## **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 10-14-01-04-0000

Name: Kinderhook Central School District

Superintendent: Daralene C. Jewell

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	148	169	148
First	157	156	168
Second	179	149	153
Third	194	180	155
Fourth	177	197	184
Fifth	195	184	196
Sixth	187	190	181
Ungraded Elementary	16	8	9
Seventh	197	186	195
Eighth	204	190	180
Ninth	188	236	198
Tenth	182	161	196
Eleventh	181	173	160
Twelfth	162	165	162
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	2367	2344	2285

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

9	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		3–04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	32	1.4%	35	1.5%	24	1.1%
Black (Not Hispanic)	46	1.9%	38	1.6%	42	1.8%
Hispanic	14	0.6%	35	1.5%	38	1.7%
White (Not Hispanic)	2275	96.1%	2236	95.4%	2181	95.4%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	18	21	18						
Common Branch	22	21	22						
English Grade 8	22	20	18						
Mathematics Grade 8	24	19	17						
Science Grade 8	22	18	17						
Social Studies Grade 8	23	20	18						
English Grade 10	17	23	22						
Mathematics Grade 10	18	18	18						
Science Grade 10	25	17	18						
Social Studies Grade 10	22	23	21						

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002	2002–03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	18	0.8%	22	1.0%	22	1.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	313	13.2%	297	12.8%	281	12.3%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.6%		94.6%		94.6%
Student Suspensions	87	3.7%	57	2.4%	112	4.8%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	8.6%	8.8%	8.3%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

~**************************************	
Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	172
Total Other Professional Staff	22
Total Paraprofessionals	46
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	129	88	68%	130	84	65%	135	90	67%	
Students with Disabilities	14	6	43%	21	2	10%	16	3	19%	
All Students	143	94	66%	151	86	57%	151	93	62%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	64	68	2	4	13	0
Percent	42%	45%	1%	3%	9%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
16	3	0	16

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	200	1–02	2002-03		200	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	9		1		17	2.7%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		6		6	0.9%
Students	Total Noncompleters	12		7		23	3.6%
Students	Dropped Out	4		1		7	7.6%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		1		2	2.2%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	5		2		9	9.8%
All	Dropped Out	13	1.8%	2	0.3%	24	3.3%
Students	Entered GED Program*	4	0.6%	7	1.0%	8	1.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	17	2.4%	9	1.2%	32	4.4%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	588	177	556
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	23	0
0-0	Number of All Students	588	200	556
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	35%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTF Drogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	53		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	53	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	53	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	53	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	52		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	52	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	34	82%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	139	77%	2	#	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	8	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	16	6%	0	0%	0	0%	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	3	#	4	#	1	#
Science	1	#	4	#	0	0%
Reading	3	#	2	#	2	#
Writing	3	#	2	#	1	#
Global Studies	5	100%	2	#	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	2	#	0	0%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	2	#	7	100%	1	#
Science	0	0%	1	#	2	#
Reading	15	100%	9	100%	6	100%
Writing	14	79%	9	89%	9	78%
Global Studies	1	#	8	100%	4	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	7	43%	5	100%	2	#

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	regents		1100010110			
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	145	170	164	12	21	16
Number Scoring 55–100	130	161	158	6	17	11
Number Scoring 65–100	106	154	144	2	13	5
Number Scoring 85–100	54	87	103	1	3	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	95%	96%	50%	81%	69%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	91%	88%	17%	62%	31%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	51%	63%	8%	14%	6%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	160	204	167	2	20	13
Number Scoring 55–100	145	175	166	#	9	12
Number Scoring 65–100	116	150	158	#	6	7
Number Scoring 85–100	31	42	43	#	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	86%	99%	#	45%	92%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	74%	95%	#	30%	54%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	21%	26%	#	5%	0%
5		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	90	158	0	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	0	51	130	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	37	115	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	6	32	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	57%	82%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	41%	73%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	7%	20%	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	•
Number Tested	176	194	196	4	17	21
Number Scoring 55–100	168	178	183	#	12	17
Number Scoring 65–100	155	162	156	#	9	12
Number Scoring 85–100	52	53	64	#	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	92%	93%	#	71%	81%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	84%	80%	#	53%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	27%	33%	#	6%	5%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	nment			
Number Tested	173	179	171	17	18	15
Number Scoring 55–100	161	168	165	13	16	13
Number Scoring 65–100	137	145	155	7	13	10
Number Scoring 85–100	48	49	71	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	94%	96%	76%	89%	87%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	81%	91%	41%	72%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	27%	42%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - F)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	165	165	188	5	19	26
Number Scoring 55–100	165	163	182	5	18	22
Number Scoring 65–100	163	153	170	5	13	13
Number Scoring 85–100	49	61	47	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	97%	100%	95%	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	93%	90%	100%	68%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	37%	25%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth (	Science			
Number Tested	224	216	204	23	32	34
Number Scoring 55–100	205	192	179	16	21	25
Number Scoring 65–100	176	163	153	12	12	14
Number Scoring 85–100	58	77	62	0	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	89%	88%	70%	66%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	75%	75%	52%	38%	41%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	36%	30%	0%	3%	6%
		Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	102	128	134	1	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	93	112	127	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	72	81	100	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	11	20	30	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	88%	95%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	63%	75%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	16%	22%	#	#	#
	Physica	l Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			43			1
Number Scoring 55–100			43			#
Number Scoring 65–100			38			#
Number Scoring 85–100			11			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			88%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			26%	. 11	41 D	#

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre				
Number Tested	26	15	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	24	15	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	21	15	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	7	9	13	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	100%	96%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	60%	57%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			l
Number Tested	73	81	106	0	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	72	78	106	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	68	75	102	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	26	45	42	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	96%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	93%	96%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	56%	40%	0%	#	#
1 ordenings of 1 obtook 2 ording of 100		rehensive La		0,0		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	117	13	0	4	1	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	97	6	0	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	81	5	0	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	27	0	0	#	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	83%	46%	0%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	38%	0%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	0%	0%	#	#	0%				

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	26	100%	30	93%	20	100%	
Students with Disabilities	16	100%	11	100%	3	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	168	5%	3%	63%	29%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	28	4%	11%	82%	4%
	All Students	196	5%	4%	66%	25%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	153	1%	34%	50%	15%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	27	26%	52%	19%	4%
	All Students	180	4%	37%	46%	13%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#				
		Middle Le	evel							
Social Studies	1	1	#	#	#	#				
		Secondary 1	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	139	139	139	19	19	19	158	158	158
Number Scoring 55–64	3	3	1	3	3	2	6	6	3
Number Scoring 65–84	83	80	76	11	11	12	94	91	88
Number Scoring 85–100	48	50	60	0	0	1	48	50	61
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			9			0				
Beginning (0–18)			2			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0				
Advanced (32–36)			4			0				
Proficient (37–39)			1			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)							
Number Tested			9			0				
Beginning (0–14)			3			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			5			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			1			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	)						
Number Tested			10			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			3			0				
Advanced (32–36)			1			0				
Proficient (37–39)			6			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			10			0				
Beginning (0–14)			3			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			6			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			1			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)						
Number Tested			3			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			3			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)	)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
, , ,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)