# New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:13-05-02-02000Name:Dover Union Free School DistrictSuperintendent:Craig T. Onofry

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	100	100	90
First	138	121	102
Second	136	124	105
Third	142	112	130
Fourth	138	142	117
Fifth	151	133	145
Sixth	161	163	146
Ungraded Elementary	18	48	14
Seventh	151	153	168
Eighth	165	148	152
Ninth	147	177	155
Tenth	148	129	165
Eleventh	124	136	141
Twelfth	114	129	127
Ungraded Secondary	0	6	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1833	1821	1757

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	11	0.6%	30	1.6%	36	2.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	36	2.0%	62	3.4%	79	4.5%
Hispanic	56	3.1%	97	5.3%	99	5.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	1730	94.4%	1632	89.6%	1543	87.8%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	25	17	18
Common Branch	22	21	22
English Grade 8	25	0	23
Mathematics Grade 8	24	22	24
Science Grade 8	0	0	22
Social Studies Grade 8	24	24	22
English Grade 10	20	0	25
Mathematics Grade 10	0	13	23
Science Grade 10	19	22	23
Social Studies Grade 10	11	25	22

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	14	0.8%	10	0.6%	38	2.2%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	340	19.6%	301	17.5%	206	11.7%	

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.2%		94.2%		93.3%
Student Suspensions	95	5.2%	89	4.9%	136	7.5%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	5.2%	8.3%	7.5%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts						
Staff 2003–04						
Total Teachers	119					
Total Other Professional Staff	22					
Total Paraprofessionals	29					
Teaching Out of Certification*	10					

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

Then School Of addates Earning Regents Diplomas										
	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	95	95	100%	93	53	57%	104	64	62%	
Students with Disabilities	9	9	100%	6	0	0%	7	0	0%	
All Students	104	104	100%	99	53	54%	111	64	58%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	34	57	3	3	12	2
Percent	31%	51%	3%	3%	11%	2%

### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
7	0	4	11

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	15		9		23	4.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		0		4	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	18		9		27	5.4%
Students	Dropped Out	1		0		7	7.2%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		1	1.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		0		8	8.2%
All	Dropped Out	16	3.0%	9	1.6%	30	5.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.6%	0	0.0%	5	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	19	3.6%	9	1.6%	35	5.9%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	168	414	801
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	50	131
0-0	Number of All Students	168	464	932
	Percent of Enrollment	35%	98%	199%
	Number of General-Education Students	135	140	510
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	15	30	84
9–12	Number of All Students	150	170	594
	Percent of Enrollment	28%	30%	101%

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	19	0%	11	55%	17	88%
Science	6	0%	3	#	0	0%
Reading	1	#	2	#	2	#
Writing	1	#	2	#	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	5	20%	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	2	#	16	44%	38	66%	
Science	2	#	7	71%	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	4	#	10	60%	
Writing	0	0%	4	#	4	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	13	23%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	3	#	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	113	115	117	1	6	12
Number Scoring 55–100	105	104	105	#	3	5
Number Scoring 65–100	95	99	103	#	2	5
Number Scoring 85–100	44	49	58	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	90%	90%	#	50%	42%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	86%	88%	#	33%	42%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	43%	50%	#	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	112	97	158	0	2	15
Number Scoring 55–100	89	81	147	0	#	9
Number Scoring 65–100	69	69	125	0	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	23	34	38	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	84%	93%	0%	#	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	62%	71%	79%	0%	#	20%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	35%	24%	0%	#	0%
	Ma	athematics <b>B</b>		-		
Number Tested	0	0	68	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	60	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	50	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	12	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	74%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	18%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy			•
Number Tested	118	115	84	1	6	7
Number Scoring 55–100	115	108	78	#	6	3
Number Scoring 65–100	109	100	72	#	5	1
Number Scoring 85–100	26	50	40	#	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	94%	93%	#	100%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	87%	86%	#	83%	14%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	43%	48%	#	17%	0%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	rnment	-		
Number Tested	122	115	67	3	6	6
Number Scoring 55–100	113	108	66	#	4	5
Number Scoring 65–100	105	103	62	#	2	4
Number Scoring 85–100	45	48	34	#	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	94%	99%	#	67%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	90%	93%	#	33%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	42%	51%	#	0%	33%

(Form - F)

	Regents			0		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme			-	
Number Tested	68	115	133	0	2	12
Number Scoring 55–100	63	109	128	0	#	11
Number Scoring 65–100	63	103	125	0	#	8
Number Scoring 85–100	13	26	47	0	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	95%	96%	0%	#	92%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	90%	94%	0%	#	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	23%	35%	0%	#	8%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science	-	-	-
Number Tested	177	164	151	2	14	15
Number Scoring 55–100	167	141	126	#	7	9
Number Scoring 65–100	157	134	118	#	6	4
Number Scoring 85–100	62	42	32	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	86%	83%	#	50%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	82%	78%	#	43%	27%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	26%	21%	#	0%	7%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	27	20	19	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	25	17	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	22	15	11	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	3	1	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	85%	84%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	75%	58%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	4%	15%	5%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

Г	Regents					1 .1
	2001 00	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	9	29	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	7	28	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	7	28	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	17	9	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	78%	97%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	97%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	59%	69%	0%	0%	0%
Manula an Tarata I		rehensive Ital		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scotting 85–100		ehensive Ger		070	0%	0%
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tereentage of Tested Scotting 85–100		ehensive Heb		070	070	070
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tereenage of Tested Scoting of Too		ehensive Spa		070	070	070
Number Tested	45	<u>65</u>	61	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	43	63	59	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	43	63	59	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	30	47	44	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	97%	97%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	97%	97%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	67%	72%	72%	0%	0%	0%
6 6		orehensive La			1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
						(Form –

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	71	74	6	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	61	63	5	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	53	56	5	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	21	21	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	85%	83%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	76%	83%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	30%	28%	0%	0%	#	0%

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
		No. Tested % Passing   0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 0	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   0 0% 0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 0 0% 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	133	3%	1%	51%	45%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	11	73%	0%	27%	0%
	All Students	144	8%	1%	49%	42%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	121	1%	45%	43%	12%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	22	27%	64%	9%	0%
	All Students	143	5%	48%	38%	10%

(Form – I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
		Middle Le	vel						
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	116	116	116	12	12	12	128	128	128
Number Scoring 55–64	2	4	3	0	1	0	2	5	3
Number Scoring 65–84	75	48	59	1	1	1	76	49	60
Number Scoring 85–100	28	50	45	0	0	0	28	50	45
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Stude	ents with Disab	oilities	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)	I	
Number Tested			13			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			5			0
Advanced (32–36)			4			0
Proficient (37–39)			4			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ig (Grade K–1)	)		
Number Tested			13			0
Beginning (0–14)			1			0
Intermediate (15–24)			3			0
Advanced (25–32)			4			0
Proficient (33–35)			5			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)		
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0
Advanced (32–36)			1			0
Proficient (37–39)			4			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–14)			1			0
Intermediate (15–24)			2			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			3			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>)</u>		
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			6			0
	Read	ing and Writin	1g (Grade 5–6)			-
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			5			0
Proficient (33–35)	luciaistans din the 20		1	4		0

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	3)			
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)	)			
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)			
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)