# **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 13-11-01-04-0000

Name: Northeast Central School District

Superintendent: Richard N. Johns

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	64	45	67
First	56	67	50
Second	75	55	71
Third	73	72	58
Fourth	68	67	71
Fifth	71	59	69
Sixth	83	75	56
Ungraded Elementary	10	5	4
Seventh	86	77	68
Eighth	74	90	76
Ninth	76	80	103
Tenth	77	72	65
Eleventh	52	66	53
Twelfth	62	46	64
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	12
Total K-12 Enrollment	927	876	887

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	4	0.4%	4	0.5%	7	0.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	45	4.9%	54	6.2%	60	6.8%
Hispanic	42	4.5%	53	6.1%	53	6.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	836	90.2%	765	87.3%	767	86.5%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	21	15	22
Common Branch	18	19	20
English Grade 8	14	19	18
Mathematics Grade 8	10	19	18
Science Grade 8	15	21	16
Social Studies Grade 8	7	21	0
English Grade 10	0	19	18
Mathematics Grade 10	0	0	25
Science Grade 10	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 10	18	26	22

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

3 1	200	2001–02		2002-03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	17	1.8%	23	2.6%	27	3.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	146	15.8%	202	23.1%	194	21.9%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.0%		92.7%		92.8%
Student Suspensions	130	13.8%	72	7.8%	101	11.5%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	11.4%	11.6%	8.7%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	72
Total Other Professional Staff	7
Total Paraprofessionals	20
Teaching Out of Certification*	5

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

### **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	49	31	63%	41	24	59%	54	26	48%	
Students with Disabilities	4	0	0%	2	0	0%	5	0	0%	
All Students	53	31	58%	43	24	56%	59	26	44%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	19	31	1	5	2	1
Percent	32%	53%	2%	8%	3%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
5	0	1	6

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	200	2001–02		2-03	2003	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	7	ZJAZI GZA	6	ZJAZI GZA	10	4.1%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		2		1	0.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	8		8		11	4.5%
Students	Dropped Out	1		2		3	7.7%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		1		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		3		3	7.7%
All	Dropped Out	8	3.0%	8	3.0%	13	4.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.7%	3	1.1%	1	0.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	10	3.7%	11	4.2%	14	5.0%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Tian, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
( 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	242
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	28
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	270
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	92%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	13	100%	24	92%	9	100%	
German	3	#	20	75%	12	25%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	5	100%	33	79%	16	69%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
German	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	11	73%	0	0%
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	1	#	1	#	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	0	0%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	14	57%	5	100%	0	0%	
Science	14	71%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	6	100%	5	100%	0	0%	
Writing	2	#	6	100%	0	0%	
Global Studies	4	#	5	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	1	#	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	Negents	LAum	mations	,		
		All Students	3	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	55	62	60	1	7	7
Number Scoring 55–100	54	56	59	#	4	7
Number Scoring 65–100	49	49	55	#	1	5
Number Scoring 85–100	13	18	16	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	90%	98%	#	57%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	79%	92%	#	14%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	29%	27%	#	0%	0%
	M	athematics A			•	
Number Tested	50	63	62	2	4	4
Number Scoring 55–100	30	51	62	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	19	48	61	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	6	10	16	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	60%	81%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	38%	76%	98%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	16%	26%	#	#	#
1 ordinage of 1 obtain 5 ording or 100		athematics B	2070			
Number Tested	11	0	27	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	11	0	16	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	9	0	15	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	6	0	2	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	59%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	0%	56%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	55%	0%	7%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo				1
Number Tested	69	74	60	9	8	4
Number Scoring 55–100	55	68	55	3	5	#
Number Scoring 65–100	46	61	51	1	5	#
Number Scoring 85–100	9	21	24	0	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	92%	92%	33%	62%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	82%	85%	11%	62%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	28%	40%	0%	12%	#
		ory and Gover	rnment			II.
Number Tested	54	59	54	4	5	8
Number Scoring 55–100	53	57	54	#	3	8
Number Scoring 65–100	46	53	54	#	3	8
Number Scoring 85–100	11	26	27	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	100%	#	60%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	90%	100%	#	60%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	44%	50%	#	0%	12%
1 orderings of residu beoffing 05 100	2070	17/0	2070	"	070	12/0

(Form – F)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Livin	g Environme	nt				
Number Tested	47	53	69	2	6	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	47	53	69	#	6	5	
Number Scoring 65–100	44	51	63	#	5	2	
Number Scoring 85–100	13	17	19	#	1	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	96%	91%	#	83%	40%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	32%	28%	#	17%	20%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	59	69	90	7	5	12	
Number Scoring 55–100	56	62	77	5	3	10	
Number Scoring 65–100	46	57	60	4	2	6	
Number Scoring 85–100	21	23	25	1	1	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	90%	86%	71%	60%	83%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	83%	67%	57%	40%	50%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	33%	28%	14%	20%	8%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	24	40	32	0	0	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	19	36	31	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	12	28	25	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	4	4	6	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	90%	97%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	50%	70%	78%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	10%	19%	0%	0%	#	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics				
Number Tested			3			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			#			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			#			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			#			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			#			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			#			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			#			0%	

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Fre		_	•	
Number Tested	2	12	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	12	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	12	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	10	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	83%	70%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		
Number Tested	7	9	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	7	9	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	4	8	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	2	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	57%	89%	80%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	22%	30%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	13	19	12	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	13	19	12	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	12	17	12	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	4	4	3	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	89%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	21%	25%	0%	0%	#
		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	4	12	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	#	8	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	#	7	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	#	3	0	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	58%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	7	100%	6	100%	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	58	17%	3%	66%	14%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	10	60%	20%	20%	0%
	All Students	68	24%	6%	59%	12%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	69	1%	25%	65%	9%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	8	50%	38%	13%	0%
	All Students	77	6%	26%	60%	8%
						(= =)

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary l	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	56	56	56	10	10	10	66	66	66
Number Scoring 55–64	4	3	4	2	1	2	6	4	6
Number Scoring 65–84	34	18	26	2	3	3	36	21	29
Number Scoring 85–100	10	23	21	0	0	0	10	23	21
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Stude	Students with Disabilities							
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			5			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0				
Advanced (32–36)			2			0				
Proficient (37–39)			1			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)	)						
Number Tested			5			0				
Beginning (0–14)			1			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			2			0				
Advanced (25–32)			2			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)						
Number Tested			5			1				
Beginning (0–18)			0			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#				
Advanced (32–36)			1			#				
Proficient (37–39)			3			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)	l						
Number Tested			5			1				
Beginning (0–14)			0			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			2			#				
Advanced (25–32)			0			#				
Proficient (33–35)			3			#				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>5)</u>						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ıg (Grade 5–6)	1						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	I	
Number Tested			5			1
Beginning (0–18)			0			#
Intermediate (19–31)			0			#
Advanced (32–36)			1			#
Proficient (37–39)			4			#
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)	)		
Number Tested			5			1
Beginning (0–14)			0			#
Intermediate (15–24)			2			#
Advanced (25–32)			1			#
Proficient (33–35)			2			#
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–18)			2			0
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			2			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)		
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			3			0
Advanced (25–32)			3			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)