# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:06-02-01-06-0003Name:Southwestern Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Michael Vallely Jr

Grade Range : 9-12

## **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	169	132	139
Tenth	159	167	135
Eleventh	137	154	169
Twelfth	136	132	147
Ungraded Secondary	15	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	616	585	590

## **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	9	1.5%	8	1.4%	7	1.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	3	0.5%	2	0.3%	2	0.3%
Hispanic	3	0.5%	2	0.3%	1	0.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	601	97.6%	573	97.9%	580	98.3%

## **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	23	19	20
Mathematics Grade 10	19	20	17
Science Grade 10	10	20	20
Social Studies Grade 10	20	26	20

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

50 All schools in this group are secondary level districts with average student needs in relation capacity. The schools in this group are in the student needs for secondary level schools in the schools in	on to district resource middle range of

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

## Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	42	6.8%	44	7.5%	92	15.6%	

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1-02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		92.8%		95.9%		95.6%
Student Suspensions	41	6.7%	53	8.6%	37	6.3%

## **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	4.2%	3.3%	7.3%
Public Assistance	1-10%	11-20%	11-20%
Student Stability	101%	100%	96%

## **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	47
Total Other Professional Staff	7
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	126	82	65%	119	86	72%	128	99	77%	
Students with Disabilities	5	1	20%	7	2	29%	4	0	0%	
All Students	131	83	63%	126	88	70%	132	99	75%	

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	43	60	6	5	6	12
Percent	33%	45%	5%	4%	5%	9%

## Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
4	0	5	9

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

## **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	25		16		8	1.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		1		1	0.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	26		17		9	2.1%
Students	Dropped Out	4		2		1	2.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		3		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	4		5		1	2.9%
All	Dropped Out	29	4.7%	18	3.1%	9	2.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.2%	4	0.7%	1	0.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	30	4.9%	22	3.8%	10	2.2%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

# Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## **Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12**

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	590	122	300
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	15	11	24
9-12	Number of All Students	605	133	324
	Percent of Enrollment	98%	23%	55%

# **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	8	75%	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	2	#	1	#	
Science	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	2	#	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	14	86%	4	#	
Science	0	0%	13	92%	7	71%	
Reading	0	0%	5	100%	3	#	
Writing	0	0%	6	100%	5	80%	
Global Studies	0	0%	3	#	2	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

	Negenio					
		All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	132	140	161	5	6	7
Number Scoring 55–100	127	132	152	3	3	3
Number Scoring 65–100	108	123	150	3	0	3
Number Scoring 85–100	35	65	83	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	96%	94%	94%	60%	50%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	82%	88%	93%	60%	0%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	27%	46%	52%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	32	143	112	0	9	7
Number Scoring 55–100	28	125	111	0	7	7
Number Scoring 65–100	19	109	109	0	5	7
Number Scoring 85–100	5	32	15	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	87%	99%	0%	78%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	59%	76%	97%	0%	56%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	22%	13%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics <b>B</b>	•	•	•	•
Number Tested	0	21	75	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	21	63	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	20	52	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	5	14	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	84%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	95%	69%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	24%	19%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	149	157	146	7	9	10
Number Scoring 55–100	140	150	138	5	9	9
Number Scoring 65–100	121	133	124	2	9	7
Number Scoring 85–100	43	44	48	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	96%	95%	71%	100%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	85%	85%	29%	100%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	28%	33%	0%	11%	0%
<u> </u>	U.S. Histo	ry and Gove			1	
Number Tested	133	142	156	6	6	7
Number Scoring 55–100	131	138	152	6	5	6
Number Scoring 65–100	118	133	149	4	5	6
Number Scoring 85–100	50	84	85	2	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	97%	100%	83%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	94%	96%	67%	83%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	59%	54%	33%	17%	14%

(Form – F)

	Negents	LAann	induono			
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	140	116	98	0	3	8
Number Scoring 55–100	140	115	96	0	#	7
Number Scoring 65–100	138	115	95	0	#	7
Number Scoring 85–100	71	36	24	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	0%	#	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	99%	97%	0%	#	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	31%	24%	0%	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science	-		-
Number Tested	114	99	21	9	12	0
Number Scoring 55–100	112	95	18	9	10	0
Number Scoring 65–100	111	91	17	9	9	0
Number Scoring 85–100	33	28	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	86%	100%	83%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	92%	81%	100%	75%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	28%	19%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	99	107	92	2	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	96	102	89	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	77	90	61	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	14	23	9	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	95%	97%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	84%	66%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	21%	10%	#	0%	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics	-		-
Number Tested			76			0
Number Scoring 55–100			74			0
Number Scoring 65–100			71			0
Number Scoring 85–100			22			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			97%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			93%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			29%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

[	Regents					1. 11.4.
	2001 02	All Students	1		nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
New Les Treste 1		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	23	33	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	23	33	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	20	33	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	15	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	45%	56%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger			1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb			1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa		•	1	•
Number Tested	73	42	79	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	72	42	79	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	72	42	77	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	48	24	39	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	97%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	66%	57%	49%	#	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
						(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	79	92	3	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	73	88	#	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	69	84	#	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	38	40	#	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	96%	#	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	91%	#	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	43%	#	#	0%	0%

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	53	91%	50	96%
0	0%	7	86%	6	100%
		0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 53	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   0 0% 53 91%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 53 91% 50

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

# **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Not Tested	Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

# 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	136	136	136	7	7	7	143	143	143
Number Scoring 55–64	7	0	1	2	0	0	9	0	1
Number Scoring 65–84	78	46	66	2	3	6	80	49	72
Number Scoring 85–100	43	80	65	0	1	0	43	81	65
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)