650501-04-0002

The New York State School Report Card for Lyons Senior High School in Lyons Central School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



March 2002



The University of the State of New York The State Education Department

March 26, 2002

THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, Chancellor, A.B., J.D.	Elmira
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, Vice Chancellor, B.A., M.A., P.D.	Hollis
DIANE O'NEILL MCGIVERN, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	Staten Island
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S.	Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	Huntington
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	North Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A.	New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	Buffalo
CHARLOTTE K. FRANK, B.B.A., M.S.Ed., Ph.D.	New York
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3rd, B.A., M.S.F.S.	Hartsdale
JOSEPH E. BOWMAN, JR., B.A., M.L.S., M.A., M.Ed., Ed.D	Albany
LORRAINE A. CORTÉS-VÁZQUEZ, B.A., M.P.A.	Bronx

President of The University and Commissioner of Education RICHARD P. MILLS

Chief Operating Officer

RICHARD H. CATE

Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education JAMES A. KADAMUS

Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services CHARLES SZUBERLA


Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 530, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234. **Requests for additional copies of this publication may be made by contacting the Publications Sales Desk, Room 309, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.**

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team Room 863 Education Building Annex New York State Education Department Albany, NY 12234

e-mail: <u>RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov</u>



Middle Level

Performance Mean Tested Not Tested Score at This School ELL² AA¹ Absent Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Total General Education June Students with Disabilities All Students General Education May Students with Disabilities All Students General Education Mav Students with Disabilities All Students Grade 8 English Language Arts Levels – Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination. All Level 4 students scoring from 739 to 830 are in this level. These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination. All Level 3 students scoring from 701 to 738 are in this level. These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. All students scoring Level 2 from 662 to 700 are in this level. Level 1 These students have serious academic deficiencies. All students scoring from 527 to 661 are in this level.

Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

ELL are students for whom English is a second language. Schools teach these students English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 8 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 8	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress
June 2001	0	0

* Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. Further explanation is available at http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2002/similar.html.

1 For 2001, these students were eligible for the Alternate Assessment (AA). For 2000 and 1999, these students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program.


2 These students were not required to take the grade 8 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30th percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Middle Level

Mathematics



luna	General Education		0	3	4	43	27	0	74	707
June 1999	Students with Disabilities	5	0	1	14	5	1	0	20	669
	All Students	5	0	4	18	48	28	0	94	699
May	General Education		0	0	7	32	26	6	71	715
2000	All Students 5 0 4 18 48 28 0 94 699 General Education 0 0 7 32 26 6 71 715 Students with Disabilities 3 0 4 6 9 3 0 18 692 All Students 3 0 4 13 41 29 6 89 710 General Education 0 0 16 28 23 4 71 707 General Education 0 0 1 10 12 2 0 24 678 Students with Disabilities 0 0 1 26 40 25 4 95 700 ade 8 Mathematics Levels Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards rel 4 These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination. All rel 3 These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination. All	692								
2000										
May	General Education		0	0	16	28	23	4	71	707
2001	Students with Disabilities	0	0	1	10	12	2	0	24	678
2001	All Students	0	0	1	26	40	25	4	95	700
Gra	de 8 Mathematics	Levels	– Knov	wledge,	Reasoni	ing, and	Problem	-Solving	g Stand	dards
Leve					oving towar	d high perfo	ormance on th	ne Regents	examinati	on. All
Leve	13 These students me students scoring from			,	ntinued stea	dy growth, s	should pass t	he Regents	s examinat	ion. All
Leve	I 2 These students ne from 681 to 715 ar			et the stand	lards and pa	ass the Reg	ents examina	ation. All st	udents sco	oring
			101.							

^{*} Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the **School** Profile page of this report. Further explanation is available at http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2002/similar.html

¹ For 2001, these students were eligible for the Alternate Assessment (AA). For 2000 and 1999, these students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program.


² These students are not required to take this test because they are English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there is no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

³ These students were enrolled at the time of testing but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics assessment.

[#] To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

High School Achievement - After Three Years of Instruction

This section presents the success of students in this school on Regents examinations required for graduation. Passing is defined as scoring 65 or higher, or earning approved alternative credit. Students who earn credit through component retesting are counted as if they scored in range 55 to 64 or in range 65 to 84 as determined by the results of the component retest. This page presents information about students who first entered grade 9 in 1998-99, displaying results after three years. Safety-net provisions of the graduation requirements allow some students to earn credit for graduation by alternative means. The percentage of students meeting their personal graduation requirements after four years is presented elsewhere in this report as a school accountability measure.



* Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. Further explanation is available at http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2002/similar.html.

¹ Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (8) (iii) of Commissioner's Regulations.

² Only the highest score of each student is counted, regardless of how many times the student may have taken the examination.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when there are fewer than five students in a group. If fewer than five special-education students were reported, then counts appear only in the "General-Education" category.

School Profile

Principal: Mr.	Harold DeCook		Phone: (315)946-2200
Organization 2000-01	n full- and part-time)		
Grade Range Student Enrollment		Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals
9-12	350	22	2

\$10,047

1999-00 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil

Student Enrollment							
Grade Level	October 2000	Grade Level	October 2000				
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	0				
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	0				
Grade 1	0	Grade 9	94				
Grade 2	0	Grade 10	94				
Grade 3	0	Grade 11	94				
Grade 4	0	Grade 12	68				
Grade 5	0	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	0				
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	0				

Student Demographics Used To	199	8-99	1999·	-2000	2000-01	
Determine Similar School Groups	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Language Learners	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible For Free Lunch	118	20.3%	118	20.4%	125	35.7%

Similar
Schools
GroupThis school is in Similar Schools Group 48. All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school
districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the higher range
of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

¹ Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.

System of Accountability for Student Success (SASS)

This section presents this school's results relative to Commissioner's standards for school performance. To raise performance, the Commissioner has established State standards for schools for each school year through 2002-03.

Schools are expected to meet or exceed the Commissioner's standards. School districts must develop and implement local assistance plans (LAP) to bring every public school up to these levels. Schools that fall below a standard are assigned adequate yearly progress (AYP) targets. This school-specific program of attainable goals is intended to allow schools below standard to "compete against themselves" by showing adequate progress towards closing the gap between their baseline performance and the State standard.


A Performance Index is used to assess school performance relative to elementary- and middle-level State standards for English language arts and for mathematics. The Performance Index credits each school for the students demonstrating some of the Learning Standards (Level 2) and for the students demonstrating all of the assessed Learning Standards (Level 3 and Level 4). Students at the higher levels contribute more to the Performance Index than do Level 2 students. Level 1 performance earns no credit. As a guide to understanding, the Performance Index may be understood as the sum of the percentage of students performing at or above Level 2 plus the percentage of students performing at or above Level 3.

More information about this school accountability program is available from the State Education Department Web site (<u>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2002</u>). There you can find the rules for which students' results are included in the Performance Index, for how the performance of English language learners is included, for how the baseline numbers are calculated, for accountability for very small schools, and for when and how adequate yearly progress targets are assigned. Please note that Performance Index is only presented for schools that reported 20 or more continuously enrolled students' results. For this purpose continuously enrolled is defined as being enrolled in the school from October through the date of the State assessment.

The Commissioner has decided that until there is a State plan to meet the requirements of the Federal "No Child Left Behind" legislation he will not establish a State SASS standard for the 2003-04 school year for the elementary- and middle-level State assessments for English language arts and for mathematics. Therefore, no AYP targets are computed for the 2003-04 school year at this time. Schools that met a State standard in 1999-00 but performed below the State standard in 2000-01 are assigned AYP targets for the 2001-02 and 2002-03 school years. These AYP targets are determined using the 2002-03 State SASS standard Performance Index value of 150. Schools that performed below a State standard in 1999-00 were previously assigned AYP targets for 2001-02 and 2002-03, while schools that met State standards in 2000-01 are not assigned AYP targets.

Middle-Level School Performance


The Performance Index used to measure elementary school performance is computed using aggregate results of the State Grade 8 assessments for continuously enrolled students.



English Language Arts Performance of Students in Grade 8

This school did not achieve the State standard for 2000-01, and has not made adequate yearly progress.

Mathematics Performance of Students in Grade 8




Secondary-Level School Performance

At the high school level, school performance is assessed based on the accumulated performance of students in the most recent four-year cohort. The Commissioner's standard is that 90 percent of the cohort meet graduation requirements for English and mathematics. Schools below these standards are assigned Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) targets. Because of the increasing graduation requirements, maintaining current rates of achievement is considered AYP for the next year. After the requirements are fully "phased-in," there will be increasing AYP targets.

For this year, the cohort is defined as all students who first entered grade 9 in 1997-98, who entered a NY State school before October 1999 and who were enrolled at this school for the time from June 19, 2000 through June 16, 2001. Commissioner's Regulations allow certain students to be excluded from the cohort.

English Graduation Requirement Performance of High School Cohort After Four Years



Mathematics Graduation Requirement Performance of High School Cohort After Four Years

	100	•	1		٨
	90 +	Δ		Δ	Δ
Percentage of	80 -			 -	
Accountability	70 -			 -	
Cohort Meeting	60 -			 _	
Requirement	50				
	40				
	40	1999-00		2000-01	2001-02
School Perform	ance	96		100	
🔶 School AYP Targ	get				
A State Standard		90		90	90
School Baseline		96		98	
Count of Studen	ts	78		61	

High School Dropout Rate

A dropout is any student who left school prior to graduation for any reason except death and did not enter another school or approved high school equivalency preparation program. The dropout rate is calculated by dividing the total number of students who dropped out in a given year by the total fall enrollment in grades 9-12, including that portion of the ungraded secondary student enrollment that can be attributed to grades 9-12.

