New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 18-13-02-04-0002 Grade Range: 7-12

Name: Pembroke Junior-Senior High School

Principal: Keith Palmer

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	97	109	109
Eighth	134	100	109
Ninth	122	138	106
Tenth	107	124	132
Eleventh	126	103	115
Twelfth	93	121	103
Ungraded Secondary	0	6	9
Total K-12 Enrollment	679	701	683

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	3	0.4%	8	1.1%	5	0.7%
Black (Not Hispanic)	3	0.4%	5	0.7%	6	0.9%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	673	99.1%	688	98.1%	672	98.4%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size		Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04							
Kindergarten	0	0	0							
Common Branch	0	0	0							
English Grade 8	22	13	22							
Mathematics Grade 8	19	19	18							
Science Grade 8	19	15	16							
Social Studies Grade 8	22	20	23							
English Grade 10	21	25	22							
Mathematics Grade 10	22	15	18							
Science Grade 10	18	19	23							
Social Studies Grade 10	19	24	23							

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
50	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	89	13.1%	97	13.8%	94	13.8%	

Attendance and Suspension

•	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.8%		93.9%		94.9%
Student Suspensions	65	9.0%	59	8.7%	56	8.0%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	7.8%	8.6%	7.6%
Public Assistance	11-20%	11-20%	21-30%
Student Stability	97%	96%	100%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	54
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	79	46	58%	105	63	60%	76	54	71%	
Students with Disabilities	7	0	0%	11	0	0%	21	2	10%	
All Students	86	46	53%	116	63	54%	97	56	58%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	35	45	0	1	16	0
Percent	36%	46%	0%	1%	16%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
21	2	2	23

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

			1–02	2002–03		200	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		4		10	2.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		1		8	2.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		5		18	4.6%
Students	Dropped Out	0		3		1	1.4%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		2	2.8%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		3		3	4.2%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	7	1.4%	11	2.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	10	2.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	8	1.6%	21	4.5%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	180	191
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	29	27
0-8	Number of All Students	0	209	218
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	99%	99%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	400	390
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	72	66
9–12	Number of All Students	0	472	456
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	96%	99%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Buoguam	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	5	60%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	9	100%	7	100%	0	0%
Science	1	#	4	#	0	0%
Reading	1	#	4	#	1	#
Writing	1	#	5	100%	1	#
Global Studies	2	#	3	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	4	#	2	#

Students with Disabilities

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	23	78%	21	76%	6	67%			
Science	14	21%	22	68%	5	80%			
Reading	6	33%	21	90%	17	82%			
Writing	4	#	25	96%	6	100%			
Global Studies	20	65%	21	48%	15	60%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	6	50%	21	62%	9	56%			

(Form - E)

	1	All Co			/ */I TS*	1 *1*4*
	2001.02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
		rehensive Eng			1	
Number Tested	129	107	119	11	11	8
Number Scoring 55–100	119	95	114	7	5	6
Number Scoring 65–100	106	84	104	6	2	4
Number Scoring 85–100	34	24	35	1	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	89%	96%	64%	45%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	79%	87%	55%	18%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	22%	29%	9%	0%	12%
		athematics A			•	
Number Tested	43	159	139	8	17	10
Number Scoring 55–100	8	137	133	1	5	5
Number Scoring 65–100	0	127	132	0	5	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	40	50	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	19%	86%	96%	12%	29%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	80%	95%	0%	29%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	25%	36%	0%	0%	10%
	M	athematics B	•	•	•	•
Number Tested	0	0	44	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	33	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	27	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	75%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	61%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%
<i>g</i>		story and Geo				
Number Tested	117	134	137	19	13	14
Number Scoring 55–100	112	121	133	17	8	10
Number Scoring 65–100	94	116	127	14	6	8
Number Scoring 85–100	15	38	64	1	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	90%	97%	89%	62%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	87%	93%	74%	46%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	28%	47%	5%	0%	0%
		ory and Gove				
Number Tested	122	113	116	10	22	16
Number Scoring 55–100	115	105	110	7	15	10
Number Scoring 65–100	106	99	107	5	12	9
Number Scoring 85–100	29	41	56	1	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	93%	95%	70%	68%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	88%	92%	50%	55%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	36%	48%	10%	9%	0%
1 ordeninge of Tested bearing 05–100	2T/U	20/0	70/0	10/0	2/0	0 / 0

(Form – F)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04			
Living Environment									
Number Tested	88	112	128	10	8	9			
Number Scoring 55–100	84	100	124	10	6	8			
Number Scoring 65–100	84	97	121	10	5	6			
Number Scoring 85–100	37	34	52	2	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	89%	97%	100%	75%	89%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	87%	95%	100%	62%	67%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	30%	41%	20%	0%	0%			
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science						
Number Tested	135	139	116	14	11	14			
Number Scoring 55–100	133	134	103	13	8	12			
Number Scoring 65–100	112	128	92	7	4	11			
Number Scoring 85–100	49	59	34	1	2	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	96%	89%	93%	73%	86%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	92%	79%	50%	36%	79%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	42%	29%	7%	18%	0%			
	Physical	Setting/Chen							
Number Tested	56	63	71	0	2	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	51	57	70	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	38	52	60	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	5	18	18	0	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	90%	99%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	83%	85%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	29%	25%	0%	#	0%			
	Physica	l Setting/Phy	sics						
Number Tested			20			2			
Number Scoring 55–100			18			#			
Number Scoring 65–100			17			#			
Number Scoring 85–100			7			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			90%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			85%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			35%	. 11	41 D	#			

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Fre				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	28	21	25	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	28	21	25	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	28	21	25	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	18	18	19	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	64%	86%	76%	0%	0%	#
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	28	16	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	27	16	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	27	16	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	20	6	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	71%	38%	40%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>	Comp	rehensive La	tin			ı
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	89	53	8	0	2	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	79	50	6	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	70	49	6	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	24	25	4	0	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	94%	75%	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	92%	75%	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	47%	50%	0%	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	53	2%	41	90%	46	98%	
Students with Disabilities	17	0%	9	22%	10	50%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	93	0%	16%	61%	23%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	14	7%	43%	50%	0%
	All Students	107	1%	20%	60%	20%
					,	(= ±)

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Tested Not Tested Level 1 Level 2								
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0 0 0 0 0								
Middle Level										
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#				
		Secondary l	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	77	77	77	24	24	24	101	101	101
Number Scoring 55–64	0	2	0	1	3	3	1	5	3
Number Scoring 65–84	61	36	33	12	10	13	73	46	46
Number Scoring 85–100	14	37	43	0	1	1	14	38	44
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	l	
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8))		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)