## New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:	09-14-02-06-0005
Name:	Saranac High School
Principal:	Kenneth Cringle

Grade Range : 9-12

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	151	165	167
Tenth	125	141	161
Eleventh	127	126	143
Twelfth	139	135	138
Ungraded Secondary	35	36	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	577	603	609

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	5	0.9%	4	0.7%	3	0.5%
Black (Not Hispanic)	4	0.7%	4	0.7%	5	0.8%
Hispanic	3	0.5%	3	0.5%	1	0.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	565	97.9%	592	98.2%	600	98.5%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	24	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	20	19	17
Mathematics Grade 10	19	17	16
Science Grade 10	17	22	20
Social Studies Grade 10	17	21	20

(Form - A)

Saranac High School

09-14-02-06-0005

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
50	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

### Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	78	13.5%	75	12.4%	82	13.5%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of % of		% of No. of % of		No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.7%		95.5%		94.8%
Student Suspensions	27	4.6%	25	4.3%	64	10.6%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	8.3%	7.6%	6.6%
Public Assistance	11-20%	11-20%	11-20%
Student Stability	100%	99%	96%

### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003–04
Total Teachers	43
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

0		2001-02	0 0	2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	123	81	66%	120	69	57%	110	76	69%
Students with Disabilities	10	2	20%	7	0	0%	12	2	17%
All Students	133	83	62%	127	69	54%	122	78	64%

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	45	51	4	2	18	2
Percent	37%	42%	3%	2%	15%	2%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
12	2	15	27

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	7		16		4	0.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	7		16		4	0.8%
Students	Dropped Out	1		4		3	2.7%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		4		3	2.7%
All	Dropped Out	8	1.4%	20	3.3%	7	1.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	8	1.4%	20	3.3%	7	1.1%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	411	517	520
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	76	50	89
9–12	Number of All Students	487	567	609
	Percent of Enrollment	84%	94%	100%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTF Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	65	82%	58	88%	76	92%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	57	91%	50	100%	65	86%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001	2001–02		2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	37	68%	30	63%	22	77%	
Science	20	55%	27	56%	24	54%	
Reading	9	89%	16	100%	15	93%	
Writing	9	100%	17	94%	15	80%	
Global Studies	6	17%	17	35%	16	31%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	10	30%	10	60%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Eng			1	1
Number Tested	136	130	137	11	11	14
Number Scoring 55–100	125	123	126	7	9	6
Number Scoring 65–100	112	109	109	5	4	1
Number Scoring 85–100	28	34	40	1	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	95%	92%	64%	82%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	84%	80%	45%	36%	7%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	26%	29%	9%	0%	0%
	Μ	athematics A				
Number Tested	39	126	169	6	3	13
Number Scoring 55–100	27	107	167	1	#	12
Number Scoring 65–100	13	96	152	0	#	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	19	41	0	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	69%	85%	99%	17%	#	92%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	33%	76%	90%	0%	#	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	15%	24%	0%	#	8%
		athematics <b>B</b>		•,•		0,0
Number Tested	0	0	51	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	43	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	37	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	84%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	73%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	16%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	070	070
Number Tested	125	143	153	12	17	18
Number Scoring 55–100	119	134	144	9	13	15
Number Scoring 65–100	109	126	127	6	9	10
Number Scoring 85–100	105	43	56	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	94%	94%	75%	76%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	88%	83%	50%	53%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	30%	37%	0%	6%	6%
refeelinge of rested Scoring 05 100		ory and Gove		070	070	070
Number Tested	145	128	131	14	12	12
Number Scoring 55–100	143	123	119	13	12	7
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	141	123	119	7	10	7
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	51	59	65	2	2	/ 1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	<u> </u>	91%	<u> </u>	92%	58%
	82%	98%	85%	<u>93%</u> 50%	83%	<u>58%</u>
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	<u>82%</u> 35%	95% 46%	85% 50%	50% 14%		<u> </u>
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%0	40%	30%	14%	17%	(Form

(Form - F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	1	g Environme				r.
Number Tested	118	127	128	5	6	9
Number Scoring 55–100	118	126	128	5	6	9
Number Scoring 65–100	117	124	125	5	6	9
Number Scoring 85–100	51	48	50	2	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	38%	39%	40%	0%	22%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science	-		
Number Tested	144	158	138	11	16	11
Number Scoring 55–100	139	153	120	9	14	7
Number Scoring 65–100	123	136	92	8	8	2
Number Scoring 85–100	49	51	33	1	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	97%	87%	82%	88%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	86%	67%	73%	50%	18%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	32%	24%	9%	6%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	88	88	87	1	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	86	84	86	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	74	69	76	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	15	14	27	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	95%	99%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	78%	87%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	16%	31%	#	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			44			0
Number Scoring 55–100			34			0
Number Scoring 65–100			28			0
Number Scoring 85–100			7			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			77%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			64%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			16%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents				-4	h:1:4:
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Number Tested		rehensive Fre		1	0	0
Number Tested	43	48 48	47 46	1 #	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	39		46	#	0	0
2	8	48 20	20	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	98%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	100%	96%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	42%	43%	#	0%	0%
reicentage of Tested Scotting 85–100		rehensive Ital		#	070	070
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of rested Scoring 85–100		ehensive Ger		070	070	070
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Teleentage of Tested Scotting 05 100		ehensive Heb		070	070	070
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Telechage of Tested Scoting 05 100		ehensive Spa		070	070	070
Number Tested	35	<u>36</u>	44	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	35	36	44	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	35	36	44	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	24	28	38	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	69%	78%	86%	#	#	0%
		orehensive La				0,0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	1					(Form –

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)										
Number Tested	52	54	1	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	45	48	#	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	45	46	#	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	19	21	#	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	89%	#	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	85%	#	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	37%	39%	#	0%	0%	0%				

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
64	100%	49	96%	52	96%
19	89%	20	80%	24	75%
	No. Tested	64 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   64 100% 49	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   64 100% 49 96%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   64 100% 49 96% 52

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students										
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level											
Social Studies 0 0 0 0 0 0											
Middle Level											
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Secondary Level											
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0					

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	117	117	117	20	20	20	137	137	137	
Number Scoring 55–64	1	2	1	4	1	1	5	3	2	
Number Scoring 65–84	90	47	60	4	7	4	94	54	64	
Number Scoring 85–100	19	58	52	0	0	1	19	58	53	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Form – J)