## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:16-01-01-06-0000Name:Tupper Lake Central School DistrictSuperintendent:Michael Hunsinger

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	18	37	35
Kindergarten	62	69	61
First	74	79	76
Second	59	70	66
Third	71	76	73
Fourth	72	82	74
Fifth	81	88	84
Sixth	93	90	86
Ungraded Elementary	25	24	20
Seventh	82	102	92
Eighth	101	79	105
Ninth	97	99	75
Tenth	105	95	101
Eleventh	104	101	91
Twelfth	95	92	102
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1121	1146	1106

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001-02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	9	0.8%	10	0.9%	17	1.5%
Black (Not Hispanic)	5	0.4%	14	1.2%	12	1.1%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	5	0.4%	3	0.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	1107	98.8%	1117	97.5%	1074	97.1%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	15	18	0
Common Branch	20	20	19
English Grade 8	19	18	21
Mathematics Grade 8	19	19	19
Science Grade 8	19	19	20
Social Studies Grade 8	19	0	0
English Grade 10	24	21	20
Mathematics Grade 10	21	19	14
Science Grade 10	24	8	19
Social Studies Grade 10	20	15	17

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2002-03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	191	17.0%	197	17.3%	190	17.2%	

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.1%		95.7%		95.2%
Student Suspensions	66	5.9%	51	4.6%	95	8.3%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	8.8%	7.8%	8.4%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts						
Staff 2003–04						
Total Teachers	90					
Total Other Professional Staff	12					
Total Paraprofessionals	24					
Teaching Out of Certification*	5					

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

Ingh School Of addates Earning Regents Diplomas										
		2001–02			2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	71	50	70%	69	44	64%	84	51	61%	
Students with Disabilities	7	1	14%	14	3	21%	10	0	0%	
All Students	78	51	65%	83	47	57%	94	51	54%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	36	39	1	2	14	2
Percent	38%	41%	1%	2%	15%	2%

### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
10	0	0	10

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

			1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	3		4		3	0.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		4	1.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	3		4		7	2.1%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		2	7.7%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		2	7.7%
All	Dropped Out	3	0.7%	4	1.0%	5	1.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	1.1%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	3	0.7%	4	1.0%	9	2.5%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	158	146	172
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	25	34	25
0-0	Number of All Students	183	180	197
	Percent of Enrollment	65%	65%	69%
	Number of General-Education Students	352	321	321
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	50	60	48
9-12	Number of All Students	402	381	369
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	98%	100%

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTF Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	39	72%	22	68%	18	94%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	52	90%	62	97%	61	66%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	17	94%	14	93%
Science	3	#	31	71%	15	73%
Reading	3	#	3	#	3	#
Writing	1	#	3	#	4	#
Global Studies	1	#	1	#	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	1	#	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	12	58%	3	#	1	#	
Science	8	100%	4	#	0	0%	
Reading	8	100%	10	100%	10	90%	
Writing	7	100%	12	100%	10	100%	
Global Studies	2	#	8	38%	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	1	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	97	99	99	14	12	9
Number Scoring 55–100	85	90	91	9	11	4
Number Scoring 65–100	70	75	83	6	8	3
Number Scoring 85–100	43	27	38	2	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	91%	92%	64%	92%	44%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	76%	84%	43%	67%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	44%	27%	38%	14%	0%	0%
		athematics A		-		
Number Tested	11	105	108	4	14	3
Number Scoring 55–100	9	78	107	#	5	#
Number Scoring 65–100	9	67	100	#	4	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	15	9	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	74%	99%	#	36%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	64%	93%	#	29%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	14%	8%	#	0%	#
<u> </u>		athematics <b>B</b>	•	•	•	
Number Tested	0	0	43	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	38	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	32	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	5	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	74%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	070	070
Number Tested	106	93	<u>99</u>	11	9	1
Number Scoring 55–100	99	81	85	9	9	#
Number Scoring 65–100	77	68	76	4	4	#
Number Scoring 85–100	22	16	24	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	87%	86%	82%	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	73%	77%	36%	44%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	17%	24%	0%	0%	#
referringe of rested Scoring 05 100		ory and Gove		070	070	11
Number Tested	86	102	81	15	9	10
Number Scoring 55–100	84	96	78	13	7	10
Number Scoring 65–100	71	90	78	14	7	9
Number Scoring 85–100	23	33	37	2	1	9
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	94%	96%	93%	78%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	94%	96%			90%
	27%	32%	90% 46%	73% 13%	78% 11%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%0	32%	40%	15%	11%	(Earrow

(Form - F)

	Regents			1	/ ·/I D.		
	2001 62	All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
		g Environme	1			0	
Number Tested	83	73	93	1	2	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	83	69	89	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	81	67	82	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	21	17	22	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	96%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	92%	88%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	23%	24%	#	#	0%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth					
Number Tested	73	75	57	2	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	68	73	50	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	65	69	46	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	26	30	19	#	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	97%	88%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	92%	81%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	40%	33%	#	0%	0%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	42	64	48	3	1	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	41	60	43	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	22	36	35	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	1	5	7	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	94%	90%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	52%	56%	73%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	2%	8%	15%	#	#	#	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			12			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			10			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			8			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			83%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			67%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			8%			0%	

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	All Students					
	2001-02 2002-03 2003-04			2001-02	nts with Disa 2002–03	2003-04
		ehensive Fre				
Number Tested	21	16	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	20	16	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	13	15	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	7	14	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	62%	94%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	44%	58%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ita				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compre	ehensive Ger	man	•	L	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew	•	L	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	43	30	35	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	42	30	35	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	40	28	35	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	15	8	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	93%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	27%	29%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	59	42	0	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	53	36	0	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	50	34	0	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	21	12	0	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	86%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	81%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	29%	0%	#	#	0%

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
145	100%	13	100%	3	#
10	100%	5	100%	2	#
	No. Tested	145 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   145 100% 13	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   145 100% 13 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   145 100% 13 100% 3

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	72	0%	11%	63%	26%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	11	36%	18%	36%	9%
	All Students	83	5%	12%	59%	24%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	81	6%	49%	38%	6%
	Students with Disabilities	15	53%	33%	13%	0%
	All Students	96	14%	47%	34%	5%

(Form - I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test Tested Not 7			d Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	85	85	85	12	12	12	97	97	97
Number Scoring 55–64	8	4	1	4	0	1	12	4	2
Number Scoring 65–84	51	48	48	4	7	3	55	55	51
Number Scoring 85–100	20	30	29	0	1	0	20	31	29
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)