New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:	20-09-01-04-0001
Name:	Wells School
Principal:	Paul G. Williamsen

Grade Range : PK-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	9	9	6
Kindergarten	11	10	10
First	13	10	10
Second	12	11	12
Third	18	10	13
Fourth	9	16	12
Fifth	8	11	18
Sixth	11	5	10
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	14	10	6
Eighth	14	15	13
Ninth	11	11	12
Tenth	27	19	16
Eleventh	21	23	17
Twelfth	30	20	25
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	199	171	174

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	197	99.0%	171	100.0%	174	100.0%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	11	10	10
Common Branch	12	10	13
English Grade 8	14	15	12
Mathematics Grade 8	0	13	12
Science Grade 8	14	15	12
Social Studies Grade 8	14	15	15
English Grade 10	13	9	8
Mathematics Grade 10	0	7	15
Science Grade 10	26	18	15
Social Studies Grade 10	15	9	11

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to
5	district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
64	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02CountPercent		2002-03		2003-04	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	28	14.1%	30	17.5%	33	20.1%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of % of		No. of % of		No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.0%		94.2%		95.3%
Student Suspensions	3	1.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Reduced Lunch	10.1%	8.8%	22.6%
Public Assistance	21-30%	21-30%	1-10%
Student Stability	100%	100%	96%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	30
Total Other Professional Staff	7
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02			2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	27	22	81%	19	12	63%	19	18	95%
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	2	0	0%	3	0	0%
All Students	29	22	76%	21	12	57%	22	18	82%

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	6	13	0	2	1	0
Percent	27%	59%	0%	9%	5%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
3	0	1	4

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stutellis	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	100%	75%
2–3	0%	100%	88%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	23	25
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	4	5
4–5	Number of All Students	0	27	30
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	30	21	19
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	9	9	10
0-0	Number of All Students	39	30	29
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	77	61	61
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	12	12	13
9-12	Number of All Students	89	73	74
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	106%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide	
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average	
All CTE Programs				
Completed the CTE Program				
Completed and Passed Regents Exams				
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More				
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent				
Completed and Whose Status is Known				
Completed and Were Successfully Placed				
Nontraditional Programs				
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled				
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed				

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	200	1-02	2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	9	89%	11	100%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001	1-02	2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	2	#	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	3	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	2	#	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form – E)

			nations			
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	21	25	19	4	4	1
Number Scoring 55–100	19	20	18	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	15	18	18	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	8	6	9	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	80%	95%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	72%	95%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	24%	47%	#	#	#
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	15	19	21	4	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	10	16	20	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	8	13	19	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	4	4	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	67%	84%	95%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	53%	68%	90%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	21%	19%	#	#	#
U	Ma	athematics B	•		•	
Number Tested	0	0	9	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	7	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	6	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	78%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo			•	•
Number Tested	27	17	22	4	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	27	17	22	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	25	17	20	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	14	10	8	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	100%	91%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	59%	36%	#	#	#
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	22	28	19	4	4	4
Number Scoring 55–100	22	28	19	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	20	27	19	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	8	15	13	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	96%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	54%	68%	#	#	#

(Form – F)

	Regents					
		All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt	-		
Number Tested	23	19	21	1	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	23	17	21	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	23	16	21	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	8	10	2	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	100%	89%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	100%	84%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	35%	53%	10%	#	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	12	12	13	2	3	2
Number Scoring 55–100	11	11	13	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	8	9	13	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	1	4	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	92%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	67%	75%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	17%	8%	31%	#	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	10	13	10	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	10	10	10	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	8	9	8	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	1	1	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	77%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	80%	69%	80%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	10%	8%	10%	0%	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			9			0
Number Scoring 55–100			8			0
Number Scoring 65–100			8			0
Number Scoring 85–100			4			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			89%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			89%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			44%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					1. 11/4 -	
	2001 02	All Students	1		nts with Disa		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0	
Number Tested	5	1	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	5	#	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	5	#	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	3	#	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		rehensive Ita					
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Ger			1	1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Heb				1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	0	8	6	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	8	6	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	8	6	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	3	4	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	38%	67%	0%	0%	0%	
	Comp	orehensive La	tin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	•	•	•	•	•	(Form –	

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)										
Number Tested	8	13	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	8	13	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	8	12	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	3	3	0	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	92%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
15	100%	9	100%	0	0%
0	0%	4	#	0	0%
		15 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 15 100% 9	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 15 100% 9 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 15 100% 9 100% 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	16	#	#	#	#
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	1	#	#	#	#
	All Students	17	0%	0%	76%	24%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	9	#	#	#	#
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	4	#	#	#	#
	All Students	13	0%	23%	46%	31%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students										
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level											
Social Studies 0 0 0 0 0 0											
Middle Level											
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Secondary Level											
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0					

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	20	20	20	3	3	3	23	23	23	
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	6	9	10	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	14	14	13	
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0	

(Form – J)