New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:04-11-01-04-0002Name:Franklinville Junior-Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Angelo R. Melaro

Grade Range : 7-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	71	92	84
Eighth	83	78	92
Ninth	106	84	80
Tenth	92	83	83
Eleventh	64	84	80
Twelfth	76	77	81
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	492	498	500

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	4	0.8%	4	0.8%	3	0.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%
Hispanic	5	1.0%	3	0.6%	2	0.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	481	97.8%	491	98.6%	493	98.6%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	21	18	19
Mathematics Grade 8	16	15	20
Science Grade 8	16	15	20
Social Studies Grade 8	20	20	22
English Grade 10	19	20	20
Mathematics Grade 10	19	13	17
Science Grade 10	17	19	18
Social Studies Grade 10	18	15	20

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
48	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	153	31.1%	175	35.1%	165	33.0%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	2001-02		2–03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.3%		95.6%		93.9%
Student Suspensions	41	8.4%	52	10.6%	63	12.7%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	16.5%	18.3%	16.6%
Public Assistance	51-60%	51-60%	51-60%
Student Stability	96%	95%	99%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	39
Total Other Professional Staff	3
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001-02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	51	43	84%	54	37	69%	54	26	48%	
Students with Disabilities	1	0	0%	4	1	25%	4	2	50%	
All Students	52	43	83%	58	38	66%	58	28	48%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	17	25	3	8	4	1
Percent	29%	43%	5%	14%	7%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
4	2	6	10

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	14		20		18	6.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		4		7	2.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	17		24		25	9.2%
Students	Dropped Out	2		10		6	12.8%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		1	2.1%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		10		7	14.9%
All	Dropped Out	16	4.7%	30	9.1%	24	7.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.9%	4	1.2%	8	2.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	19	5.6%	34	10.4%	32	10.0%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	134	73
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	36	18
0-0	Number of All Students	0	170	91
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	52%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	273	66
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	55	14
9-12	Number of All Students	0	328	80
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	25%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	8	88%	1	#	0	0%
Science	3	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	3	#	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	4	#	4	#	
Science	0	0%	8	13%	3	#	
Reading	0	0%	2	#	2	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	4	#	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			1	1
Number Tested	61	79	78	1	9	7
Number Scoring 55–100	58	68	73	#	5	6
Number Scoring 65–100	57	58	65	#	3	5
Number Scoring 85–100	21	17	19	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	86%	94%	#	56%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	73%	83%	#	33%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	22%	24%	#	0%	14%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	0	76	100	0	9	6
Number Scoring 55–100	0	64	99	0	6	6
Number Scoring 65–100	0	52	88	0	3	4
Number Scoring 85–100	0	10	21	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	84%	99%	0%	67%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	68%	88%	0%	33%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	13%	21%	0%	0%	0%
0	M	athematics B	•			•
Number Tested	67	26	31	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	41	23	25	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	26	22	17	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	2	2	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	61%	88%	81%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	39%	85%	55%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	8%	6%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo		070	070	
Number Tested	72	93	<u>96</u>	2	11	11
Number Scoring 55–100	61	73	81	#	5	5
Number Scoring 65–100	56	64	73	#	4	4
Number Scoring 85–100	14	18	14	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	78%	84%	#	45%	45%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	69%	76%	#	36%	36%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	19%	15%	#	0%	0%
refeelinge of rested bearing as 100		ory and Gover			070	070
Number Tested	58	71	70	1	4	7
Number Scoring 55–100	56	68	68	#	#	7
Number Scoring 65–100	49	63	64	#	#	4
Number Scoring 85–100	16	31	37	#	#	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	96%	97%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	90% 89%	97% 91%	#	#	57%
	28%	44%	53%	#	#	14%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	28%	44%	33%	#	#	14%

(Form – F)

	Regents			1		1. 11.4.4
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme		0	~	
Number Tested	68	64	65	0	5	6
Number Scoring 55–100	63	64	65	0	5	6
Number Scoring 65–100	59	61	60	0	4	2
Number Scoring 85–100	15	23	11	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	95%	92%	0%	80%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	36%	17%	0%	20%	0%
		etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	95	73	79	1	9	7
Number Scoring 55–100	76	67	74	#	5	7
Number Scoring 65–100	68	54	61	#	4	5
Number Scoring 85–100	18	11	12	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	92%	94%	#	56%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	74%	77%	#	44%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	15%	15%	#	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	40	49	39	1	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	40	46	37	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	30	35	30	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	5	4	7	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	94%	95%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	71%	77%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	8%	18%	#	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics		•	
Number Tested			10			0
Number Scoring 55–100			10			0
Number Scoring 65–100			9			0
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			90%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			10%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Kegents					1 •1• 4 •
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
N 1 7 1		rehensive Fre			0	
Number Tested	7	11	8	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	7	10	8	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	7	9	8	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	3	4	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	91%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	82%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	27%	50%	#	0%	0%
		rehensive Ital		1	1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish		•	
Number Tested	11	9	15	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	11	9	13	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	11	9	13	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	10	2	3	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	87%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	87%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	91%	22%	20%	0%	0%	#
8		orehensive La				
Number Tested	0	8	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	8	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	8	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%
rescale beorning of 100	070	12/0	070	070	070	(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	29	36	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	25	30	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	22	27	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	12	3	0	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	83%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
58	97%	53	81%	32	91%
1	#	13	31%	12	50%
			No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 58 97% 53 81%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 58 97% 53 81% 32

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	73	1%	60%	33%	5%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	16	44%	50%	6%	0%
	All Students	89	9%	58%	28%	4%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Level 3	Level 4							
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	60	60	60	18	18	18	78	78	78
Number Scoring 55–64	4	1	4	1	1	1	5	2	5
Number Scoring 65–84	42	27	35	3	3	6	45	30	41
Number Scoring 85–100	9	23	18	0	0	0	9	23	18
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

I C		All Students	ond Langua	ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)* Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	3)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			0			0	
Proficient (37–39)			0			0	
	Read	ing and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)	I			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0	
Advanced (25–32)			0			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)			
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form – L)