New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 15-02-03-04-0000

Name: Crown Point Central School District

Superintendent: Shari L. Brannock

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	20	20	18
First	24	16	18
Second	23	20	17
Third	16	20	19
Fourth	25	17	19
Fifth	24	26	15
Sixth	38	29	27
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	28	36	32
Eighth	32	30	33
Ninth	40	34	30
Tenth	24	38	36
Eleventh	31	24	38
Twelfth	24	29	22
Ungraded Secondary	1	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	350	339	324

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001	1–02	2002-03		2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	3	0.9%	4	1.2%	0	0.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	4	1.2%	4	1.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	347	99.1%	331	97.6%	319	98.5%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size		Avelage Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04							
Kindergarten	20	20	16							
Common Branch	19	18	19							
English Grade 8	12	17	11							
Mathematics Grade 8	21	0	13							
Science Grade 8	16	30	38							
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	33							
English Grade 10	13	18	36							
Mathematics Grade 10	0	0	0							
Science Grade 10	0	0	0							
Social Studies Grade 10	0	36	0							

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

3 1	200	2001-02		2002-03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	2	0.6%	3	0.9%
Eligible for Free Lunch	106	30.3%	123	36.3%	110	34.0%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.3%		95.0%		94.3%
Student Suspensions	38	10.9%	15	4.3%	8	2.4%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	5.4%	6.2%	6.2%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

20011 20011103					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	30				
Total Other Professional Staff	5				
Total Paraprofessionals	12				
Teaching Out of Certification*	4				

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

		2001–02			2002–03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	24	11	46%	24	15	62%	21	15	71%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	
All Students	24	11	46%	24	15	62%	23	15	65%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	9	8	2	0	4	0
Percent	39%	35%	9%	0%	17%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
2	0	0	2

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	200	2001–02		2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		2		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1		2		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		1	12.5%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		1	12.5%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.8%	2	1.6%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	0.8%	2	1.6%	1	0.9%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	42%
2–3	0%	0%	67%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	56	62	78
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	3	4	14
6–8	Number of All Students	59	66	92
	Percent of Enrollment	60%	69%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	114	121	118
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	5	4	8
9–12	Number of All Students	119	125	126
	Percent of Enrollment	99%	100%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Brogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	6		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	6	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	6	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	6	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	6		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	6	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	7	39%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	0		19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	25	36%	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Т.,4	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	1	#	1	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	1	#	1	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	3	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	

Students with Disabilities

Students With Districts									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	7	57%			
Science	0	0%	3	#	3	#			
Reading	1	#	0	0%	3	#			
Writing	1	#	0	0%	2	#			
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	2	#			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#			

(Form - E)

August Laminations										
		All Students			nts with Disa					
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04				
		ehensive Eng		T	1					
Number Tested	28	25	37	0	1	1				
Number Scoring 55–100	25	22	34	0	#	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	20	17	24	0	#	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	6	6	10	0	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	88%	92%	0%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	68%	65%	0%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	24%	27%	0%	#	#				
	Ma	athematics A								
Number Tested	37	30	26	0	1	1				
Number Scoring 55–100	34	27	25	0	#	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	27	27	24	0	#	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	8	9	8	0	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	90%	96%	0%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	90%	92%	0%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	30%	31%	0%	#	#				
		athematics B								
Number Tested	14	0	13	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	11	0	9	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	11	0	8	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	3	0	2	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	0%	69%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	0%	62%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	0%	15%	0%	0%	0%				
		tory and Geo	l							
Number Tested	27	37	34	0	2	4				
Number Scoring 55–100	26	34	29	0	#	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	23	31	21	0	#	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	3	11	11	0	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	92%	85%	0%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	84%	62%	0%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	30%	32%	0%	#	#				
1 to things of 1 to to a bearing of 100		ry and Gover		0 / 0						
Number Tested	30	22	36	0	0	1				
Number Scoring 55–100	30	21	31	0	0	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	30	19	28	0	0	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	10	6	12	0	0	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	86%	0%	0%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	86%	78%	0%	0%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	27%	33%	0%	0%	#				
1 creentage of residu scoring 65-100	33/0	4//0	JJ/0	U/0	U / 0	#				

(Form - F)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	26	37	28	0	3	1
Number Scoring 55–100	26	35	28	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	26	33	21	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	5	9	5	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	89%	75%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	24%	18%	0%	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	37	51	43	4	7	3
Number Scoring 55–100	27	29	32	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	19	23	19	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	3	2	1	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	73%	57%	74%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	51%	45%	44%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	4%	2%	#	0%	#
		Setting/Chen				
Number Tested	14	0	20	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	13	0	19	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	8	0	12	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	0	2	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	0%	95%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	57%	0%	60%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy				1
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		_		
Number Tested	15	5	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	13	5	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	9	4	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	60%	80%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	0	2	46	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	30	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	12	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	65%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	57%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	26%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	January 2004)	l .	
Number Tested	0	17	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	13	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	13	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	4	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	76%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	76%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing		% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	16	100%	11	100%	9	44%	
Students with Disabilities	1	#	3	#	2	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	12	#	#	#	#
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	3	#	#	#	#
	All Students	15	27%	7%	53%	13%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	29	14%	69%	17%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	6	17%	83%	0%	0%
	All Students	35	14%	71%	14%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	20	20	20	2	2	2	22	22	22
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	1	1
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	16	14	15
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	3	5	5
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		I
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1))		•
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–	1)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
,	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–0	6)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	I	
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8))		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)