New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:13-02-00-01-0000Name:Beacon City School DistrictSuperintendent:Vito P. Di Cesare Jr.

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	99	103	99
Kindergarten	224	248	225
First	257	246	257
Second	234	259	253
Third	273	230	283
Fourth	256	268	245
Fifth	265	263	281
Sixth	259	276	276
Ungraded Elementary	105	83	3
Seventh	264	256	289
Eighth	262	278	274
Ninth	301	322	311
Tenth	221	275	308
Eleventh	207	211	236
Twelfth	182	193	188
Ungraded Secondary	75	78	47
Total K-12 Enrollment	3385	3486	3476

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	54	1.6%	94	2.7%	93	2.7%
Black (Not Hispanic)	781	23.1%	792	22.7%	840	24.2%
Hispanic	623	18.4%	629	18.0%	633	18.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	1927	56.9%	1971	56.5%	1910	54.9%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	21	25	24
Common Branch	21	21	23
English Grade 8	21	19	20
Mathematics Grade 8	20	21	19
Science Grade 8	21	21	19
Social Studies Grade 8	20	21	19
English Grade 10	17	19	24
Mathematics Grade 10	22	25	22
Science Grade 10	17	29	0
Social Studies Grade 10	22	22	25

(Form - A)

Beacon City School District

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
3	This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.
	in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	135	3.9%	103	2.9%	148	4.1%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	815	25.3%	934	28.2%	1057	31.8%	

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.2%		93.2%		93.0%
Student Suspensions	333	10.1%	308	9.1%	332	9.5%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	8.4%	10.1%	11.6%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts				
Staff	2003-04			
Total Teachers	243			
Total Other Professional Staff	37			
Total Paraprofessionals	67			
Teaching Out of Certification*	10			

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

8	2001–02			-	2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	137	64	47%	141	76	54%	165	86	52%	
Students with Disabilities	7	0	0%	11	3	27%	12	2	17%	
All Students	144	64	44%	152	79	52%	177	88	50%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	61	91	0	6	16	3
Percent	34%	51%	0%	3%	9%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
12	2	4	16

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	35		39		36	3.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	19		33		32	3.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	54		72		68	7.1%
Students	Dropped Out	5		14		13	9.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		4		6	4.2%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	6		18		19	13.2%
All	Dropped Out	40	4.3%	53	5.1%	49	4.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	20	2.1%	37	3.6%	38	3.4%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	60	6.4%	90	8.7%	87	7.9%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
()	Number of Students with Disabilities	30	30	0
6-8	Number of All Students	30	30	0
	Percent of Enrollment	4%	4%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	30	0	0
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	20	18	18
9–12	Number of All Students	50	18	18
	Percent of Enrollment	5%	2%	2%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	37	84%	34	82%	25	84%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	128	76%	116	93%	121	74%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	1	#
Science	26	77%	1	#	3	#
Reading	2	#	2	#	0	0%
Writing	1	#	2	#	0	0%
Global Studies	1	#	3	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	1	#	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	22	55%	
Science	21	14%	34	24%	33	33%	
Reading	6	0%	32	19%	13	62%	
Writing	11	55%	17	12%	22	73%	
Global Studies	2	#	18	28%	15	33%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	7	57%	14	0%	14	29%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			1	1
Number Tested	204	185	220	12	12	17
Number Scoring 55–100	176	170	214	8	7	14
Number Scoring 65–100	145	153	197	3	4	12
Number Scoring 85–100	49	76	97	0	0	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	92%	97%	67%	58%	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	83%	90%	25%	33%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	41%	44%	0%	0%	18%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	7	227	237	0	11	6
Number Scoring 55–100	1	160	230	0	4	6
Number Scoring 65–100	0	126	207	0	1	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	10	45	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	14%	70%	97%	0%	36%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	56%	87%	0%	9%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	4%	19%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics B	•	•	•	•
Number Tested	0	0	76	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	65	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	59	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	16	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	86%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	78%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	21%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo		• • •	• • •	
Number Tested	194	202	310	13	13	23
Number Scoring 55–100	164	173	274	6	7	18
Number Scoring 65–100	129	155	230	4	7	13
Number Scoring 85–100	18	47	88	0	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	86%	88%	46%	54%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	66%	77%	74%	31%	54%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	23%	28%	0%	15%	9%
		ory and Gover		070	1070	270
Number Tested	203	193	218	15	12	14
Number Scoring 55–100	160	195	203	6	10	10
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	118	157	184	2	7	8
Number Scoring 85–100	20	47	78	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	96%	93%	40%	83%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	58%	81%	84%	13%	58%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	24%	36%	0%	0%	7%
reicemage of rested Scotting 83–100	1070	2470	3070	070	070	(Earras

(Form - F)

	All Students Students with Disabi					
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
		g Environme		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested	122	245	285	3	9	15
Number Scoring 55–100	107	243	265	3 #	9	13
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	107	232	200	#	6	13
Number Scoring 85–100	22	200	18	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	<u> </u>	93%	#	100%	87%
2 2				#		87% 87%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	82% 8%	79% 6%	#	67% 0%	<u>8/%</u> 0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%			#	0%	0%
Namber Testel		etting/Earth		0	1	2
Number Tested	21	71	114	0	#	2 #
Number Scoring 55–100	15	64	95	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	14	55	64	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	1	4	10	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	71%	90%	83%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	77%	56%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	5%	6%	9%	0%	#	#
		Setting/Cher	<i>v</i>	•	1	r
Number Tested	106	95	128	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	83	81	113	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	46	60	93	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	5	12	9	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	78%	85%	88%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	43%	63%	73%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	5%	13%	7%	0%	0%	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			22			0
Number Scoring 55–100			22			0
Number Scoring 65–100			22			0
Number Scoring 85–100			5			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			23%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					L:1:4:
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested	19	ehensive Fre		0	0	1
Number Tested	19	30 28	40 40	0	0	1 #
Number Scoring 55–100						#
Number Scoring 65–100	<u>19</u> 4	27	38	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	•	19 93%	11	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	93% 90%	100% 95%		0%	#
8 8				0%		#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	63%	28%	0%	0%	#
Namel an Tractori		rehensive Ital		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb			-	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	97	79	123	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	80	76	111	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	74	71	102	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	29	45	51	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	96%	90%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	90%	83%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	57%	41%	#	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La			1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004)		
Number Tested	102	100	8	0	2	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	83	76	2	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	76	69	2	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	32	29	0	0	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	76%	25%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	69%	25%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	29%	0%	0%	#	0%	

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	9	0%	9	89%
0	0%	7	0%	10	20%
		No. Tested% Passing00%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 9	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested% Passing00%90%	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested% PassingNo. Tested00%90%9

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	238	4%	5%	63%	29%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	40	33%	23%	43%	3%
	All Students	278	8%	7%	60%	25%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	223	5%	51%	41%	3%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	35	34%	66%	0%	0%
	All Students	258	9%	53%	36%	2%

(Form – I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
	•	Middle Le	vel			•			
Social Studies	0	1	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	185	185	185	24	24	24	209	209	209
Number Scoring 55–64	25	21	18	3	2	0	28	23	18
Number Scoring 65–84	131	101	145	6	5	3	137	106	148
Number Scoring 85–100	24	53	15	2	1	2	26	54	17
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Stude	ents with Disab	oilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			38			1
Beginning (0–18)			2			#
Intermediate (19–31)			4			#
Advanced (32–36)			22			#
Proficient (37–39)			10			#
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1))		
Number Tested			38			1
Beginning (0–14)			10			#
Intermediate (15–24)			13			#
Advanced (25–32)			13			#
Proficient (33–35)			2			#
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			38			1
Beginning (0–18)			1			#
Intermediate (19–31)			8			#
Advanced (32–36)			10			#
Proficient (37–39)			19			#
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			38			1
Beginning (0–14)			7			#
Intermediate (15–24)			13			#
Advanced (25–32)			10			#
Proficient (33–35)			8			#
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)		
Number Tested			18			4
Beginning (0–18)			0			#
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#
Advanced (32–36)			3			#
Proficient (37–39)			14			#
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			18			4
Beginning (0–14)			1			#
Intermediate (15–24)			5			#
Advanced (25–32)			10			#
Proficient (33–35)	lucinistens din the 200		2	4		#

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form – K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	I		
Number Tested			13			1	
Beginning (0–18)			1			#	
Intermediate (19–31)			3			#	
Advanced (32–36)			8			#	
Proficient (37–39)			1			#	
	Read	ling and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8))			
Number Tested			13			1	
Beginning (0–14)			3			#	
Intermediate (15–24)			5			#	
Advanced (25–32)			5			#	
Proficient (33–35)			0			#	
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			20			0	
Beginning (0–18)			2			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			4			0	
Advanced (32–36)			4			0	
Proficient (37–39)			10			0	
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12				
Number Tested			19			0	
Beginning (0–14)			4			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			4			0	
Advanced (25–32)			9			0	
Proficient (33–35)			2			0	

37 arly Ctata E 1.1 C лı ۸ al.: 1 T AT ANVOLOL AT *

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)