New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:19-03-01-04-0000Name:Cairo-Durham Central School DistrictSuperintendent:William Zwoboda

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	129	120	113
First	110	127	123
Second	125	114	123
Third	140	117	112
Fourth	161	145	131
Fifth	145	165	150
Sixth	166	151	154
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	173	161	158
Eighth	143	155	154
Ninth	175	172	191
Tenth	144	161	146
Eleventh	108	122	135
Twelfth	106	102	112
Ungraded Secondary	0	7	11
Total K-12 Enrollment	1825	1819	1813

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	29	1.6%	19	1.0%	23	1.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	16	0.9%	24	1.3%	25	1.4%
Hispanic	42	2.3%	48	2.6%	52	2.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	1738	95.2%	1728	95.0%	1713	94.5%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	17	23	23
Common Branch	22	20	21
English Grade 8	18	16	16
Mathematics Grade 8	18	21	24
Science Grade 8	18	22	27
Social Studies Grade 8	19	22	23
English Grade 10	18	15	15
Mathematics Grade 10	16	22	20
Science Grade 10	0	18	22
Social Studies Grade 10	25	23	23

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	12	0.7%	5	0.3%	6	0.3%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	350	19.2%	427	23.5%	316	17.4%	

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		91.1%		92.4%		92.5%
Student Suspensions	57	3.2%	66	3.6%	78	4.3%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	8.8%	8.3%	11.3%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts						
Staff 2003–04						
Total Teachers	133					
Total Other Professional Staff	16					
Total Paraprofessionals	27					
Teaching Out of Certification*	13					

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

8	2001–02			-	2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	66	40	61%	74	43	58%	82	48	59%	
Students with Disabilities	8	1	12%	9	3	33%	5	3	60%	
All Students	74	41	55%	83	46	55%	87	51	59%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	25	49	0	2	10	1
Percent	29%	56%	0%	2%	11%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
5	3	4	9

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	2		20		16	2.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	17		2		8	1.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	19		22		24	4.2%
Students	Dropped Out	0		14		11	9.1%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		1		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		15		11	9.1%
All	Dropped Out	2	0.4%	34	6.0%	27	3.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	18	3.4%	3	0.5%	8	1.1%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	20	3.8%	37	6.6%	35	5.0%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4 5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	130	383	397
()	Number of Students with Disabilities	13	84	69
6-8	Number of All Students	143	467	466
	Percent of Enrollment	30%	99%	99%
	Number of General-Education Students	441	465	508
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	75	92	87
9–12	Number of All Students	516	557	595
	Percent of Enrollment	97%	99%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	13		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	13	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	11	85%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	13	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	13		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	13	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	77	69%	53	74%	32	81%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	143	76%	20	100%	131	74%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	3	#	2	#	6	83%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	2	#	0	0%	14	36%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	2	#	3	#	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	
Reading	0	0%	2	#	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	4	#	7	43%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	1	#	1	#	

(Form - E)

	negents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	109	109	135	13	12	11
Number Scoring 55–100	107	96	116	12	7	7
Number Scoring 65–100	85	82	91	6	3	6
Number Scoring 85–100	27	23	28	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	88%	86%	92%	58%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	75%	67%	46%	25%	55%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	21%	21%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	126	139	138	10	11	15
Number Scoring 55–100	73	125	133	9	8	11
Number Scoring 65–100	49	87	118	7	3	8
Number Scoring 85–100	12	6	21	2	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	58%	90%	96%	90%	73%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	39%	63%	86%	70%	27%	53%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	4%	15%	20%	0%	0%
	M	athematics B	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	53	0	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	33	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	20	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	1	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	62%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	38%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	#
U	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	•
Number Tested	114	142	145	9	13	13
Number Scoring 55–100	111	135	122	9	13	8
Number Scoring 65–100	104	122	103	6	10	5
Number Scoring 85–100	26	35	32	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	95%	84%	100%	100%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	86%	71%	67%	77%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	25%	22%	0%	0%	8%
<u> </u>	U.S. Histo	ry and Gove	rnment	•	•	•
Number Tested	91	106	112	10	9	8
Number Scoring 55–100	87	104	106	9	8	6
Number Scoring 65–100	75	96	92	7	6	5
Number Scoring 85–100	22	45	40	1	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	98%	95%	90%	89%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	91%	82%	70%	67%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	42%	36%	10%	11%	12%

(Form - F)

	Regents			0			
		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	1	<u>g Environme</u>	1				
Number Tested	107	125	109	11	9	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	107	125	106	11	9	5	
Number Scoring 65–100	107	121	102	11	8	3	
Number Scoring 85–100	22	36	20	1	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	97%	94%	100%	89%	60%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	29%	18%	9%	0%	0%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science	-	-		
Number Tested	110	164	155	11	14	18	
Number Scoring 55–100	101	142	137	11	10	13	
Number Scoring 65–100	87	106	114	11	8	10	
Number Scoring 85–100	13	26	34	0	0	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	87%	88%	100%	71%	72%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	65%	74%	100%	57%	56%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	16%	22%	0%	0%	6%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	95	102	77	5	3	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	87	92	71	4	#	5	
Number Scoring 65–100	57	59	53	3	#	3	
Number Scoring 85–100	1	2	2	0	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	90%	92%	80%	#	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	60%	58%	69%	60%	#	60%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	1%	2%	3%	0%	#	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			7			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			7			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			7			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			2			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			29%			0%	

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

Г	Regents				/ ·/I TS ·	1 .1
	0001 00	All Students	1		nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			0	2
Number Tested	14	14	61	2	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	10	14	56	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	6	13	51	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	5	17	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	71%	100%	92%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	43%	93%	84%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	36%	28%	#	0%	#
N. 1. (7) . 1	Comp	rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	l	l	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb			I	I
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa		•	1	1
Number Tested	32	36	45	0	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	32	36	44	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	29	34	41	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	20	23	18	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	94%	91%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	64%	40%	0%	#	#
	Comp	rehensive La	<u>tin</u>			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
_						(Form –

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	65	89	14	6	5	1				
Number Scoring 55–100	51	65	7	4	3	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	45	48	4	3	2	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	22	10	0	1	1	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	78%	73%	50%	67%	60%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	54%	29%	50%	40%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	34%	11%	0%	17%	20%	#				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing		% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
54	96%	62	98%	6	100%
7	100%	6	83%	0	0%
	No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing 54 96%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 54 96% 62	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 54 96% 62 98%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 54 96% 62 98% 6

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	126	8%	9%	65%	18%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	22	27%	32%	41%	0%
	All Students	148	11%	12%	61%	16%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	130	1%	46%	50%	3%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	23	17%	70%	13%	0%
	All Students	153	3%	50%	44%	3%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Le	vel						
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	132	132	132	27	27	27	159	159	159	
Number Scoring 55–64	4	4	5	1	1	0	5	5	5	
Number Scoring 65–84	63	46	65	9	5	11	72	51	76	
Number Scoring 85–100	24	38	33	0	1	1	24	39	34	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)	I	
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ig (Grade K–1)		•
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–	4)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–	6)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin				
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)		02 02	#	ta data fan all a		0

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form – K)

	w York State Eng	All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	B)			
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)	-			
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)			
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

x7 1 04 4 1 1.1 C лı A 1 · 4 **T** AT ANVOLOL AT *

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)