New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:12-09-06-04-0002Name:Hancock Junior-Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Michael Williams

Grade Range : 5-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	42	41	31
Sixth	37	35	36
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	33	39	34
Eighth	31	34	35
Ninth	69	57	42
Tenth	56	58	49
Eleventh	54	54	53
Twelfth	40	45	48
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	362	363	328

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	2	0.6%	5	1.4%	1	0.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	3	0.8%	2	0.6%	1	0.3%
Hispanic	16	4.4%	15	4.1%	14	4.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	341	94.2%	341	93.9%	312	95.1%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	13	11	15
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	15	0	15
English Grade 10	18	14	16
Mathematics Grade 10	18	18	16
Science Grade 10	14	13	16
Social Studies Grade 10	17	18	16

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
47	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Eligible for Free Lunch	132	36.5%	96	26.5%	95	29.0%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	2001-02		2–03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.3%		95.5%		95.1%
Student Suspensions	23	6.3%	25	6.9%	51	14.1%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.5%	16.3%	14.0%
Public Assistance	11-20%	11-20%	11-20%
Student Stability	100%	98%	94%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	37
Total Other Professional Staff	4
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001-02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	0	0	0%	32	21	66%	42	21	50%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	7	1	14%	1	0	0%	
All Students	0	0	0%	39	22	56%	43	21	49%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	15	14	3	4	7	0
Percent	35%	33%	7%	9%	16%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
1	0	2	3

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		2		3	1.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		4		1	0.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		6		4	2.4%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		1		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	3	1.4%	3	1.5%
All Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	4	1.9%	1	0.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	7	3.3%	4	2.1%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	55	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	19	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	74	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	69%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	164	177	163
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	56	32	29
9-12	Number of All Students	220	209	192
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	98%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	24	92%	29	52%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	5	40%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	2	#	2	#
Science	0	0%	2	#	1	#
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Writing	0	0%	1	#	2	#
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	3	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	2	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	7	57%	4	#	
Science	0	0%	6	50%	6	33%	
Reading	0	0%	6	83%	6	33%	
Writing	0	0%	5	60%	6	100%	
Global Studies	0	0%	9	11%	10	40%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	3	#	4	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compr	ehensive Eng		I	1	
Number Tested	0	51	52	0	5	7
Number Scoring 55–100	0	47	38	0	5	1
Number Scoring 65–100	0	40	36	0	2	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	8	17	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	92%	73%	0%	100%	14%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	78%	69%	0%	40%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	16%	33%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	0	56	54	0	6	7
Number Scoring 55–100	0	40	50	0	0	5
Number Scoring 65–100	0	34	48	0	0	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	11	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	71%	93%	0%	0%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	61%	89%	0%	0%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	20%	19%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics B	•			
Number Tested	0	0	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	7	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	77%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	54%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	0,0	0,0
Number Tested	0	53	59	0	2	8
Number Scoring 55–100	0	50	47	0	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	0	43	38	0	#	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	19	11	0	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	94%	80%	0%	#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	81%	64%	0%	#	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	36%	19%	0%	#	12%
refeelinge of rested bearing as 100		ory and Gove		070	"	1270
Number Tested	0	49	42	0	4	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	47	36	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	43	36	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	43	23	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	96%	86%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	88%	86%	0%	#	#
	0%	33%	55%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	33%	33%	0%	#	(E

(Form – F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme		-		
Number Tested	0	50	41	0	4	4
Number Scoring 55–100	0	48	41	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	46	33	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	20	7	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	96%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	92%	80%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	40%	17%	0%	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			-
Number Tested	0	56	50	0	3	8
Number Scoring 55–100	0	42	35	0	#	4
Number Scoring 65–100	0	33	26	0	#	2
Number Scoring 85–100	0	8	7	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	75%	70%	0%	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	59%	52%	0%	#	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	14%	14%	0%	#	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	0	26	30	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	25	29	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	10	23	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	1	1	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	96%	97%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	38%	77%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	4%	3%	0%	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			12			0
Number Scoring 55–100			10			0
Number Scoring 65–100			6			0
Number Scoring 85–100			2			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			83%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			50%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			17%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					1. *1*4* -
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger			•	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
· · · ·	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish	•	•	•
Number Tested	0	30	28	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	29	28	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	28	25	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	5	9	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	97%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	93%	89%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	17%	32%	0%	#	0%
8		orehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentuge of rested Scotting 05 100	070	070	070	070	070	(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cour	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004)	
Number Tested	0	26	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	19	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	17	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	7	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	73%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	65%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	27%	#	0%	0%	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	6	83%	12	100%
0	0%	6	67%	3	#
		0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 6	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 0 0% 6 83%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 6 83% 12

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	22	5%	64%	23%	9%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	10	10%	80%	10%	0%
	All Students	32	6%	69%	19%	6%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Level 3	Level 4							
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	45	45	45	2	2	2	47	47	47	
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	7	3	4	
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	28	25	28	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	6	15	12	
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0	

(Form – J)

i ve		Ork State English as a Second Languag			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04					
	Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			1			0					
Beginning (0–18)			#			0					
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0					
Advanced (32–36)			#			0					
Proficient (37–39)			#			0					
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 7–8)								
Number Tested			1			0					
Beginning (0–14)			#			0					
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0					
Advanced (25–32)			#			0					
Proficient (33–35)			#			0					
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		•					
Number Tested			0			0					
Beginning (0–18)			0			0					
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0					
Advanced (32–36)			0			0					
Proficient (37–39)			0			0					
· · ·	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)							
Number Tested			0			0					
Beginning (0–14)			0			0					
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0					
Advanced (25–32)			0			0					
Proficient (33–35)			0			0					

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

 Proficient (33–35)
 0
 0

 *The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.
 0

(Form – L)