New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 16-16-01-04-0002 Grade Range: 7-12

Name: Brushton Moira High School

Principal: Robin Jones

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	64	70	74
Eighth	75	64	70
Ninth	67	81	64
Tenth	80	71	79
Eleventh	68	74	59
Twelfth	57	68	76
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	411	428	422

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	2	0.5%	3	0.7%	6	1.4%
Black (Not Hispanic)	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
Hispanic	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	1	0.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	407	99.0%	423	98.8%	414	98.1%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	18	22	17
Mathematics Grade 8	0	21	0
Science Grade 8	0	25	21
Social Studies Grade 8	19	21	23
English Grade 10	18	23	19
Mathematics Grade 10	0	15	15
Science Grade 10	12	16	23
Social Studies Grade 10	18	26	17

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
48	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001–02 Count Percent		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count			Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	124	30.2%	120	28.0%	145	34.4%	

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001–02		2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.0%		93.0%		94.5%
Student Suspensions	28	6.7%	40	9.7%	74	17.3%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Porcent of Enrollment)

((Percent of Enrollment)							
		2001–02	2002–03	2003-04				
	Reduced Lunch	16.3%	11.7%	16.4%				

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	16.3%	11.7%	16.4%
Public Assistance	51-60%	41-50%	41-50%
Student Stability	95%	100%	86%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	33
Total Other Professional Staff	4
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002–03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	45	17	38%	53	23	43%	66	35	53%	
Students with Disabilities	3	0	0%	6	1	17%	2	0	0%	
All Students	48	17	35%	59	24	41%	68	35	51%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	12	33	1	4	16	2
Percent	18%	49%	1%	6%	24%	3%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
2	0	2	4

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	-	200	1–02	200	2002–03		3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		5		2	0.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		5		2	0.8%
Students	Dropped Out	0		2		1	2.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		2		1	2.3%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		4		2	4.7%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	7	2.4%	3	1.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.4%	2	0.7%	1	0.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	0.4%	9	3.1%	4	1.4%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades	S Developing a career Fran, 4—	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	40	0	0
<i>(</i> 9	Number of Students with Disabilities	10	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	50	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	36%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	40	263	279
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	10	31	19
9–12	Number of All Students	50	294	298
	Percent of Enrollment	18%	100%	107%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested % Passir		
French	36	75%	31	87%	37	86%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	24	67%	25	72%	21	57%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	200	2001–02		2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	4	#	4	#	2	#
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	1	#	1	#	1	#

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	3	#	2	#
Science	0	0%	1	#	4	#
Reading	2	#	3	#	3	#
Writing	1	#	0	0%	3	#
Global Studies	1	#	1	#	1	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	2	#

Students with Disabilities

reaches with Disabilities										
Test	200	1-02	2002	2-03	2003-04					
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing				
Mathematics	2	#	6	83%	3	#				
Science	1	#	7	86%	7	57%				
Reading	0	0%	4	#	5	80%				
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	3	#				
Global Studies	4	#	6	83%	1	#				
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	2	#				

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	regente					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	64	82	77	6	9	12
Number Scoring 55–100	46	60	60	3	1	3
Number Scoring 65–100	33	41	55	1	0	2
Number Scoring 85–100	11	9	19	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	72%	73%	78%	50%	11%	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	52%	50%	71%	17%	0%	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	11%	25%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	55	78	61	5	2	10
Number Scoring 55–100	39	67	58	3	#	9
Number Scoring 65–100	32	47	53	2	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	4	7	15	0	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	71%	86%	95%	60%	#	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	58%	60%	87%	40%	#	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	7%	9%	25%	0%	#	10%
	M	athematics B			•	
Number Tested	0	0	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	3	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	21%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	
Number Tested	77	74	74	8	10	12
Number Scoring 55–100	74	67	70	7	6	9
Number Scoring 65–100	54	64	66	3	5	7
Number Scoring 85–100	10	14	24	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	91%	95%	88%	60%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	70%	86%	89%	38%	50%	58%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	19%	32%	0%	0%	8%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	nment			
Number Tested	58	75	64	7	7	8
Number Scoring 55–100	53	70	58	6	5	6
Number Scoring 65–100	42	61	48	4	3	3
Number Scoring 85–100	7	21	20	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	93%	91%	86%	71%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	81%	75%	57%	43%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	28%	31%	0%	0%	12%

(Form - F)

		All Students	3	Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	ent			
Number Tested	50	46	62	1	1	8
Number Scoring 55–100	50	46	60	#	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	50	40	54	#	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	13	11	18	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	97%	#	#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	87%	87%	#	#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	24%	29%	#	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	63	72	66	7	9	13
Number Scoring 55–100	56	57	42	4	4	4
Number Scoring 65–100	48	45	30	3	1	2
Number Scoring 85–100	12	20	6	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	79%	64%	57%	44%	31%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	62%	45%	43%	11%	15%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	28%	9%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	25	30	29	1	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	25	30	24	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	19	25	18	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	1	5	3	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	83%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	83%	62%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	4%	17%	10%	#	0%	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			18			0
Number Scoring 55–100			16			0
Number Scoring 65–100			14			0
Number Scoring 85–100			7			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			89%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			78%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			39%			0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Negents	s Exami				
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			1	1
Number Tested	38	22	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	36	20	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	32	20	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	10	8	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	91%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	91%	94%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	36%	44%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ita				_
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compi	rehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compi	rehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	5	0	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	5	0	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	5	0	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	0	9	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	94%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	0%	88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	0%	56%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	orehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)										
Number Tested	17	36	1	1	1	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	17	29	#	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	14	24	#	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	5	8	#	#	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	81%	#	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	67%	#	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	22%	#	#	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	26	96%	18	89%	17	82%	
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	4	#	2	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	60	5%	57%	38%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	7	29%	71%	0%	0%
	All Students	67	7%	58%	34%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary 1	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	68	68	68	5	5	5	73	73	73
Number Scoring 55–64	12	4	4	1	0	0	13	4	4
Number Scoring 65–84	38	2	36	2	0	2	40	2	38
Number Scoring 85–100	10	1	22	0	0	0	10	1	22
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	W TOTK State En	All Students		Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04			
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	3)	I	ı			
Number Tested			0			0			
Beginning (0–18)			0			0			
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0			
Advanced (32–36)			0			0			
Proficient (37–39)			0			0			
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8))					
Number Tested			0			0			
Beginning (0–14)			0			0			
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0			
Advanced (25–32)			0			0			
Proficient (33–35)			0			0			
	Listen	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)					
Number Tested			1			0			
Beginning (0–18)			#			0			
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0			
Advanced (32–36)			#			0			
Proficient (37–39)			#			0			
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)									
Number Tested			1			0			
Beginning (0–14)			#			0			
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0			
Advanced (25–32)			#			0			
Proficient (33–35)			#			0			

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)