## **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 06-05-03-04-0000

Name: Chautauqua Lake Central School District

Superintendent: Benjamin Spitzer

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	43	48	54
Kindergarten	72	52	51
First	54	69	45
Second	74	53	72
Third	61	70	57
Fourth	72	60	66
Fifth	85	70	60
Sixth	94	86	68
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	89	94	86
Eighth	83	86	88
Ninth	77	78	91
Tenth	95	91	80
Eleventh	86	85	68
Twelfth	85	88	90
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1027	982	922

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	2001–02 2002–03		2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	10	1.0%	13	1.3%	15	1.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	5	0.5%	4	0.4%	7	0.8%
Hispanic	9	0.9%	5	0.5%	9	1.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	1003	97.7%	960	97.8%	891	96.6%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	18	17	15						
Common Branch	17	16	16						
English Grade 8	15	20	21						
Mathematics Grade 8	15	19	21						
Science Grade 8	18	21	20						
Social Studies Grade 8	16	20	21						
English Grade 10	18	15	19						
Mathematics Grade 10	13	15	18						
Science Grade 10	14	14	17						
Social Studies Grade 10	19	18	18						

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	192	18.7%	197	20.1%	177	19.2%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.8%		95.8%		95.7%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	46	4.5%	17	1.7%	3	0.3%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	12.0%	12.0%	12.2%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Start Couries					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	95				
Total Other Professional Staff	14				
Total Paraprofessionals	19				
Teaching Out of Certification*	3				

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

### **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

		2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	74	54	73%	70	54	77%	73	60	82%	
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	10	2	20%	1	1	100%	
All Students	76	54	71%	80	56	70%	74	61	82%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	30	31	0	7	6	0
Percent	41%	42%	0%	9%	8%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
1	1	4	5

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		2002-03		200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	30		5		5	1.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	32		5		5	1.8%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		2		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	30	8.7%	6	1.8%	5	1.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.6%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	32	9.3%	7	2.0%	5	1.5%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

### **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

#### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	100%	100%	100%
2–3	100%	100%	100%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	144	123	114
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	13	6	12
4–3	Number of All Students	157	129	126
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	99%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	303	277	116
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	40	54	5
9–12	Number of All Students	343	331	121
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	97%	37%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Brogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	3		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	3	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	3	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	3	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	3		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	3	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	0		19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	29	72%	39	100%	29	97%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	46	70%	48	98%	47	77%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	3	#	14	93%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	2	#	3	#	3	#	
Writing	3	#	3	#	4	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	4	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	3	#	0	0%	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

Г	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			T	1
Number Tested	84	83	70	10	5	5
Number Scoring 55–100	79	80	67	5	2	3
Number Scoring 65–100	66	74	63	2	1	2
Number Scoring 85–100	27	31	31	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	96%	96%	50%	40%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	89%	90%	20%	20%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	37%	44%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	3	67	75	0	8	9
Number Scoring 55–100	#	66	74	0	7	9
Number Scoring 65–100	#	62	72	0	5	9
Number Scoring 85–100	#	25	30	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	99%	99%	0%	88%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	93%	96%	0%	62%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	37%	40%	0%	0%	22%
	Ma	athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	41	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	36	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	30	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	73%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	92	73	69	4	10	8
Number Scoring 55–100	91	71	67	#	8	7
Number Scoring 65–100	87	66	61	#	6	4
Number Scoring 85–100	14	36	27	#	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	97%	#	80%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	90%	88%	#	60%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	49%	39%	#	10%	12%
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	90	81	69	11	4	6
Number Scoring 55–100	87	77	68	8	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	81	74	61	7	#	4
Number Scoring 85–100	39	26	40	2	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	95%	99%	73%	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	91%	88%	64%	#	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	32%	58%	18%	#	0%
1 creentage of residu scoring 65-100	<b>→</b> J/0	J4/0	20/0	10/0	##	U/0

 $\overline{(Form - F)}$ 

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	74	92	66	5	15	9
Number Scoring 55–100	74	92	66	5	15	9
Number Scoring 65–100	74	87	65	5	13	8
Number Scoring 85–100	41	21	24	1	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	95%	98%	100%	87%	89%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	55%	23%	36%	20%	0%	22%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	88	70	65	2	4	6
Number Scoring 55–100	86	69	63	#	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	81	68	62	#	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	43	50	33	#	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	99%	97%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	97%	95%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	71%	51%	#	#	17%
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	22	64	58	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	22	60	58	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	15	49	54	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	7	18	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	94%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	77%	93%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	5%	11%	31%	#	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			24			0
Number Scoring 55–100			24			0
Number Scoring 65–100			23			0
Number Scoring 85–100			9			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			96%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			38%			0%

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			T	1
Number Tested	31	16	12	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	31	16	11	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	30	16	11	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	8	8	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	92%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	100%	92%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	50%	67%	#	#	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb			, , , ,	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 010 011 1 011 01 01 1 01 1 01 1 01 1		ehensive Spa		0,0	0,0	0,0
Number Tested	42	27	24	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	42	27	24	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	40	27	24	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	25	21	18	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	78%	75%	0%	0%	#
recentage of rested Scoring 65–100		rehensive La		070	070	π
Number Tested	0	()	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 53–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Crochage of Tested Scotting 03-100	U 70	U 70	U70	U 70	U70	U 70

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	47	56	3	0	1	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	45	54	#	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	43	48	#	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	24	20	#	0	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	96%	#	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	86%	#	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	36%	#	0%	#	0%				

### **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	14	100%	20	100%	2	#	
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	0	0%	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4	
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	56	#	#	#	#	
	Students with Disabilities	3	#	#	#	#	
	All Students	59	10%	10%	46%	34%	

#### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	72	0%	39%	49%	13%
	Students with Disabilities	16	0%	88%	13%	0%
	All Students	88	0%	48%	42%	10%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	75	75	75	12	12	12	87	87	87
Number Scoring 55–64	0	3	2	2	1	0	2	4	2
Number Scoring 65–84	60	45	34	2	2	5	62	47	39
Number Scoring 85–100	14	24	39	0	0	0	14	24	39
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)