# New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:14-07-03-02-0000Name:Cleveland Hill Union Free School DistrictSuperintendent:Bruce Inglis

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	113	133	122
First	129	112	132
Second	113	130	106
Third	156	114	121
Fourth	120	137	115
Fifth	110	113	143
Sixth	141	105	107
Ungraded Elementary	22	23	37
Seventh	123	138	104
Eighth	130	129	136
Ninth	122	140	116
Tenth	109	120	132
Eleventh	111	92	123
Twelfth	86	103	93
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1585	1589	1587

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		2 2002–03		3–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	62	3.9%	74	4.7%	73	4.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	273	17.2%	317	19.9%	348	21.9%
Hispanic	53	3.3%	58	3.7%	57	3.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	1197	75.5%	1140	71.7%	1109	69.9%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	19	19	17
Common Branch	20	20	20
English Grade 8	19	18	21
Mathematics Grade 8	16	17	22
Science Grade 8	19	19	23
Social Studies Grade 8	22	20	23
English Grade 10	18	22	20
Mathematics Grade 10	19	27	24
Science Grade 10	18	21	25
Social Studies Grade 10	19	21	19

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002-03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	11	0.7%	12	0.8%	13	0.8%
Eligible for Free Lunch	293	18.5%	343	21.6%	282	17.8%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.3%		94.3%		94.3%
Student Suspensions	66	4.2%	105	6.6%	43	2.7%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.3%	9.9%	9.2%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	126				
Total Other Professional Staff	18				
Total Paraprofessionals	24				
Teaching Out of Certification*	1				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

		2001–02			2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	71	43	61%	83	55	66%	77	51	66%	
Students with Disabilities	7	0	0%	13	1	8%	13	3	23%	
All Students	78	43	55%	96	56	58%	90	54	60%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	39	37	1	1	11	1
Percent	43%	41%	1%	1%	12%	1%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
13	3	2	15

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	2001–02		2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	8		6		24	6.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	10		7		3	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	18		13		27	6.8%
Students	Dropped Out	4		4		10	9.2%
with	Entered GED Program*	3		5		2	1.8%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	7		9		12	11.0%
All	Dropped Out	12	2.8%	10	2.2%	34	6.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	13	3.0%	12	2.6%	5	1.0%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	25	5.8%	22	4.8%	39	7.7%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	131	82%	111	96%	130	85%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	9	67%	8	88%	8	75%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
1051	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	1	#	0	0%	
Science	4	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	1	#	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	1	#	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	5	100%	0	0%	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	3	#	7	71%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	8	88%	2	#	4	#	
Science	10	50%	2	#	3	#	
Reading	12	100%	0	0%	5	80%	
Writing	12	100%	0	0%	5	100%	
Global Studies	10	70%	11	36%	13	54%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	7	57%	10	50%	9	33%	

(Form - E)

	8		nations		· ·· •	
	2001.05	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
NT 1 77 - 1		ehensive Eng		10		1.5
Number Tested	115	96	130	13	14	15
Number Scoring 55–100	105	84	124	9	11	12
Number Scoring 65–100	86	71	109	5	8	7
Number Scoring 85–100	25	20	41	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	88%	95%	69%	79%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	74%	84%	38%	57%	47%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	21%	32%	0%	0%	13%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	102	140	145	6	14	18
Number Scoring 55–100	84	110	139	6	9	12
Number Scoring 65–100	73	98	132	6	8	11
Number Scoring 85–100	18	15	28	1	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	79%	96%	100%	64%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	70%	91%	100%	57%	61%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	11%	19%	17%	7%	11%
	Ma	athematics <b>B</b>				
Number Tested	63	64	76	2	2	3
Number Scoring 55–100	52	36	54	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	45	26	40	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	7	1	4	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	83%	56%	71%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	41%	53%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	2%	5%	#	#	#
		tory and Geo			•	
Number Tested	103	133	159	12	19	31
Number Scoring 55–100	95	119	147	9	9	24
Number Scoring 65–100	78	101	131	5	7	19
Number Scoring 85–100	15	40	38	1	3	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	89%	92%	75%	47%	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	76%	82%	42%	37%	61%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	30%	24%	8%	16%	13%
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	107	107	129	12	19	16
Number Scoring 55–100	97	99	121	6	17	13
Number Scoring 65–100	85	82	104	4	9	8
Number Scoring 85–100	15	26	49	0	0	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	93%	94%	50%	89%	81%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	77%	81%	33%	47%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	24%	38%	0%	0%	25%

(Form - F)

	Regents			0		
		All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	1	g Environme			-	
Number Tested	119	87	138	13	9	23
Number Scoring 55–100	119	85	132	13	8	18
Number Scoring 65–100	116	77	120	12	6	14
Number Scoring 85–100	40	11	15	1	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	96%	100%	89%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	89%	87%	92%	67%	61%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	13%	11%	8%	11%	9%
		etting/Earth				
Number Tested	106	151	118	5	17	14
Number Scoring 55–100	95	137	107	3	15	10
Number Scoring 65–100	77	124	89	3	12	6
Number Scoring 85–100	21	30	29	2	3	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	91%	91%	60%	88%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	82%	75%	60%	71%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	20%	25%	40%	18%	7%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry	-	-	
Number Tested	46	81	56	0	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	41	75	52	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	21	61	33	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	6	5	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	93%	93%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	46%	75%	59%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	7%	9%	0%	#	#
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			23			0
Number Scoring 55–100			23			0
Number Scoring 65–100			18			0
Number Scoring 85–100			5			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			78%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			22%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents			1		1 .1
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	0	
Number Tested	2	2	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		<u> </u>		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger	man			1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	69	53	62	1	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	69	52	60	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	68	51	60	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	36	30	17	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	97%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	96%	97%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	57%	27%	#	#	#
	Comp	rehensive La	tin	-		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	•	•		-	•	(Form –

(Form - H)

		All Students	-	Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	4	1	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%			

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
47	100%	54	96%	53	85%
14	93%	15	73%	24	79%
	No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing   47 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   47 100% 54	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   47 100% 54 96%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   47 100% 54 96% 53

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	129	4%	12%	49%	36%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	23	13%	0%	70%	17%
	All Students	152	5%	10%	52%	33%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	118	1%	35%	50%	14%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	20	20%	70%	10%	0%
	All Students	138	4%	40%	44%	12%

(Form - I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students							
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
		Elementary	Level					
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#		
		Middle Le	vel					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		Secondary I	Level					
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0		

### 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	72	72	72	17	17	17	89	89	89
Number Scoring 55–64	3	5	0	1	4	1	4	9	1
Number Scoring 65–84	50	41	41	6	6	7	56	47	48
Number Scoring 85–100	12	21	28	0	0	1	12	21	29
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

### New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		I
Number Tested			4			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ig (Grade K-1	)		
Number Tested			4			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			4			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			4			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>6)</u>		•
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)	luciaistans din the 200		#	ta data famali a		0

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)