## **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 10-10-01-04-0000

Name: Chatham Central School District

Superintendent: Marilyn Barry

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	99	92	78
First	106	104	94
Second	103	109	102
Third	105	109	119
Fourth	117	108	103
Fifth	120	118	114
Sixth	122	127	120
Ungraded Elementary	11	9	0
Seventh	123	124	126
Eighth	133	134	125
Ninth	126	133	152
Tenth	138	118	133
Eleventh	95	122	115
Twelfth	105	104	120
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1503	1511	1501

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003–0		3–04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	23	1.5%	20	1.3%	15	1.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	44	2.9%	38	2.5%	64	4.3%
Hispanic	8	0.5%	20	1.3%	23	1.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	1428	95.0%	1433	94.8%	1399	93.2%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size		Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04							
Kindergarten	20	18	20							
Common Branch	20	20	20							
English Grade 8	23	16	19							
Mathematics Grade 8	21	18	15							
Science Grade 8	22	22	17							
Social Studies Grade 8	22	22	20							
English Grade 10	19	20	19							
Mathematics Grade 10	17	15	20							
Science Grade 10	18	26	25							
Social Studies Grade 10	20	20	27							

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	3	0.2%	10	0.7%	10	0.7%
Eligible for Free Lunch	176	11.7%	258	17.1%	200	13.3%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate	Students	94.4%	Students	94.6%	Students	91.6%
Student Suspensions	39	2.6%	29	1.9%	27	1.8%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.5%	10.5%	8.9%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Start Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	111				
Total Other Professional Staff	13				
Total Paraprofessionals	48				
Teaching Out of Certification*	1				

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	87	62	71%	79	51	65%	100	71	71%	
Students with Disabilities	12	0	0%	16	5	31%	16	3	19%	
All Students	99	62	63%	95	56	59%	116	74	64%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	52	39	3	1	20	1
Percent	45%	34%	3%	1%	17%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
16	3	0	16

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	-	200	1–02	2002–03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		6		7	1.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		6		7	1.6%
Students	Dropped Out	0		7		2	2.5%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		7		2	2.5%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	13	2.7%	9	1.8%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	13	2.7%	9	1.8%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	333	235	217
( 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	45	25	34
6–8	Number of All Students	378	260	251
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	67%	68%
	Number of General-Education Students	464	0	436
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	77	84
9–12	Number of All Students	464	77	520
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	16%	100%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Brogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	20		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	19	95%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	20	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	20	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	20		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	20	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	0		30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	0		19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	31	94%	0	0%	44	98%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	65	94%	0	0%	56	80%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

T4	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	2	#	0	0%	0	0%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	10	60%	6	83%	0	0%
Science	6	67%	5	80%	1	#
Reading	2	#	1	#	1	#
Writing	0	0%	1	#	1	#
Global Studies	6	67%	6	83%	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	5	100%	1	#	1	#

#### **Students with Disabilities**

ottuents with Disubinities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	12	67%	14	71%	24	71%			
Science	9	56%	10	50%	22	73%			
Reading	3	#	10	90%	15	73%			
Writing	3	#	8	100%	15	67%			
Global Studies	9	67%	10	50%	8	63%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	4	#	6	50%			

(Form - E)

	regents		1100010110			
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	101	122	124	15	15	24
Number Scoring 55–100	94	112	109	12	10	12
Number Scoring 65–100	84	102	104	9	6	9
Number Scoring 85–100	43	46	52	1	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	92%	88%	80%	67%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	84%	84%	60%	40%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	38%	42%	7%	7%	0%
		athematics A			•	•
Number Tested	31	116	140	8	12	23
Number Scoring 55–100	19	85	131	1	5	17
Number Scoring 65–100	19	70	117	1	4	11
Number Scoring 85–100	11	6	27	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	61%	73%	94%	12%	42%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	61%	60%	84%	12%	33%	48%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	5%	19%	0%	0%	9%
		athematics B			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Number Tested	0	14	56	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	14	47	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	14	37	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	5	14	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	84%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	66%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	36%	25%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	125	133	148	17	9	27
Number Scoring 55–100	117	116	138	14	4	23
Number Scoring 65–100	99	94	109	11	0	8
Number Scoring 85–100	32	45	47	1	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	87%	93%	82%	44%	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	71%	74%	65%	0%	30%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	34%	32%	6%	0%	4%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	nment			•
Number Tested	102	123	108	16	18	19
Number Scoring 55–100	95	120	100	15	15	14
Number Scoring 65–100	73	111	86	10	12	8
Number Scoring 85–100	31	68	39	1	3	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	98%	93%	94%	83%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	90%	80%	62%	67%	42%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	55%	36%	6%	17%	0%

(Form - F)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04				
Living Environment										
Number Tested	118	99	142	16	7	27				
Number Scoring 55–100	117	98	141	15	7	26				
Number Scoring 65–100	113	87	127	14	6	19				
Number Scoring 85–100	34	26	36	0	0	1				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	99%	94%	100%	96%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	88%	89%	88%	86%	70%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	26%	25%	0%	0%	4%				
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science							
Number Tested	91	126	124	5	11	12				
Number Scoring 55–100	88	117	108	4	9	8				
Number Scoring 65–100	75	95	85	2	5	4				
Number Scoring 85–100	30	33	21	0	0	1				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	93%	87%	80%	82%	67%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	75%	69%	40%	45%	33%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	26%	17%	0%	0%	8%				
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry							
Number Tested	62	70	51	4	4	1				
Number Scoring 55–100	58	59	44	#	#	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	43	36	27	#	#	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	4	6	2	#	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	84%	86%	#	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	51%	53%	#	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	9%	4%	#	#	#				
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics							
Number Tested			32			1				
Number Scoring 55–100			25			#				
Number Scoring 65–100			19			#				
Number Scoring 85–100			3			#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			78%			#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			59%			#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			9%			#				

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	•	rehensive Fre			1	1
Number Tested	41	24	18	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	41	24	18	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	41	24	18	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	22	20	13	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	54%	83%	72%	0%	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	36	36	66	1	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	36	36	65	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	36	34	63	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	19	22	32	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	94%	95%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	61%	48%	#	0%	#
<u> </u>		rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	7	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	7	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	6	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02 2002-03 2003-04 2001-02				2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	79	52	5	5	4	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	64	35	4	4	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	54	29	2	3	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	34	8	0	2	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	67%	80%	80%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	56%	40%	60%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	15%	0%	40%	#	0%				

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	33	100%	40	83%	28	93%	
Students with Disabilities	15	100%	10	90%	16	56%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	107	9%	6%	58%	27%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	6	0%	33%	67%	0%
	All Students	113	9%	7%	58%	26%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	102	1%	40%	54%	5%
<b>June 2004</b>	Students with Disabilities	18	6%	61%	33%	0%
	All Students	120	2%	43%	51%	4%
-						

(Form - I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Tested Not Tested		Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	108	108	108	23	23	23	131	131	131
Number Scoring 55–64	7	5	3	3	3	2	10	8	5
Number Scoring 65–84	50	30	57	7	8	14	57	38	71
Number Scoring 85–100	46	65	45	4	3	2	50	68	47
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		nts with Disah	with Disabilities								
	2001–02	All Students 2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04					
					2002 03	2005 04					
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)											
Number Tested			1			0					
Beginning (0–18)			#			0					
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0					
Advanced (32–36)			#			0					
Proficient (37–39)			#			0					
	Reading and Writing (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			1			0					
Beginning (0–14)			#			0					
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0					
Advanced (25–32)			#			0					
Proficient (33–35)			#			0					
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)		T					
Number Tested			1			0					
Beginning (0–18)			#			0					
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0					
Advanced (32–36)			#			0					
Proficient (37–39)			#			0					
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)								
Number Tested			1			0					
Beginning (0–14)			#			0					
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0					
Advanced (25–32)			#			0					
Proficient (33–35)			#			0					
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u> </u>							
Number Tested			0			0					
Beginning (0–18)			0			0					
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0					
Advanced (32–36)			0			0					
Proficient (37–39)			0			0					
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)								
Number Tested			0			0					
Beginning (0–14)			0			0					
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0					
Advanced (25–32)			0			0					
Proficient (33–35)			0			0					

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	,, Tolk State En	Students with Disabilities								
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ling and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)	)						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)										
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)