New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:01-12-00-01-0010Name:Watervliet Junior-Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Scott Emerson

Grade Range : 7-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	107	121	135
Eighth	104	92	109
Ninth	119	116	120
Tenth	118	108	110
Eleventh	105	110	95
Twelfth	100	100	110
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	653	647	679

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	13	2.0%	22	3.4%	27	4.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	63	9.6%	66	10.2%	56	8.2%
Hispanic	22	3.4%	19	2.9%	24	3.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	555	85.0%	540	83.5%	572	84.2%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	19	17	20
Mathematics Grade 8	22	16	24
Science Grade 8	19	16	20
Social Studies Grade 8	17	17	19
English Grade 10	24	20	20
Mathematics Grade 10	11	13	16
Science Grade 10	0	24	19
Social Studies Grade 10	21	16	19

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
3	This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
44	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in urban or suburban school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these
	districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	8	1.2%	11	1.7%	8	1.2%
Eligible for Free Lunch	191	29.3%	217	33.5%	207	30.5%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1-02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		90.3%		90.8%		94.0%
Student Suspensions	184	27.6%	153	23.4%	139	21.5%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.6%	15.2%	12.8%
Public Assistance	31-40%	31-40%	31-40%
Student Stability	96%	95%	99%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Star	2003–04
Total Teachers	60
Total Other Professional Staff	11
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	74	37	50%	76	27	36%	87	49	56%	
Students with Disabilities	5	0	0%	11	2	18%	5	0	0%	
All Students	79	37	47%	87	29	33%	92	49	53%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	28	54	0	2	3	5
Percent	30%	59%	0%	2%	3%	5%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
5	0	2	7

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
	D 10.4	Students	Enron.	Students	Enron.		
General-	Dropped Out	6		11		16	4.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		1		1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	6		12		17	4.5%
Students	Dropped Out	1		2		1	1.7%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		2		1	1.7%
All	Dropped Out	7	1.6%	13	3.0%	17	3.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	8	1.8%	14	3.2%	18	4.1%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	158	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	53	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	211	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	385	99	366
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	41	11	69
9-12	Number of All Students	426	110	435
	Percent of Enrollment	96%	25%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	6	83%	2	#
Science	6	33%	5	100%	2	#
Reading	0	0%	3	#	4	#
Writing	0	0%	3	#	4	#
Global Studies	1	#	2	#	3	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	6	67%	4	#	4	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	6	67%	15	73%	16	81%	
Science	11	73%	5	80%	13	54%	
Reading	12	100%	5	80%	4	#	
Writing	10	90%	7	100%	4	#	
Global Studies	9	56%	6	67%	5	80%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	10	70%	2	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

		Гланн		r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Сотри	ehensive Eng				1
Number Tested	102	115	105	13	10	6
Number Scoring 55–100	80	99	96	4	6	4
Number Scoring 65–100	68	88	91	1	5	4
Number Scoring 85–100	13	15	26	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	78%	86%	91%	31%	60%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	77%	87%	8%	50%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	13%	25%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	5	117	118	0	8	10
Number Scoring 55–100	4	81	112	0	3	9
Number Scoring 65–100	2	63	96	0	2	7
Number Scoring 85–100	0	14	8	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	69%	95%	0%	38%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	40%	54%	81%	0%	25%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	12%	7%	0%	12%	0%
	M	athematics B	•		•	•
Number Tested	4	1	53	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	12	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	9	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	1	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	23%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	17%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	2%	0%	0%	0%
U	Global His	tory and Geo	graphy		•	
Number Tested	120	108	115	6	13	12
Number Scoring 55–100	113	92	95	5	8	8
Number Scoring 65–100	94	82	83	3	7	7
Number Scoring 85–100	10	18	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	85%	83%	83%	62%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	76%	72%	50%	54%	58%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	17%	9%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	rnment	•	•	
Number Tested	109	104	93	14	7	6
Number Scoring 55–100	91	102	83	9	7	5
Number Scoring 65–100	79	94	72	7	7	4
Number Scoring 85–100	8	26	26	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	83%	98%	89%	64%	100%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	90%	77%	50%	100%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	7%	25%	28%	0%	14%	0%

(Form - F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students	r		nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme			1	Γ
Number Tested	126	65	88	9	3	13
Number Scoring 55–100	123	63	80	7	#	11
Number Scoring 65–100	116	57	65	6	#	10
Number Scoring 85–100	11	5	8	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	91%	78%	#	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	88%	74%	67%	#	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	8%	9%	0%	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science	-	-	
Number Tested	77	93	85	7	4	3
Number Scoring 55–100	55	75	79	4	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	43	60	70	3	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	13	17	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	71%	81%	93%	57%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	56%	65%	82%	43%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	14%	20%	0%	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	16	29	36	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	16	28	36	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	15	18	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	1	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	62%	72%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	3%	8%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			33			2
Number Scoring 55–100			28			#
Number Scoring 65–100			23			#
Number Scoring 85–100			3			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			85%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			70%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			9%			#

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents	All Students				h:1:4:
	2001 02				nts with Disa	
	2001–02		2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Normh on Tosto d		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	8	12	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	8	12	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	8	11	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	2	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	92%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	17%	19%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita				-
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	<u> </u>	ehensive Ger	man		•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			1
Number Tested	6	12	6	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	6	12	6	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	6	12	6	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	9	5	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	83%	75%	83%	#	0%	0%
		orehensive La			0,0	070
Number Tested			0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of rested Scotting 65–100	070	070	0/0	070	0/0	(Form –

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004)	
Number Tested	33	48	16	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	31	24	10	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	31	18	9	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	13	7	1	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	50%	62%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	38%	56%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	15%	6%	0%	#	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	0	0%	40	95%
0	0%	0	0%	7	57%
		0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 0	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 0 0% 0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 0 0% 40

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	96	4%	66%	30%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	13	15%	85%	0%	0%
	All Students	109	6%	68%	27%	0%

(Form – I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	97	97	97	7	7	7	104	104	104
Number Scoring 55–64	4	1	3	1	1	2	5	2	5
Number Scoring 65–84	73	61	74	2	2	1	75	63	75
Number Scoring 85–100	14	22	11	0	1	0	14	23	11
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

		All Students		ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	B)			
Number Tested			7			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			4			0	
Advanced (32–36)			1			0	
Proficient (37–39)			2			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)	-			
Number Tested			7			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			4			0	
Advanced (25–32)			3			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			6			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0	
Advanced (32–36)			3			0	
Proficient (37–39)			1			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)			
Number Tested			6			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			1			0	
Advanced (25–32)			4			0	
Proficient (33–35)			1			0	

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)