# **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 14-07-02-03-0000

Name: Cheektowaga-Maryvale Union Free School District

Superintendent: Gary L. Brader

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	36	0
Kindergarten	184	156	181
First	175	178	163
Second	177	171	175
Third	181	184	169
Fourth	197	184	182
Fifth	212	209	190
Sixth	214	227	217
Ungraded Elementary	10	23	0
Seventh	185	227	223
Eighth	203	189	212
Ninth	210	225	177
Tenth	174	215	214
Eleventh	178	169	210
Twelfth	206	188	165
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	2506	2545	2478

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	2001–02 2002–03 2003–0		2002-03		3–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	35	1.4%	39	1.5%	54	2.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	71	2.8%	92	3.6%	103	4.2%
Hispanic	11	0.4%	12	0.5%	23	0.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	2389	95.3%	2402	94.4%	2298	92.7%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	23	17	20						
Common Branch	21	21	21						
English Grade 8	20	18	20						
Mathematics Grade 8	20	18	20						
Science Grade 8	21	18	20						
Social Studies Grade 8	20	18	20						
English Grade 10	18	19	18						
Mathematics Grade 10	21	19	17						
Science Grade 10	18	17	20						
Social Studies Grade 10	17	18	21						

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

9 1	200	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	33	1.3%	35	1.4%	36	1.5%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	293	11.7%	341	13.4%	395	15.9%	

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.7%		95.2%		95.4%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	58	2.3%	77	3.1%	87	3.4%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	9.1%	8.3%	10.5%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

> <b></b>	•
Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	184
Total Other Professional Staff	35
Total Paraprofessionals	28
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	151	94	62%	143	99	69%	123	99	80%	
Students with Disabilities	9	1	11%	11	0	0%	16	2	12%	
All Students	160	95	59%	154	99	64%	139	101	73%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	71	40	0	5	16	7
Percent	51%	29%	0%	4%	12%	5%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
16	2	1	17

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	200	1–02	2002–03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	17		16		9	1.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	4		21		21	3.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	21		37		30	4.3%
Students	Dropped Out	9		5		4	3.6%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		7		2	1.8%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	9		12		6	5.4%
All	Dropped Out	26	3.4%	21	2.6%	13	1.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	4	0.5%	28	3.5%	23	2.9%
Students	Total Noncompleters	30	3.9%	49	6.1%	36	4.5%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	99%	98%	100%
2–3	49%	98%	100%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Flan, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	354	333
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	39	39
4–5	Number of All Students	0	393	372
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	98%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	549	581	589
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	53	62	63
0-8	Number of All Students	602	643	652
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	99%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	683	706	682
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	85	91	84
9–12	Number of All Students	768	797	766
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	132		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	132	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	132	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	132	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	132		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	132	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	37	56%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	14	27%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	36	64%	51	92%	16	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	135	80%	160	93%	136	84%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	3	#	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	12	67%	18	56%	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	4	#	0	0%	2	#
Science	3	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	1	#	0	0%	1	#
Writing	1	#	0	0%	1	#
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2003	2–03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	50	64%	18	89%
Science	1	#	5	40%	10	90%
Reading	1	#	11	100%	11	82%
Writing	1	#	15	87%	13	85%
Global Studies	0	0%	17	12%	13	62%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	4	#

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	Negents	, L'Aaiiii	mations	<u>,                                      </u>		
		All Students	3	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	182	172	203	2	24	20
Number Scoring 55–100	162	146	189	#	11	9
Number Scoring 65–100	145	134	186	#	5	7
Number Scoring 85–100	42	41	59	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	85%	93%	#	46%	45%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	78%	92%	#	21%	35%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	24%	29%	#	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				-
Number Tested	144	310	232	0	18	22
Number Scoring 55–100	108	282	228	0	9	20
Number Scoring 65–100	99	260	215	0	5	13
Number Scoring 85–100	37	57	48	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	75%	91%	98%	0%	50%	91%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	84%	93%	0%	28%	59%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	18%	21%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	86	106	0	3	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	64	82	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	50	65	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	7	8	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	74%	77%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	58%	61%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	8%	8%	0%	#	#
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	171	218	227	1	24	26
Number Scoring 55–100	168	190	215	#	12	18
Number Scoring 65–100	149	175	200	#	7	14
Number Scoring 85–100	22	65	76	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	87%	95%	#	50%	69%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	80%	88%	#	29%	54%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	30%	33%	#	0%	4%
	U.S. Histo	ory and Gover	rnment		•	1
Number Tested	183	179	185	2	25	17
Number Scoring 55–100	169	176	173	#	22	11
Number Scoring 65–100	152	165	166	#	15	7
Number Scoring 85–100	48	81	97	#	3	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	98%	94%	#	88%	65%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	92%	90%	#	60%	41%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	45%	52%	#	12%	18%

(Form – F)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04				
Living Environment										
Number Tested	227	246	194	0	30	21				
Number Scoring 55–100	221	240	184	0	24	17				
Number Scoring 65–100	214	227	170	0	17	13				
Number Scoring 85–100	63	59	55	0	1	1				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	95%	0%	80%	81%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	92%	88%	0%	57%	62%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	24%	28%	0%	3%	5%				
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science							
Number Tested	190	210	221	1	23	25				
Number Scoring 55–100	178	186	202	#	11	18				
Number Scoring 65–100	165	167	183	#	5	10				
Number Scoring 85–100	68	80	52	#	2	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	89%	91%	#	48%	72%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	80%	83%	#	22%	40%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	38%	24%	#	9%	0%				
		Setting/Chen								
Number Tested	107	129	121	0	4	3				
Number Scoring 55–100	104	123	118	0	#	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	81	100	91	0	#	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	9	17	10	0	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	95%	98%	0%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	78%	75%	0%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	13%	8%	0%	#	#				
	Physica	al Setting/Phy								
Number Tested			42			1				
Number Scoring 55–100			37			#				
Number Scoring 65–100			30			#				
Number Scoring 85–100			10			#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			88%			#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			71%			#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			24%	. 11	4 D	#				

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Kegents	<b>Exami</b>	nauons			
		All Students	1	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Fre	nch			
Number Tested	16	13	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	16	13	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	16	11	21	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	4	6	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	96%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	85%	91%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	31%	26%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	ian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
-	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	42	76	84	0	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	41	73	79	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	39	72	74	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	10	25	16	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	94%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	95%	88%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	33%	19%	0%	#	0%
	Comp	rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04		
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	122	17	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	101	11	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	87	9	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	46	0	0	0	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	83%	65%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	53%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04	
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	208	94%	155	96%	182	92%
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	25	56%	27	70%

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	168	2%	4%	65%	29%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	23	30%	13%	48%	9%
	All Students	191	6%	5%	63%	26%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	189	2%	22%	63%	14%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	29	21%	55%	21%	3%
	All Students	218	4%	26%	57%	12%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary 1	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	131	131	131	22	22	22	153	153	153
Number Scoring 55–64	1	1	0	4	3	4	5	4	4
Number Scoring 65–84	102	49	72	12	9	14	114	58	86
Number Scoring 85–100	23	75	58	0	3	0	23	78	58
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			7			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			3			0				
Advanced (32–36)			4			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade K–1)	)		•				
Number Tested			7			0				
Beginning (0–14)			1			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			4			0				
Advanced (25–32)			2			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	)		•				
Number Tested			8			1				
Beginning (0–18)			0			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#				
Advanced (32–36)			5			#				
Proficient (37–39)			2			#				
, , ,	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			8			1				
Beginning (0–14)			1			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			2			#				
Advanced (25–32)			3			#				
Proficient (33–35)			2			#				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>(</u>						
Number Tested			5			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			5			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			5			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			4			0				
Proficient (33–35)			1			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	I	1		
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0		
Advanced (32–36)			0			0		
Proficient (37–39)			0			0		
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)	)				
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–14)			0			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0		
Advanced (25–32)			0			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			9			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0		
Advanced (32–36)			5			0		
Proficient (37–39)			2			0		
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)				
Number Tested			10			0		
Beginning (0–14)			0			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			4			0		
Advanced (25–32)			6			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)