New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:04-09-01-04-0000Name:Ellicottville Central School DistrictSuperintendent:Patricia A. Haynes

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	27	34	25
Kindergarten	50	34	52
First	55	49	33
Second	49	53	52
Third	42	47	54
Fourth	54	42	48
Fifth	53	57	43
Sixth	55	52	56
Ungraded Elementary	1	0	0
Seventh	59	60	51
Eighth	55	52	61
Ninth	57	53	53
Tenth	50	56	52
Eleventh	82	46	51
Twelfth	49	77	46
Ungraded Secondary	5	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	716	678	652

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001-02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	23	3.2%	18	2.7%	13	2.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	4	0.6%	5	0.7%	4	0.6%
Hispanic	6	0.8%	3	0.4%	8	1.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	683	95.4%	652	96.2%	627	96.2%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	12	11	17
Common Branch	18	17	16
English Grade 8	14	19	13
Mathematics Grade 8	15	17	22
Science Grade 8	13	13	18
Social Studies Grade 8	18	16	15
English Grade 10	0	0	17
Mathematics Grade 10	8	19	0
Science Grade 10	0	26	16
Social Studies Grade 10	16	19	16

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	6	0.8%	5	0.7%	0	0.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	56	7.8%	97	14.3%	124	19.0%	

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	L-02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.3%		96.5%		95.7%
Student Suspensions	110	15.5%	61	8.5%	37	5.5%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.1%	9.9%	7.5%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff 2003–04					
Total Teachers	55				
Total Other Professional Staff	8				
Total Paraprofessionals	13				
Teaching Out of Certification*	0				

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

8	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	40	29	72%	58	33	57%	45	30	67%	
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	5	0	0%	2	0	0%	
All Students	42	29	69%	63	33	52%	47	30	64%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	22	10	0	5	10	0
Percent	47%	21%	0%	11%	21%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
2	0	1	3

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

8	•	200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	4		2		3	1.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		1		1	0.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	4		3		4	2.1%
Students	Dropped Out	3		1		3	15.8%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	3		2		3	15.8%
All	Dropped Out	7	2.9%	3	1.3%	6	2.8%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	2	0.9%	1	0.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	7	2.9%	5	2.2%	7	3.3%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	-7
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	7
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	160	140	158
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	17	10
0-0	Number of All Students	160	157	168
	Percent of Enrollment	94%	96%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	28	225	178
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	20	7	27
9–12	Number of All Students	48	232	205
	Percent of Enrollment	20%	100%	101%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	Statewide	
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	5	100%	2	#	1	#
Science	1	#	1	#	0	0%
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	1	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	5	100%	0	0%	1	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001	2001–02		2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Science	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

tudents 2–03 2003–(ive English 50 50 50 50 49 50 49 50 48 47 22 27 8% 100% 6% 94% 44% 54% atics A 52 58 51 55 41 51 57 41 51 17 16 2% 95% 6% 88% 7% 28% 19 0 19 0 17	04 2001–02 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0%	ents with Disa 2002–03 1 # 0 0 0	1 # 0 0
ive English 50 50 49 50 48 47 22 27 8% 100% 6% 94% 4% 54% atics A 52 51 55 41 51 17 16 2% 95% 6% 88% 7% 28% atics B 0 0 29 0 19 0 17	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 # # # # # 1 # # # # # # # # # # # # #	1 # # # # # 1 # # # # # # #
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0	# 0 0 0	# # # # # # # # # # #
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0	# 0 0 0	# # # # # # # # # # #
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	# # # # # # # # # # #
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0 0% 0% 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # 0 0 0	# # # # # # # # # #
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0 0 0	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # 0 0 0	# # # # # # # #
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0 0 0	# # # # # # # # # # # # # 0 0	# # # # # # # #
4% 54% atics A 52 58 51 55 51 51 55 11 51 17 16 2% 95% 5% 88% 7% 28% atics B 0 29 0 19 0 17 17 16 16	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	# 1 # # # # # # 0 0	# 1 # # # # # #
atics A 52 58 51 55 41 51 17 16 2% 95% 6% 88% 7% 28% atics B 0 0 29 0 19 0 17	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 # # # # # 0 0	1 # # # # #
52 58 51 55 41 51 17 16 2% 95% 6% 88% 7% 28% atics B 0 0 29 0 19 0 17	0 0 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	# # # # # 0 0	# # # # #
51 55 41 51 17 16 2% 95% 6% 88% 7% 28% atics B 0 0 29 0 19 0 17	0 0 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	# # # # # 0 0	# # # # #
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 41 & 51 \\ 17 & 16 \\ 2\% & 95\% \\ 6\% & 88\% \\ 7\% & 28\% \\ atics B \\ 0 & 29 \\ 0 & 19 \\ 0 & 17 \end{array}$	0 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	# # # # 0 0	# # # # 0
17 16 2% 95% 5% 88% 7% 28% atics B 0 29 0 19 17	0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	# # # 0 0	# # # 0
2% 95% 6% 88% 7% 28% atics B 0 0 29 0 19 0 17	0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0%	# # # 0 0	# # # 0
5% 88% 7% 28% atics B 0 29 0 19 0 0 17 17	0 0% 0% 0 0 0	# # 0 0	# # 0
7% 28% atics B 0 0 29 0 19 0 17	0 0% 0 0	# 0 0	# 0
atics B 0 29 0 19 0 17	0	0	0
0 29 0 19 0 17	0	0	
0 19 0 17	0	0	
0 17		-	0
	0		0
	U	0	0
0 5	0	0	0
% 66%	0%	0%	0%
% 59%	0%	0%	0%
% 17%	0%	0%	0%
nd Geography		•	
51 57	0	1	2
57 52	0	#	#
54 47	0	#	#
25 17	0	#	#
3% 91%	0%	#	#
9% 82%	0%	#	#
		#	#
l Government	•	•	.4
	0	1	1
	0	#	#
			#
			#
			#
			#
			#
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

(Form - F)

	Regents			1			
		All Students			nts with Disa		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		g Environme				-	
Number Tested	51	51	48	1	0	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	48	50	47	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	41	49	47	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	8	12	19	#	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	98%	98%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	96%	98%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	24%	40%	#	0%	#	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	42	44	47	0	0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	41	41	44	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	38	40	41	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	11	25	21	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	93%	94%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	91%	87%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	57%	45%	0%	0%	#	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	48	29	37	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	45	27	37	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	38	22	33	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	7	4	8	#	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	93%	100%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	76%	89%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	14%	22%	#	0%	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics				
Number Tested			8			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			8			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			8			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			3			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			38%			0%	

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

[Regents				· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. 11. 4.
	3001 03	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Manula in Tracka I		ehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	9	26	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	9	25	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	6	24	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	13	12	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	96%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	92%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	67%	50%	75%	0%	0%	0%
NI 1 T 4 1		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		2		<u>^</u>
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb		2		<u>^</u>
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	24	41	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	24	41	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	23	40	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	9	18	11	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	96%	98%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	38%	44%	50%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La			1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
						(Form –

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)										
Number Tested	38	22	1	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	29	18	#	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	28	16	#	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	11	11	#	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	76%	82%	#	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	73%	#	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	50%	#	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
33	91%	11	91%	8	100%
1	#	2	#	2	#
		8	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	41	#	#	#	#
	Students with Disabilities	2	#	#	#	#
	All Students	43	0%	5%	58%	37%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	54	0%	30%	37%	33%
	Students with Disabilities	6	50%	50%	0%	0%
	All Students	60	5%	32%	33%	30%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Not Tested	Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	39	39	39	2	2	2	41	41	41
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	2	1	1
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	31	12	27
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	6	26	12
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form – J)