661800-01-0000

The New York State School District Report Card for Rye City School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



February 2001



The University of the State of New York The State Education Department

March 16, 2001

THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, Chancellor, A.B., J.D.	Elmira
DIANE O'NEILL MCGIVERN, Vice Chancellor, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	Staten Island
Adelaide L. Sanford, B.A., M.A., P.D.	Hollis
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S	Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	Lloyd Harbor
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A	New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
RICARDO E. OQUENDO, B.A., J.D.	Bronx
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	Buffalo
CHARLOTTE K. FRANK, B.B.A., M.S.Ed., Ph.D.	New York
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3 rd , B.A., M.S.F.S.	Hartsdale

President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

Chief Operating Officer

RICHARD H. CATE

Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education JAMES A. KADAMUS

Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services

CHARLES SZUBERLA

Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services


MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 152, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team Room 863 Education Building Annex New York State Education Department Albany, NY 12234

e-mail: <u>RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov</u>



Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

ELL are students for whom English is a second language. Schools teach these students English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 4 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 4	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress		
February 2000	8	0		

1 These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


2 These students were not required to take the grade 4 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30th percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Grade 4

Mathematics



¹ These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


² These students were not required to take this test because they were English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there was no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

³ These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of this mathematics assessment.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Grade 4

Science



¹ These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

² These students were not required to take this test because they were English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there was no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

³ These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but did not complete any part of this science assessment.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Grade 8 English Language Arts Grade 8 English Language Arts Performance (All Students: General Education and Special Education) 70% 50% 43% 42% 57% ^{39%} 35% 60% 46% 40% 41% 50% 40% 30% 25% 30% 20% 13% 9% 10% 14% 1999 9% 12% 20% 10% 2000 3% 0% 10% 0% 0% Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **NY State Public** This District **Counts of Students** Performance Mean Tested Not Tested Score for This District IEP¹ ELL² Absent³ Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Total General Education 3 3 0 24 73 20 117 722 June Special Education 0 0 4 11 0 6 0 21 683 1999 3 4 35 79 20 138 All Students 0 3 716 General Education 11 0 0 16 65 58 139 737 Mav Special Education 0 0 0 2 2 2 6 720 2000 All Students 0 11 0 0 18 67 60 145 737 Grade 8 English Language Arts Levels – Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination. They show superior knowledge and skill for each standard for intermediate students and are proficient at Level 4 some commencement-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show thorough understanding of intermediate-(739-830)level written and oral text. Students' writing is consistently well organized, insightful, and thoroughly developed, with sophisticated and effective language and few or no errors in spelling, grammar, or punctuation. These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents Level 3 examination. They show knowledge and skill for each standard for intermediate students and some commencementlevel skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show general understanding of intermediate level written and oral (701-738) text. Students' writing is generally organized and developed, with minor errors that do not interfere with readability. These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show Level 2 some knowledge and skill for each standard for intermediate students. Students show partial understanding of intermediate-level written and oral text. Students' writing has some focus and basic organization and uses (662-700)simple sentences and vocabulary. Errors sometimes interfere with comprehension. These students have serious academic deficiencies. They show no evidence of proficiency in one or more of the standards for intermediate students and incomplete proficiency in all three of the standards. Students Level 1 show minimal understanding of intermediate-level written and oral text. Students' writing is brief, general, or (527-661)uses repetitive statements, and reveals difficulty in organizing thoughts. Errors interfere with both readability and comprehension.

Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

ELL are students for whom English is a second language. Schools teach these students English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 8 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 8	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress
June 2000	11	4

1 These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


2 These students were not required to take the grade 8 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30th percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Grade 8

Mathematics



¹ These students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


² These students are not required to take this test because they are English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there is no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

³ These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics assessment.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Cohort Performance

This section presents the success of students in this school district on Regents examinations after three years of high school. The first block presents information about success on the Regents examination in comprehensive English. The second block presents information about success on any Regents examination in mathematics. A cohort¹ is identified by the year in which the member students first entered grade 9.



NY State Public

Counts of Students		Cohort ¹ Membership	Highest Score ² From 55 To 64	Highest Score ² From 65 To 84	Highest Score ² From 85 To 100	Credit For An Approved Alternative Assessment
	General Education	137	2	18	107	1
1996 Cohort ¹	Special Education	17	0	5	3	0
	All Students	154	2	23	110	1
	General Education	108	2	17	84	0
1997 Cohort ¹	Special Education	17	1	6	8	0
	All Students	125	3	23	92	0

The cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (8) (iii) of Commissioner's Regulations as of July 2000.

² Only the highest score of each student is counted, regardless of how many times the student may have taken the examination.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when there are fewer than five students in a group. If fewer than five special-education students were reported, then counts appear only in the "General Education" category.

School District Profile

Superintendent: Dr. Edward J. Shine Phone: (914)967-6108						
Organizat 1999-2000		School Staff ¹ (both full- and part-time)				
Grade Range	Student Enrollment	Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals	Count of Paraprofessionals		
K-12	2,436	186	29	53		

1998-99 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil


Student Enrollment						
Grade Level	October 1999	Grade Level	evel October 1999			
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	171			
Kindergarten	256	Grade 8	160			
Grade 1	233	Grade 9	140			
Grade 2	208	Grade 10	138			
Grade 3	200	Grade 11	129			
Grade 4	217	Grade 12	152			
Grade 5	188	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	24			
Grade 6	220	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	0			

Student Demographics	1997-98		1998-99		1999-2000	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Language Learners	115	5.1%	112	4.8%	77	3.2%
Eligible For Free Lunch	47	2.1%	52	2.2%	50	2.1%

Need-to-Resource-CapacityThis school district is in Need-to-Resource capacity.Index Grouprelative to local resource capacity.

This school district is in Need-to-Resource-Capacity Group 6. This district has low needs relative to local resource capacity.

\$14,137



¹ Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.