### New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code :	03-01-01-06-0003
Name :	Chenango Forks High School
Principal:	Ms. Diane Wheeler
Fall Enrollment	

Grade Range : 9-12

Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	180	162	148
Tenth	166	164	147
Eleventh	169	150	154
Twelfth	133	160	137
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	648	636	586

#### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	4	0.6%	4	0.6%	0	0.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	4	0.6%	3	0.5%	2	0.3%
Hispanic	6	0.9%	1	0.2%	5	0.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	634	97.8%	628	98.7%	579	98.8%

#### Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000–2001 2001–2002		-2002	
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.
0	0.0%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%

#### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	23	21	17
Mathematics Grade 10	21	20	16
Science Grade 10	26	22	20
Social Studies Grade 10	16	16	19

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school
51	districts with average student needs in relation to district resource
51	capacity. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student
	needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	1998–1999		1999–2000		2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.3%		94.5%		94.0%
Student Suspensions	31	4.9%	55	8.5%	34	5.3%

#### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	7.1%	10.7%	15.7%
Reduced Lunch	5.6%	7.1%	10.1%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	21-30%
Student Stability	100%	83%	99%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001-2002
Total Teachers	51
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching out of Certification*	1
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

### **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	1999–2000			2000-2001			2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	116	111	96%	129	94	73%	128	82	64%
Students with Disabilities	9	9	100%	18	0	0%	0	0	0%
All Students	125	120	96%	147	94	64%	128	82	64%

#### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	44	47	3	4	7	23
Percent	34%	37%	2%	3%	5%	18%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
0	0	0	0

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					9	1.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*					2	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters					11	1.9%
Students	Dropped Out					0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	9	1.4%	19	3.0%	9	1.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	6	0.9%	9	1.4%	2	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	15	2.3%	28	4.4%	11	1.9%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000	-2001	2001–2002	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	25	96%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	108	87%	0	0%	2	#

#### Students with Disabilities

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

(Form – D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **<u>General-Education</u>** Students

Test	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Science	1	#	1	#	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	3	#	1	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	6	83%	6	83%	0	0%

#### Students with Disabilities

Test	1999–2000		2000	-2001	2001–2002	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	10	50%	9	100%	0	0%
Science	5	60%	4	#	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	2	#	0	0%
Writing	1	100%	2	#	0	0%
Global Studies	6	50%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	100%	2	#	0	0%

(Form - E)

ugunts.					
			2000	2001	2002
Compr					
161			14	12	0
					0
151	137	142	11		0
55	38	89	0	0	0
99%	98%	98%	100%	75%	0%
94%	90%	93%	79%	42%	0%
34%	25%	59%	0%	0%	0%
nematics, Cou	rse I (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)		
152	28	1	25	5	0
115	18	#	11	1	0
100	13	#	11	0	0
57	5	#	3	0	0
	64%			20%	0%
					0%
					0%
					.,.
		149	7	9	0
					0
				0	0
0	0				0
75%	38%			-	0%
					0%
					0%
				0,0	0,0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>a ouriaury 20</u>	,		
	anhy (first ac	Iministered J			
149			13	22	0
					0
					0
					0
			-	-	0%
100/0	100/0				
91%	96%	94%	69%	82%	0%
	2000 Compr 161 160 151 55 99% 94% 34% rematics, Cou 152 115 100 57 76% 66% 38% Ma 24 18 10 0 75% 42% 0% 15tudies (last 149 149 136 66 100% 91% 44% ry and Geogr	All Students   2000 2001   Comprehensive Eng   161 153   160 150   151 137   55 38   99% 98%   94% 90%   34% 25%   mematics, Course I (last ad   152 28   115 18   100 13   57 5   76% 64%   66% 46%   38% 18%   Mathematics A   24 50   18 19   10 9   0 0   75% 38%   42% 18%   0% 0%   0% 0%   149 136   66 100%   91% 44%   149 168   149 168   149 168   149 168   <	Comprehensive English   161 153 152   160 150 149   151 137 142   55 38 89   99% 98% 98%   94% 90% 93%   94% 90% 93%   94% 90% 93%   94% 90% 93%   94% 90% 93%   94% 90% 93%   94% 90% 93%   94% 90% 93%   94% 90% 93%   152 28 1   115 18 #   100 13 #   76% 64% #   38% 18% #   Mathematics A 149 122   10 9 99   0 0 34   75% 38% 82%   42% 18% 66%	All Students Studer   2000 2001 2002 2000   Comprehensive English 161 153 152 14   160 150 149 14 14   151 137 142 11   55 38 89 0   99% 98% 98% 100%   94% 90% 93% 79%   34% 25% 59% 0%   pematics, Course I (last administered January 2002) 152 28 1 25   115 18 # 11 100 13 # 11   100 13 # 11 100 13 # 12%   Mathematics A  24 50 149 7 18 19 122 3   10 9 99 1 0 0 34% 0 75% 38% 82% 43% 14% 0% 0% 13	All Students Students with Disal   2000 2001 2002 2000 2001   Comprehensive English 161 153 152 14 12   160 150 149 14 9 151 137 142 11 5   55 38 89 0 0 0 99% 98% 100% 75%   94% 90% 93% 79% 42% 34% 25% 59% 0% 0%   94% 90% 93% 79% 42% 34% 25% 59% 0% 0%   152 28 1 25 5 115 18 # 11 1   100 13 # 11 0 0 66% 46% # 44% 20%   66% 46% # 44% 0% 10% 13 1 10 0 0 0 0 0 149

(Form – F)

		All Students	5	Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
U.S. History :	and Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)		
Number Tested	140	2		12	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	133	#		10	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	113	#		3	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	53	#		0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	95%	#		83%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	81%	#		25%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	#		0%	0%	
	and Govern	<u>ment (first ad</u>	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested		142	164		13	0
Number Scoring 55–100		135	156		11	0
Number Scoring 65–100		123	142		9	0
Number Scoring 85–100		60	71		3	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		95%	95%		85%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		87%	87%		69%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		42%	43%		23%	0%
	<u>Environment</u>	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)		
Number Tested		134	132		15	0
Number Scoring 55–100		134	128		15	0
Number Scoring 65–100		133	127		14	0
Number Scoring 85–100		35	64		1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	97%		100%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		99%	96%		93%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		26%	48%		7%	0%
	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ac	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested		142	157		19	0
Number Scoring 55–100		133	132		17	0
Number Scoring 65–100		125	121		13	0
Number Scoring 85–100		64	64		3	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		94%	84%		89%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		88%	77%		68%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		45%	41%		16%	0%

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

#### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	188	175	176	140	170
2001	179	155	154	166	164
2002	145	155	164	139	151

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	14	20	14	12	15
2001	20	15	14	18	17
2002	0	0	0	0	0

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

				Q4		h:1:+:
	2000	All Students			nts with Disa	
	<b>2000</b> 170	2001	2002	<b>2000</b> 15	<b>2001</b> 17	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)		164	151	15	1 /	0
Number Tested	28	rehensive Fre 34	21	1	0	0
Number Tested	28	34		1 #	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100			20 19	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	28	34 15		#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	13		10	#		0
Percentage of AGE Tested	16%	21%	14%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	16%	21%	13%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	16%	21%	13%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	8%	9%	7%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	90%	#	0%	0%
N 1 T / 1		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb		<u>^</u>	<u>^</u>	<u> </u>
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – I)

		All Students	5	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	170	164	151	15	17	0
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	62	97	72	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	60	97	69	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	55	95	68	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	16	50	37	#	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	36%	59%	48%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	35%	59%	46%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	32%	58%	45%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	9%	30%	25%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	98%	94%	#	0%	0%
	Comp	orehensive La	itin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - J)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	170	164	151	15	17	0
······································	Sequential M	Iathematics,	Course II			
Number Tested	153	139	19	2	3	0
Number Scoring 55–100	130	119	16	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	115	97	15	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	51	44	2	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	90%	85%	13%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	76%	73%	11%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	68%	59%	10%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	30%	27%	1%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	75%	70%	79%	#	#	0%
	Sequential M	lathematics, (	Course III			
Number Tested	107	95	107	3	3	0
Number Scoring 55–100	102	91	100	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	95	84	93	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	38	44	56	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	63%	58%	71%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	60%	55%	66%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	56%	51%	62%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	22%	27%	37%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	88%	87%	#	#	0%
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)		
Number Tested		0	0		0	0
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%

(Form - K)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	170	164	151	15	17	0
Earth	Science (last	administere	d January 20(	)1)		
Number Tested	129	21		12	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	119	16		10	4	
Number Scoring 65–100	95	11		7	2	
Number Scoring 85–100	32	2		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	76%	13%		80%	29%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55-100	70%	10%		67%	24%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	56%	7%		47%	12%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	19%	1%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	52%		58%	40%	
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)			
Number Tested	145	9		1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	142	6		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	130	5		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	57	0		#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	85%	5%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	84%	4%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	76%	3%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	34%	0%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	56%		#	0%	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered	January 2002	)		
Number Tested	109	106	9	3	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	108	103	9	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	97	93	8	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	44	35	2	#	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	64%	65%	6%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	64%	63%	6%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	57%	57%	5%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	26%	21%	1%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	88%	89%	#	0%	0%

(Form - L)

		All Students		Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	170	164	151	15	17	0
	hysics (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)			
Number Tested	47	56	0	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	47	55	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	44	52	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	20	22	0	0	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	28%	34%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	28%	34%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	26%	32%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	12%	13%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	93%	0%	0%	#	0%
Physical S	etting/Chemis	stry (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			105			0
Number Scoring 55–100			102			0
Number Scoring 65–100			93			0
Number Scoring 85–100			16			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			70%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			68%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			62%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			11%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			89%			0%
Physical	Setting/Physi	cs (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)		
Number Tested			50			0
Number Scoring 55–100			42			0
Number Scoring 65–100			37			0
Number Scoring 85–100			4			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			33%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			28%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			25%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			3%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			74%			0%

(Form - M)

### **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	28	96%	33	100%	2	#
Students with Disabilities	17	82%	13	46%	0	0%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

### Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - N)

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students						
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
		Elementary Lev	vel				
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Middle Level	ļ				
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Secondary Lev	el				
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	eral-Education Students Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	
Cohort Enrollment	129	129	0	0	129	129	
Number Scoring 55–64	5	11	0	0	5	11	
Number Scoring 65–84	58	54	0	0	58	54	
Number Scoring 85–100	62	52	0	0	62	52	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	167	0	167
Number Scoring 55–64	5	0	5
Number Scoring 65–84	71	0	71
Number Scoring 85–100	83	0	83
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)