New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 08-12-00-05-0000

Name: Norwich City School District

Superintendent: Robert L. Cleveland

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	59	60	63
Kindergarten	133	166	159
First	158	131	165
Second	141	161	133
Third	186	151	160
Fourth	155	194	152
Fifth	187	148	191
Sixth	156	192	155
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	181	167	195
Eighth	199	193	175
Ninth	200	228	211
Tenth	174	171	191
Eleventh	175	139	151
Twelfth	149	181	133
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	12
Total K-12 Enrollment	2194	2222	2183

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		2002-03		3–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	19	0.9%	20	0.9%	20	0.9%
Black (Not Hispanic)	31	1.4%	35	1.6%	34	1.6%
Hispanic	15	0.7%	25	1.1%	25	1.1%
White (Not Hispanic)	2129	97.0%	2142	96.4%	2104	96.4%

Average Class Size

Avelage Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04					
Kindergarten	11	21	20					
Common Branch	18	19	19					
English Grade 8	18	11	15					
Mathematics Grade 8	17	17	19					
Science Grade 8	19	17	17					
Social Studies Grade 8	19	17	17					
English Grade 10	20	21	16					
Mathematics Grade 10	15	19	13					
Science Grade 10	20	0	20					
Social Studies Grade 10	19	20	17					

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to
,	district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	542	24.7%	605	27.2%	783	35.9%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.8%		94.5%		94.5%
Student Suspensions	92	4.1%	93	4.2%	177	8.0%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	8.3%	8.4%	10.8%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

S WIII C G WIII G					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	197				
Total Other Professional Staff	30				
Total Paraprofessionals	79				
Teaching Out of Certification*	2				

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

			0 0							
		2001–02			2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	116	97	84%	167	125	75%	101	80	79%	
Students with Disabilities	17	8	47%	3	2	67%	19	7	37%	
All Students	133	105	79%	170	127	75%	120	87	72%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	58	28	8	4	5	17
Percent	48%	23%	7%	3%	4%	14%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
19	7	9	28

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	7		8		23	3.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		4	0.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	7		8		27	4.6%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		6	4.4%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		1		6	4.4%
All	Dropped Out	7	1.0%	9	1.3%	29	4.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	7	1.0%	9	1.3%	33	4.6%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	308	282	283
(0	Number of Students with Disabilities	72	78	67
6–8	Number of All Students	380	360	350
	Percent of Enrollment	71%	65%	66%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	554	571
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	165	127
9–12	Number of All Students	0	719	698
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2003	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	45	82%	51	90%	55	89%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	134	72%	85	99%	73	70%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2003	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	2	#	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	1	#	1	#	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	2	#
Science	2	#	1	#	1	#
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Writing	1	#	0	0%	1	#
Global Studies	2	#	1	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	3	#	4	#

Students with Disabilities

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	6	83%	0	0%	16	75%			
Science	1	#	4	#	5	80%			
Reading	2	#	21	38%	13	77%			
Writing	4	#	4	#	12	92%			
Global Studies	10	80%	13	54%	8	38%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	4	#	13	38%			

(Form - E)

	Negents	, L'Aaiiii	mations	<u>, </u>		
		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	169	157	157	30	24	29
Number Scoring 55–100	161	148	142	26	16	20
Number Scoring 65–100	150	142	132	21	14	15
Number Scoring 85–100	58	60	45	2	3	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	94%	90%	87%	67%	69%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	90%	84%	70%	58%	52%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	38%	29%	7%	12%	3%
	M	athematics A	•		•	•
Number Tested	2	216	189	1	28	20
Number Scoring 55–100	#	188	181	#	15	18
Number Scoring 65–100	#	170	178	#	10	18
Number Scoring 85–100	#	58	80	#	2	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	87%	96%	#	54%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	79%	94%	#	36%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	27%	42%	#	7%	15%
1 orderings of 1 octors 5 oct 100		athematics B	, .		,,,	1070
Number Tested	0	0	90	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	86	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	81	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	33	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	96%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	90%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	37%	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo				,l
Number Tested	144	161	192	24	28	26
Number Scoring 55–100	134	155	172	18	26	16
Number Scoring 65–100	126	141	170	18	19	15
Number Scoring 85–100	34	58	73	2	3	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	96%	90%	75%	93%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	88%	89%	75%	68%	58%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	36%	38%	8%	11%	0%
		ory and Gover				
Number Tested	171	150	159	31	22	26
Number Scoring 55–100	162	138	138	26	17	15
Number Scoring 65–100	155	135	131	23	16	13
Number Scoring 85–100	64	60	44	4	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	92%	87%	84%	77%	58%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	90%	82%	74%	73%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	40%	28%	13%	5%	8%
	2 , , 0	.0,0	_0,0	12/0		

(Form – F)

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	183	177	184	33	21	32
Number Scoring 55–100	177	167	161	31	19	24
Number Scoring 65–100	157	151	142	25	12	15
Number Scoring 85–100	26	41	25	1	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	94%	88%	94%	90%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	85%	77%	76%	57%	47%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	23%	14%	3%	0%	3%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	125	196	190	24	29	11
Number Scoring 55–100	113	150	156	21	20	8
Number Scoring 65–100	104	130	138	17	15	7
Number Scoring 85–100	39	44	54	3	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	77%	82%	88%	69%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	66%	73%	71%	52%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	22%	28%	12%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Chen				
Number Tested	38	68	88	1	3	6
Number Scoring 55–100	36	58	76	#	#	3
Number Scoring 65–100	26	50	51	#	#	1
Number Scoring 85–100	6	8	7	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	85%	86%	#	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	74%	58%	#	#	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	12%	8%	#	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy				
Number Tested			22			0
Number Scoring 55–100			20			0
Number Scoring 65–100			18			0
Number Scoring 85–100			5			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			91%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			82%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			23%			0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents	6 Exami	nauons			
		All Students	5	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Fre	nch			
Number Tested	48	52	34	4	7	1
Number Scoring 55–100	47	52	34	#	7	#
Number Scoring 65–100	45	50	33	#	6	#
Number Scoring 85–100	14	22	14	#	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	100%	#	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	96%	97%	#	86%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	42%	41%	#	14%	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
-	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compi	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compi	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	77	55	84	10	3	2
Number Scoring 55–100	72	52	84	9	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	68	50	80	7	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	19	20	42	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	95%	100%	90%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	91%	95%	70%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	36%	50%	0%	#	#
	Comp	rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	118	86	3	13	2	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	105	77	#	9	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	98	69	#	8	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	50	36	#	4	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	90%	#	69%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	80%	#	62%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	42%	#	31%	#	0%			

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	22	95%	25	84%	5	100%
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	14	64%	13	85%

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	161	9%	8%	58%	25%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	32	66%	6%	25%	3%
	All Students	193	19%	8%	52%	21%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	135	4%	36%	51%	8%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	34	44%	47%	9%	0%
	All Students	169	12%	38%	43%	7%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Level 4								
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Middle Level									
Social Studies	1	1	#	#	#	#				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#				
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#				
Mathematics	1	0	#	#	#	#				
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	117	117	117	35	35	35	152	152	152
Number Scoring 55–64	1	1	2	2	1	4	3	2	6
Number Scoring 65–84	72	48	69	14	16	17	86	64	86
Number Scoring 85–100	34	59	41	2	1	1	36	60	42
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u> </u>						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	,, Tork State En	Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	1
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8))		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
, , ,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)