## **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 01-07-01-03-0001 Grade Range: K-12

Name: Heatly School Principal: Herb Perkins

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	22	26	31
First	27	25	22
Second	20	22	25
Third	21	27	21
Fourth	30	22	28
Fifth	24	33	24
Sixth	17	21	29
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	15	21	29
Eighth	26	17	18
Ninth	28	33	21
Tenth	23	28	28
Eleventh	18	18	24
Twelfth	26	18	16
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	297	311	316

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	1–02 2002–03		2-03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	5	1.6%	3	0.9%
Black (Not Hispanic)	14	4.7%	13	4.2%	20	6.3%
Hispanic	1	0.3%	2	0.6%	10	3.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	282	94.9%	291	93.6%	283	89.6%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	iverage Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04						
Kindergarten	23	26	16						
Common Branch	19	24	23						
English Grade 8	14	17	18						
Mathematics Grade 8	13	17	16						
Science Grade 8	26	13	18						
Social Studies Grade 8	13	16	18						
English Grade 10	12	15	14						
Mathematics Grade 10	15	12	17						
Science Grade 10	13	10	17						
Social Studies Grade 10	12	15	16						

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
64	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	3	1.0%	3	1.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	68	22.9%	74	23.8%	90	28.5%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

11ttendunce and Suspension							
	2000-01		200	2001-02		2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	
Annual Attendance Rate		95.3%		94.7%		94.7%	
Student Suspensions	9	3.0%	17	5.7%	11	3.5%	

#### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Reduced Lunch	15.8%	18.3%	16.8%
<b>Public Assistance</b>	1-10%	11-20%	21-30%
Student Stability	96%	94%	94%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	32
Total Other Professional Staff	4
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

**High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*** 

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	23	9	39%	16	8	50%	15	7	47%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
All Students	23	9	39%	17	8	47%	15	7	47%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	3	8	0	1	1	2
Percent	20%	53%	0%	7%	7%	13%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		1		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		4		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2		5		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	2.1%	4	4.1%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2	2.1%	5	5.2%	0	0.0%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4-	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	30	13	29
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	10	4	0
0-8	Number of All Students	40	17	29
	Percent of Enrollment	69%	29%	38%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	24	16
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	4	0
9-14	Number of All Students	0	28	16
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	29%	18%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Drogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	•		
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	14	100%	3	#	5	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	11	91%	10	100%	12	100%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

To a4	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Toot	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

 $\overline{(Form - E)}$ 

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compr	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	18	18	23	1	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	18	18	23	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	11	15	18	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	6	5	9	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	61%	83%	78%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	28%	39%	#	0%	#
	Ma	athematics A		_		
Number Tested	21	19	24	2	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	17	17	24	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	14	16	22	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	5	8	6	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	89%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	84%	92%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	42%	25%	#	0%	#
		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	ography			
Number Tested	25	25	32	3	3	6
Number Scoring 55–100	25	22	30	#	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	20	22	27	#	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	7	7	7	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	88%	94%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	88%	84%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	28%	22%	#	#	0%
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	23	17	23	1	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	22	17	23	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	20	14	21	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	5	9	8	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	100%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	82%	91%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	53%	35%	#	0%	#

(Form - F)

		All Students	<b>,</b>	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities					
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04					
	Living Environment										
Number Tested	28	22	22	3	4	3					
Number Scoring 55–100	27	22	21	#	#	#					
Number Scoring 65–100	24	19	16	#	#	#					
Number Scoring 85–100	5	2	0	#	#	#					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	100%	95%	#	#	#					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	86%	73%	#	#	#					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	9%	0%	#	#	#					
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science								
Number Tested	1	0	0	1	0	0					
Number Scoring 55–100	#	0	0	#	0	0					
Number Scoring 65–100	#	0	0	#	0	0					
Number Scoring 85–100	#	0	0	#	0	0					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	0%	0%	#	0%	0%					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	0%	0%	#	0%	0%					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	0%	0%	#	0%	0%					
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry								
Number Tested	11	12	13	0	0	0					
Number Scoring 55–100	11	11	13	0	0	0					
Number Scoring 65–100	10	9	11	0	0	0					
Number Scoring 85–100	2	4	1	0	0	0					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	92%	100%	0%	0%	0%					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	75%	85%	0%	0%	0%					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	33%	8%	0%	0%	0%					
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics								
Number Tested			0			0					
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0					
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0					
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%					
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%					

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
	•	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
	•	rehensive Fre			T 0	1 .
Number Tested	11	7	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	10	7	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	8	7	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	5	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	71%	50%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ital			T 0	1 .
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger			T 0	1 .
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	_	ehensive Heb		_	_	T _
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa				1
Number Tested	5	3	11	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	5	#	10	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	5	#	10	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	3	#	2	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	#	91%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	#	91%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	#	18%	0%	0%	#
		rehensive La				1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	11	11	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	11	10	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	8	9	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	1	5	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	91%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	82%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	45%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	0	0%	1	#	5	100%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	3	#	6	50%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	22	#	#	#	#
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	2	#	#	#	#
	All Students	24	4%	13%	42%	42%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	16	#	#	#	#
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	1	#	#	#	#
	All Students	17	0%	53%	41%	6%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	18	18	18	2	2	2	20	20	20
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	2	1
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	10	6	14
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	8	9	4
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K–1)	)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	<b>l</b> )		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)	ı		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	W TOTK State En	All Students	8		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	3)	I	ı		
Number Tested			2			0		
Beginning (0–18)			#			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0		
Advanced (32–36)			#			0		
Proficient (37–39)			#			0		
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)	)				
Number Tested			2			0		
Beginning (0–14)			#			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0		
Advanced (25–32)			#			0		
Proficient (33–35)			#			0		
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0		
Advanced (32–36)			0			0		
Proficient (37–39)			0			0		
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)				
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–14)			0			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0		
Advanced (25–32)			0			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)