New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:01-12-00-01-0000Name:Watervliet City School DistrictSuperintendent:Carol Carlson

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	111	104	104
First	113	122	112
Second	105	104	99
Third	141	90	100
Fourth	91	134	91
Fifth	138	99	124
Sixth	118	124	94
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	107	121	135
Eighth	104	92	109
Ninth	119	116	120
Tenth	118	108	110
Eleventh	105	110	95
Twelfth	100	100	110
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1470	1424	1403

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	34	2.3%	36	2.5%	34	2.4%
Black (Not Hispanic)	197	13.4%	185	13.0%	181	12.9%
Hispanic	53	3.6%	45	3.2%	54	3.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	1186	80.7%	1158	81.3%	1134	80.8%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	22	26	21
Common Branch	24	22	21
English Grade 8	19	17	20
Mathematics Grade 8	22	16	24
Science Grade 8	19	16	20
Social Studies Grade 8	17	17	19
English Grade 10	24	20	20
Mathematics Grade 10	11	13	16
Science Grade 10	0	24	19
Social Studies Grade 10	21	16	19

Watervliet City School District

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
3	This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs
	in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	22	1.5%	27	1.9%	25	1.8%
Eligible for Free Lunch	580	39.5%	598	42.0%	548	39.1%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	L-02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		92.6%		93.1%		92.5%
Student Suspensions	203	13.7%	171	11.6%	151	10.6%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	13.3%	17.0%	14.0%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	112				
Total Other Professional Staff	16				
Total Paraprofessionals	31				
Teaching Out of Certification*	3				

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

Tigh School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas										
	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	74	37	50%	76	27	36%	87	49	56%	
Students with Disabilities	5	0	0%	12	2	17%	5	0	0%	
All Students	79	37	47%	88	29	33%	92	49	53%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	28	54	0	2	3	5
Percent	30%	59%	0%	2%	3%	5%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
5	0	7	12

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	6		11		16	4.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		1		1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	6		12		17	4.5%
Students	Dropped Out	1		4		3	2.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		4		3	2.9%
All	Dropped Out	7	1.6%	15	3.5%	19	4.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	8	1.8%	16	3.7%	20	4.2%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	158	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	71	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	229	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	70%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	385	99	366
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	41	11	69
9–12	Number of All Students	426	110	435
	Percent of Enrollment	96%	25%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

	This	District	Statewide	
CTE Program		District	Statewide	
	Count	Percentage	Average	
All CTE Programs				
Completed the CTE Program	29			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	21	72%	77%	
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	20	69%	81%	
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	29	100%	96%	
Completed and Whose Status is Known	29			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	27	93%	96%	
Nontraditional Programs				
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%	
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			19%	

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	6	83%	2	#
Science	6	33%	5	100%	2	#
Reading	0	0%	3	#	4	#
Writing	0	0%	3	#	4	#
Global Studies	1	#	2	#	3	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	6	67%	4	#	4	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	7	71%	19	74%	19	84%	
Science	12	67%	6	83%	16	63%	
Reading	13	100%	5	80%	6	50%	
Writing	11	91%	8	88%	6	83%	
Global Studies	10	50%	7	57%	6	83%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	10	70%	2	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents				· ·/I D'	1 •1••
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested		cehensive Eng		15	10	(
Number Tested	104	115 99	105	15	10	6
Number Scoring 55–100	81		96	5	6	4
Number Scoring 65–100	68	88	91	1	5	4
Number Scoring 85–100	13	15	26	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	78%	86%	91%	33%	60%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	65%	77%	87%	7%	50%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	13%	25%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics A	1	1	1	1
Number Tested	8	117	120	3	8	12
Number Scoring 55–100	6	81	114	#	3	11
Number Scoring 65–100	3	63	98	#	2	9
Number Scoring 85–100	0	14	10	#	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	75%	69%	95%	#	38%	92%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	38%	54%	82%	#	25%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	12%	8%	#	12%	17%
	Μ	athematics B				
Number Tested	4	1	53	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	12	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	9	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	1	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	23%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	17%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	2%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	
Number Tested	120	108	119	6	13	16
Number Scoring 55–100	113	92	97	5	8	10
Number Scoring 65–100	94	82	85	3	7	9
Number Scoring 85–100	10	18	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	85%	82%	83%	62%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	76%	71%	50%	54%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	17%	8%	0%	0%	0%
8		ory and Gove				
Number Tested	111	104	93	16	7	6
Number Scoring 55–100	93	102	83	11	7	5
Number Scoring 65–100	81	94	72	9	7	4
Number Scoring 85–100	8	26	26	0	, 1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	84%	98%	89%	69%	100%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	90%	77%	56%	100%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	75/0	25%	28%	0%	14%	0%
recontage of residu scoring 65–100	/ 70	2370	2070	070	1470	(Earma

(Form - F)

				1	4 14 D1	
		All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme	1	-		
Number Tested	126	65	91	9	3	16
Number Scoring 55–100	123	63	82	7	#	13
Number Scoring 65–100	116	57	67	6	#	12
Number Scoring 85–100	11	5	8	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	90%	78%	#	81%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	88%	74%	67%	#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	8%	9%	0%	#	0%
		etting/Earth				
Number Tested	77	93	86	7	4	4
Number Scoring 55–100	55	75	80	4	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	43	60	71	3	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	13	17	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	71%	81%	93%	57%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	56%	65%	83%	43%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	14%	20%	0%	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	16	29	36	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	16	28	36	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	15	18	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	1	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	62%	72%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	3%	8%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			33			2
Number Scoring 55–100			28			#
Number Scoring 65–100			23			#
Number Scoring 85–100			3			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			85%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			70%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			9%			#

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. 21242 .
	2001 02	All Students 2001-02 2002-03 2003-04			nts with Disa	
	2001–02		2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Noushan Testad		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	8	12	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	8	12	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	8	11	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	2	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	92%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	17%	19%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		•	1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish		•	•
Number Tested	6	12	6	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	6	12	6	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	6	12	6	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	9	5	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	83%	75%	83%	#	0%	0%
		orehensive La				
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentinge of reside beofing 05-100	0/0	070	070	070	070	(Form –

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	33	48	16	0	1	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	31	24	10	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	31	18	9	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	13	7	1	0	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	50%	62%	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	38%	56%	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	15%	6%	0%	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing		% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	0	0%	40	95%
0	0%	0	0%	7	57%
		No. Tested% Passing00%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 0	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested% Passing00%00%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 0 0% 40

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	106	6%	13%	61%	20%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	25	24%	16%	60%	0%
	All Students	131	9%	14%	61%	16%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	108	6%	64%	30%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	13	15%	85%	0%	0%
	All Students	121	7%	66%	26%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Le	vel						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	2	1	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	3	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	2	1	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	98	98	98	15	15	15	113	113	113	
Number Scoring 55–64	4	1	3	1	1	2	5	2	5	
Number Scoring 65–84	73	61	74	2	4	1	75	65	75	
Number Scoring 85–100	14	22	11	0	1	0	14	23	11	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Stude	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			4			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)		•
Number Tested			4			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4))		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–0	6)		
Number Tested			8			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			2			0
Proficient (37–39)			6			0
	Read	ing and Writin				1
Number Tested			8			0
Beginning (0–14)			1			0
Intermediate (15–24)			3			0
Advanced (25–32)			3			0
Proficient (33–35)			1			0

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form – K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)			
Number Tested			7			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			4			0	
Advanced (32–36)			1			0	
Proficient (37–39)			2			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			7			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			4			0	
Advanced (25–32)			3			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			6			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0	
Advanced (32–36)			3			0	
Proficient (37–39)			1			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12				
Number Tested			6			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			1			0	
Advanced (25–32)			4			0	
Proficient (33–35)			1			0	

37 1 04 4 F 1.1 C лı A 1 · 4 **T** AT ANVOLOL AT *

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)