## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:06-11-01-04-0000Name:Falconer Central School DistrictSuperintendent:Jane R. Fosberg

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	94	99	97
First	95	94	87
Second	100	102	98
Third	115	98	96
Fourth	110	118	107
Fifth	99	118	112
Sixth	119	99	118
Ungraded Elementary	16	0	0
Seventh	126	127	105
Eighth	114	125	126
Ninth	107	124	134
Tenth	123	119	116
Eleventh	101	118	118
Twelfth	116	100	106
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1435	1441	1420

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	6	0.4%	8	0.6%	8	0.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	7	0.5%	11	0.8%	14	1.0%
Hispanic	5	0.3%	7	0.5%	8	0.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	1417	98.7%	1415	98.2%	1390	97.9%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	19	19	18
Common Branch	19	18	21
English Grade 8	22	25	23
Mathematics Grade 8	22	25	24
Science Grade 8	23	24	25
Social Studies Grade 8	22	25	25
English Grade 10	25	26	24
Mathematics Grade 10	19	0	15
Science Grade 10	20	21	21
Social Studies Grade 10	21	22	23

Falconer Central School District

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	4	0.3%	0	0.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	251	17.5%	262	18.2%	249	17.5%	

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.8%		95.9%		95.6%
Student Suspensions	35	2.4%	43	3.0%	46	3.2%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	11.5%	8.8%	10.5%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003–04				
Total Teachers	105				
Total Other Professional Staff	16				
Total Paraprofessionals	22				
Teaching Out of Certification*	0				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

8	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	97	67	69%	86	53	62%	88	71	81%	
Students with Disabilities	6	1	17%	3	0	0%	5	2	40%	
All Students	103	68	66%	89	53	60%	93	73	78%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	23	50	3	3	12	2
Percent	25%	54%	3%	3%	13%	2%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
5	2	2	7

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	8		28		11	2.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	10		8		5	1.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	18		36		16	3.8%
Students	Dropped Out	0		3		2	4.2%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		1	2.1%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		4		3	6.3%
All	Dropped Out	8	1.8%	31	6.7%	13	2.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	10	2.2%	9	2.0%	6	1.3%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	18	4.0%	40	8.7%	19	4.0%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	98%	0%	0%
2–3	46%	0%	0%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	198	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	11	0	0
4-3	Number of All Students	209	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	98%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	318	326	313
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	22	25	38
0-0	Number of All Students	340	351	351
	Percent of Enrollment	94%	100%	101%
	Number of General-Education Students	447	417	420
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	44	53
9-12	Number of All Students	447	461	473
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Flogram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	6		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	6	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	6	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	6	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	6		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	6	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	10	80%	20	100%	13	85%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	85	87%	91	98%	93	89%	

### Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	5	80%	4	#
Science	1	#	0	0%	4	#
Reading	2	#	3	#	2	#
Writing	3	#	2	#	2	#
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	3	#	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	7	43%	22	64%	10	60%	
Science	1	#	8	50%	8	63%	
Reading	3	#	14	79%	3	#	
Writing	4	#	13	92%	2	#	
Global Studies	1	#	4	#	8	50%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	2	#	3	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Сотри	ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	100	104	103	5	5	6
Number Scoring 55–100	93	100	92	4	4	3
Number Scoring 65–100	85	96	88	3	3	3
Number Scoring 85–100	31	29	37	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	96%	89%	80%	80%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	92%	85%	60%	60%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	28%	36%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	153	149	245	8	7	15
Number Scoring 55–100	94	131	236	2	6	9
Number Scoring 65–100	73	115	223	1	4	7
Number Scoring 85–100	14	44	65	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	61%	88%	96%	25%	86%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	48%	77%	91%	12%	57%	47%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	30%	27%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics <b>B</b>	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	70	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	56	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	46	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	8	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	80%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	66%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	#
UU	Global His	tory and Geo	graphy		•	•
Number Tested	135	120	127	8	8	11
Number Scoring 55–100	125	106	94	5	6	2
Number Scoring 65–100	107	99	90	4	5	2
Number Scoring 85–100	28	42	38	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	88%	74%	62%	75%	18%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	82%	71%	50%	62%	18%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	35%	30%	0%	0%	0%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	rnment	•	•	•
Number Tested	114	113	103	5	6	5
Number Scoring 55–100	108	109	86	4	5	3
Number Scoring 65–100	97	101	80	4	4	2
Number Scoring 85–100	19	42	30	1	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	96%	83%	80%	83%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	89%	78%	80%	67%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	37%	29%	20%	17%	40%

(Form - F)

	Regents						
		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		g Environme		1	1	1	
Number Tested	110	103	113	7	7	11	
Number Scoring 55–100	110	103	110	7	7	8	
Number Scoring 65–100	108	102	108	7	7	7	
Number Scoring 85–100	55	35	44	1	0	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	73%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	99%	96%	100%	100%	64%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	34%	39%	14%	0%	9%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science	-			
Number Tested	122	130	139	6	13	7	
Number Scoring 55–100	114	111	120	6	7	4	
Number Scoring 65–100	97	96	109	3	3	4	
Number Scoring 85–100	20	38	35	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	85%	86%	100%	54%	57%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	74%	78%	50%	23%	57%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	29%	25%	0%	0%	0%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	50	48	67	0	0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	50	44	65	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	45	29	56	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	6	4	9	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	92%	97%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	60%	84%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	8%	13%	0%	0%	#	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics				
Number Tested			17			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			17			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			16			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			6			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			94%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			35%			0%	

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre				
Number Tested	17	8	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	17	8	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	16	8	9	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	4	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	94%	100%	90%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	6%	50%	40%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ital		ſ	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		<u>ehensive Ger</u>	man		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	52	61	58	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	51	61	58	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	49	59	57	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	25	31	31	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	97%	98%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	51%	53%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	orehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>	•	•	•	-	•	(Form – ]

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	53	58	12	1	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	50	46	4	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	47	38	2	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	26	17	0	#	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	79%	33%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	66%	17%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	29%	0%	#	0%	0%				

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
75	99%	86	94%	25	96%
8	100%	14	43%	7	86%
		75 99%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   75 99% 86	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   75 99% 86 94%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   75 99% 86 94% 25

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	107	7%	7%	58%	29%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	5	40%	0%	60%	0%
	All Students	112	8%	6%	58%	28%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	111	1%	32%	59%	8%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	13	23%	62%	15%	0%
	All Students	124	3%	35%	55%	7%

(Form – I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test Tested Not		Not Tested	Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	94	94	94	10	10	10	104	104	104
Number Scoring 55–64	3	3	1	0	0	0	3	3	1
Number Scoring 65–84	60	47	38	5	3	4	65	50	42
Number Scoring 85–100	27	39	54	0	1	2	27	40	56
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)