462001-06-0000

The New York State School District Report Card for Phoenix Central School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



February 2001



The University of the State of New York The State Education Department

March 18, 2001

THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, Chancellor, A.B., J.D.	Elmira
DIANE O'NEILL MCGIVERN, Vice Chancellor, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	Staten Island
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, B.A., M.A., P.D.	Hollis
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S	Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	Lloyd Harbor
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	Syracuse
Merryl H. Tisch, B.A., M.A.	New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
RICARDO E. OQUENDO, B.A., J.D.	Bronx
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	Buffalo
CHARLOTTE K. FRANK, B.B.A., M.S.Ed., Ph.D.	New York
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3 rd , B.A., M.S.F.S.	Hartsdale

President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

Chief Operating Officer

RICHARD H. CATE

Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education JAMES A. KADAMUS

Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services

CHARLES SZUBERLA

Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services

MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 152, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team Room 863 Education Building Annex New York State Education Department Albany, NY 12234

e-mail: <u>RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov</u>

Grade 4 English Language Arts Grade 4 English Language Arts Performance (All Students: General Education and Special Education) ^{45%}41% 50% 43% 50% 43% 44% 41% 37% 40% 40% 31% 30% 30% 16% 20% 20% 13% 11% 9% 9% 6% 1999 6% 5% 10% 10% 2000 0% 0% Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 l evel 1 Level 2 Level 3 l evel 4 Level 1 This District **NY State Public Counts of Students** Performance Mean Tested Not Tested Score for This District IEP¹ ELL^2 Absent³ Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Total General Education 0 0 5 57 75 10 147 651 .lan Special Education 0 0 1 6 19 3 0 28 615 1999 1 11 76 78 10 175 All Students 0 0 645 48 26 160 General Education 0 0 7 79 658 Feb Special Education 2 0 1 11 26 4 0 41 616 2000 All Students 2 0 1 18 74 83 26 201 649 Grade 4 English Language Arts Levels – Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents Level 4 examination. They show superior knowledge and skill for each standard for elementary students and are proficient (692 - 800)at some intermediate-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show thorough understanding of written and oral text. Students' writing is well organized with minor errors that do not interfere with readability. These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents Level 3 examination. They show knowledge and skill for each standard for elementary students and show some intermediate-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show understanding of written and oral text. (645-691) Students' writing is generally focused and organized with minor errors that do not interfere with readability. These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show some Level 2 knowledge and skill for each standard for elementary students, but none of the intermediate-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show partial understanding of written and oral text. Students' writing has some focus (603-644)and basic organization and uses simple sentences and vocabulary. Errors sometimes interfere with readability. These students have serious academic deficiencies. They show no evidence of any proficiency in one or more of the elementary standards and incomplete proficiency in all three of the standards. Students show minimal Level 1 (455-602) understanding of written and oral text. Students' writing is brief, general, or uses repetitive statements, and reveals difficulty in organizing thoughts. Errors interfere with readability.

Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

ELL are students for whom English is a second language. Schools teach these students English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 4 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 4	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress	
February 2000	0	0	


1 These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

2 These students were not required to take the grade 4 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30th percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Mathematics




¹ These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

² These students were not required to take this test because they were English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there was no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

³ These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of this mathematics assessment.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Science




¹ These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

² These students were not required to take this test because they were English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there was no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

³ These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but did not complete any part of this science assessment.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

English Language Arts



Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

ELL are students for whom English is a second language. Schools teach these students English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 8 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 8 English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level		Making Appropriate Progress	
June 2000	0	0	


1 These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

2 These students were not required to take the grade 8 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30th percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Mathematics



¹ These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


² These students are not required to take this test because they are English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there is no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

³ These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics assessment.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Cohort Performance

This section presents the success of students in this school district on Regents examinations after three years of high school. The first block presents information about success on the Regents examination in comprehensive English. The second block presents information about success on any Regents examination in mathematics. A cohort¹ is identified by the year in which the member students first entered grade 9.



All Students

All Students

General Education

Special Education

1997 Cohort¹

¹ The cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (8) (iii) of Commissioner's Regulations as of July 2000.

² Only the highest score of each student is counted, regardless of how many times the student may have taken the examination.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when there are fewer than five students in a group. If fewer than five special-education students were reported, then counts appear only in the "General Education" category.

School District Profile


Superintendent: Mr. Michael A. Maroun				e: (315)695-1555	
Organization 1999-2000		School Staff ¹ (both full- and part-time)			
Grade Range	Student Enrollment	Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals	Count of Paraprofessionals	
K-12	2,638	201	30	66	

1998-99 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil

Student Enrollment					
Grade Level	October 1999	Grade Level	October 1999		
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	225		
Kindergarten	191	Grade 8	219		
Grade 1	168	Grade 9	205		
Grade 2	167	Grade 10	219		
Grade 3	227	Grade 11	212		
Grade 4	202	Grade 12	214		
Grade 5	179	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	0		
Grade 6	210	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	0		

Student Demographics	1997-98		1998-99		1999-2000	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Language Learners	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible For Free Lunch	605	22.4%	609	23.2%	577	21.9%

Need-to-Resource-Capacity
Index GroupThis school district is in Need-to-Resource-Capacity Group 5. This district has average
needs relative to local resource capacity.



\$9,396

¹ Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.