2001

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, Chancellor, A.B., J.D.	Elmira
DIANE O'NEILL MCGIVERN, Vice Chancellor, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	Staten Island
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, B.A., M.A., P.D.	Hollis
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S.	Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	Lloyd Harbor
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	Syracuse
Merryl H. Tisch, B.A., M.A.	New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
RICARDO E. OQUENDO, B.A., J.D.	Bronx
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	Buffalo
CHARLOTTE K. FRANK, B.B.A., M.S.Ed., Ph.D.	New York
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3 <sup>rd</sup> , B.A., M.S.F.S.	Hartsdale

President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

#### **Chief Operating Officer**

RICHARD H. CATE

**Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education** JAMES A. KADAMUS

#### Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services

CHARLES SZUBERLA

#### Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services


MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 152, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team Room 863 Education Building Annex New York State Education Department Albany, NY 12234

e-mail: <u>RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov</u>



#### Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

ELL are students for whom English is a second language. Schools teach these students English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 4 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 4	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress		
February 2000	0	0		

\* Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. Further explanation is available at <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html</a>.

1 These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

2 These students were not required to take the grade 4 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

# Grade 4

#### Mathematics

Grade 4 Mathematics Performance											
(All Students: General Education and Special Education)											
70% · 60% ·	]			67%			50% ] 50% ]		50%	56%	
50% ·	4		44%				40% -				
40% ·	-			33	%		+0 /% - 30% -			29%	
30% ·	-	22% 22	%					18%	19%		23%
20% -	1				11% <sub>[</sub>	1998-99	20% -	au 🗌			
۰ 10% ۰ %0	0%	0%					10% - 3%	2%			
0% ·	Le	vel 1 Level 2	2 Lev	vel3 L	evel 4	1999-00	0% +	el 1 Leve	el 2 Leve	el 3 Le	vel 4
		Th	is Schoo	4				Si	nilar Schoo	ls*	
			13 001100	,				-			
E	orfo	rmance				Counts	s of Studer	nts			Maan
-		s School	Not Tested					Tested			Mean Score
a		501001	IEP <sup>1</sup>	ELL <sup>2</sup>	Absent <sup>3</sup>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total	00010
June		neral Education		0	0	0	4	8	9	21	668
June 1999	Sp	ecial Education	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	6	641
		All Students	0	0	0	0	6	12	9	27	662
Мау	General Education			0	1	#	#	#	#	26	#
2000	Special Education		1	0	0	#	#	#	#	1	#
		All Students	1	0	1	0	6	18	3	27	650
Grad	e 4	Mathemat	ics Le	vels – H	Knowledg	ge, Reas	soning, a	nd Probl	em-Solvi	ng Stan	dards
Level 4 (678-810) These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination. They show superior knowledge and skill for each key idea for elementary students and proficient knowledge and skills for some key ideas for intermediate students. They work with decimals and use percentages; measure length, area, and volume; and apply concepts of probability. They analyze situations, explain reasoning, and draw conclusions.											
Level 3 (637-677) These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination. They show knowledge and skill for each key idea for elementary students and some knowledge and skills for each key idea for intermediate students. They have a basic understanding of real world data, use appropriate units of measure and understand fundamentals of geometry. They can justify a reasonable solution.											
Level 2 (602-636) These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show some knowledge and skill for each key idea for elementary students but no knowledge and skills for the key ideas for intermediate students. They use basic mathematics facts, work with whole numbers, and identify units of measurement. They can use manipulatives to solve for an unknown.											
<b>Level</b> (448-60		These studen the elementary subtraction, ho	v key idea	as and inc	omplete pro	oficiency in	all seven ke	y ideas. The	y know some	e basic add	

<sup>\*</sup> Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the **School Profile** page of this report. Further explanation is available at <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html</a>.

<sup>1</sup> These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


<sup>2</sup> These students were not required to take this test because they were English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there was no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of this mathematics assessment.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

### Grade 4

#### Science



<sup>\*</sup> Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the **School Profile** page of this report. Further explanation is available at <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001/similar.html</a>.

<sup>1</sup> These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

<sup>2</sup> These students were not required to take this test because they were English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there was no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but did not complete any part of this science assessment.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

# **School Profile**

Principal: Mr. Lars Hauck Phone: (315)654-2142						
Organization 1999-2000		School Staff <sup>1</sup> (both full- and part-time)				
Grade Range Student Enrollment		Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals			
K-5	127	10	2			

#### 1998-99 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil

\$10,917

Student Enrollment							
Grade Level	October 1999	Grade Level	October 1999				
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	0				
Kindergarten	15	Grade 8	0				
Grade 1	20	Grade 9	0				
Grade 2	18	Grade 10	0				
Grade 3	19	Grade 11	0				
Grade 4	28	Grade 12	0				
Grade 5	27	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	0				
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	0				

Student Demographics Used To	199	1997-98		1998-99		1999-2000	
Determine Similar School Groups	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
English Language Learners	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	
Eligible For Free Lunch	34	27.2%	17	15.5%	20	15.8%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.

# System of Accountability for Student Success (SASS)

This section presents this school's results relative to Commissioner's standards for school performance. To raise performance, the Commissioner has established State standards for schools for each school year through 2002-2003. Each fall the Commissioner will announce State performance standards for schools for one additional school vear.


Schools are expected to meet or exceed the Commissioner's standards. School districts must develop and implement local assistance plans (LAP) to bring every public school up to these levels. Schools that fall below a standard are assigned adequate yearly progress (AYP) targets. This school-specific program of attainable goals is intended to allow schools below standard to "compete against themselves" by showing adequate progress towards closing the gap between their baseline performance and the State standard.

A Performance Index is used to assess school performance relative to elementary- and middle-level State standards for English language arts and for mathematics. The Performance Index credits each school for the students demonstrating some of the Learning Standards (Level 2) and for the students demonstrating all of the assessed Learning Standards (Level 3 and Level 4). Students at the higher levels contribute more to the Performance Index than do Level 2 students, Level 1 performance gives no credit. In its simplest form, the Performance Index is the sum of the percentage of students performing at or above Level 2 plus the percentage of students performing at or above Level 3.

More information about these accountability statistics is available at the State Education Department Web site (http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2001). There you can find the rules for which students' results are included in the Performance Index, for how the performance of English language learners is included, for how the baseline numbers are calculated, for accountability for very small schools, and for when and how adequate yearly progress targets are assigned.

### Elementary-Level School Performance

The Performance Index used to measure elementary school performance is computed using aggregate results of continuously enrolled students on the State Grade 4 assessments.



#### English Language Arts Performance of Students in Grade 4

The 1999-00 school baseline combines results for 1998-99 and 1999-00 and so reflects the performance of 52 students.

#### 200 180 160 ≁ 1**40** $\Delta$ Performance A 120 Index 100 80 60 1998-99 1999-00 2000-01 2001-02 2002-03 178 School Performance 176 ↔ School AYP Target A State Standard 140 150 140 145 **School Baseline** 176 177 This school achieved the State standard. The 1999-00 school performance index is based on results for 27 students. The 1999-00 school baseline combines results for 1998-99 and 1999-00 and so reflects the performance of 52 students.

#### Mathematics Performance of Students in Grade 4