New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:21-08-00-05-0000Name:Little Falls City School DistrictSuperintendent:William A. Gokey

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	76	75	99
First	91	91	84
Second	84	80	84
Third	89	83	83
Fourth	80	95	91
Fifth	106	81	91
Sixth	101	107	90
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	77	104	104
Eighth	79	73	95
Ninth	113	103	93
Tenth	93	87	78
Eleventh	100	81	76
Twelfth	79	97	90
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	7
Total K-12 Enrollment	1168	1157	1165

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	7	0.6%	7	0.6%	8	0.7%
Black (Not Hispanic)	8	0.7%	5	0.4%	12	1.0%
Hispanic	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	3	0.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	1150	98.5%	1143	98.8%	1142	98.0%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	15	17	19
Common Branch	19	18	21
English Grade 8	16	14	19
Mathematics Grade 8	16	15	18
Science Grade 8	16	16	19
Social Studies Grade 8	15	14	18
English Grade 10	15	14	0
Mathematics Grade 10	0	15	20
Science Grade 10	22	24	20
Social Studies Grade 10	15	17	15

(Form - A)

Little Falls City School District

21-08-00-05-0000 03/08/05

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to
	district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Eligible for Free Lunch	420	36.0%	336	29.0%	307	26.4%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		200	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.3%		94.3%		94.3%
Student Suspensions	81	6.6%	84	7.2%	74	6.4%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	9.9%	9.3%	14.9%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	102				
Total Other Professional Staff	14				
Total Paraprofessionals	25				
Teaching Out of Certification*	5				

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001-02				2002-03		2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	64	41	64%	73	37	51%	1	1	100%
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
All Students	66	41	62%	77	39	51%	1	1	100%

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	0	1	0	0	0	0
Percent	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
0	0	0	0

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	19		25		24	7.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	5		3		1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	24		28		25	7.9%
Students	Dropped Out	3		3		4	12.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	4		3		4	12.9%
All	Dropped Out	22	5.7%	28	7.6%	28	8.1%
All Students	Entered GED Program*	6	1.6%	3	0.8%	1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	28	7.3%	31	8.4%	29	8.4%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	46%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	92	67	76
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	14	14	15
4–5	Number of All Students	106	81	91
	Percent of Enrollment	57%	46%	50%
	Number of General-Education Students	214	242	249
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	43	42	40
0-0	Number of All Students	257	284	289
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	99%
	Number of General-Education Students	283	323	300
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	51	45	44
9-12	Number of All Students	334	368	344
	Percent of Enrollment	87%	100%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	22		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	20	91%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	22	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	21	95%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	0		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	0		96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	2	9%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	12	100%	15	80%	29	90%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	9	100%	44	82%	35	63%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	4	#	2	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%	
Science	1	#	4	#	0	0%	
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	3	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	2	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

2001-02	All Students	5	Stude	nts with Disa	hilitioc	
2001-02				Students with Disabilities		
	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	ehensive Eng		ſ	1	1	
86	66	69	4	0	2	
	-			-	#	
					#	
49					#	
99%	97%	100%		0%	#	
88%	95%	97%		0%	#	
57%	59%	46%	#	0%	#	
Ma	athematics A					
64	63	81	2	0	2	
42	47	76	#	0	#	
32	43	61	#	0	#	
15	17	23	#	0	#	
66%	75%	94%	#	0%	#	
50%	68%	75%	#	0%	#	
23%	27%	28%	#	0%	#	
		0	0	0	0	
					0	
					0	
					0	
					0%	
					0%	
					0%	
			070	070	0,0	
			0	5	1	
					#	
					#	
				1	#	
				80%	#	
					#	
					#	
			070	2070	"	
			5	0	3	
-					#	
-				-	#	
					#	
-			-	-	#	
					#	
					#	
	85 76 49 99% 88% 57% Ma 64 42 32 15 66% 50% 23% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0% 0% Global His 74 71 65 19 96% 88% 26%	85 64 76 63 49 39 $99%$ $97%$ $88%$ $95%$ $57%$ $59%$ Mathematics A 64 63 42 47 32 43 15 17 $66%$ $75%$ $50%$ $68%$ $23%$ $27%$ Mathematics B 0	85 64 69 76 63 67 49 39 32 $99%$ $97%$ $100%$ $88%$ $95%$ $97%$ $57%$ $59%$ $46%$ Mathematics A 64 63 81 42 47 76 32 43 61 15 17 23 $66%$ $75%$ $94%$ $50%$ $68%$ $75%$ $94%$ $50%$ $68%$ $75%$ $23%$ $27%$ $28%$ $Mathematics B$ 0	85 64 69 # 49 39 32 # $99%$ $97%$ $100%$ # $88%$ $95%$ $97%$ # $57%$ $59%$ $46%$ # Mathematics A ************************************	85 64 69 # 0 76 63 67 # 0 49 39 32 # 0 99% $97%$ $100%$ # $0%$ 88% $95%$ $97%$ # $0%$ 88% $95%$ $97%$ # $0%$ 57% $59%$ $46%$ # $0%$ Mathematics A	

(Form – F)

	Regents			0		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme		8		1
Number Tested	78	87	64	1	4	1
Number Scoring 55–100	78	86	64	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	75	75	57	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	19	21	22	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	86%	89%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	24%	34%	#	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	96	83	71	6	2	6
Number Scoring 55–100	93	75	62	5	#	3
Number Scoring 65–100	77	66	50	3	#	2
Number Scoring 85–100	21	27	15	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	90%	87%	83%	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	80%	70%	50%	#	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	33%	21%	0%	#	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	25	26	40	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	25	26	35	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	23	24	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	4	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	92%	65%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	15%	20%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			17			0
Number Scoring 55–100			17			0
Number Scoring 65–100			14			0
Number Scoring 85–100			2			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			82%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			12%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					1. 11.4.
	2001 02	All Students	1		nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Noushou Texted		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	16	21	17	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	14	19	17	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	11	18	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	7	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	90%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	86%	94%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	33%	41%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	-	ehensive Ger		1	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Het		•	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	33	24	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	33	23	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	33	23	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	14	17	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	96%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	96%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	71%	71%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	orehensive La	itin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
			•	-	•	(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered .	January 2004)	•
Number Tested	45	47	30	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	39	38	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	35	35	20	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	16	13	6	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	81%	73%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	74%	67%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	36%	28%	20%	0%	0%	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
37	100%	22	86%	13	100%
1	#	3	#	0	0%
	No. Tested	8	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	73	1%	7%	63%	29%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	12	33%	17%	42%	8%
	All Students	85	6%	8%	60%	26%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	86	1%	42%	48%	9%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	11	18%	73%	9%	0%
	All Students	97	3%	45%	43%	8%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
		Middle Le	vel						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	79	79	79	7	7	7	86	86	86
Number Scoring 55–64	3	6	3	1	0	0	4	6	3
Number Scoring 65–84	48	35	43	0	0	0	48	35	43
Number Scoring 85–100	19	26	26	0	0	0	19	26	26
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)		•
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writiı	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	5)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)