New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 21-08-00-05-0005 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Little Falls High School

Principal: Louis J. Patiel

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	113	103	93
Tenth	93	87	78
Eleventh	100	81	76
Twelfth	79	97	90
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	7
Total K-12 Enrollment	385	368	344

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	1	0.3%	2	0.5%	4	1.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Hispanic	1	0.3%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	383	99.5%	364	98.9%	339	98.5%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size							
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04					
Kindergarten	0	0	0					
Common Branch	0	0	0					
English Grade 8	0	0	0					
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0					
Science Grade 8	0	0	0					
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0					
English Grade 10	15	14	0					
Mathematics Grade 10	0	15	21					
Science Grade 10	22	24	20					
Social Studies Grade 10	15	17	15					

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
46	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the lower range of
	student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	126	32.7%	82	22.3%	27	7.9%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of % of		No. of % of		No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		90.5%		90.0%		90.3%
Student Suspensions	50	12.4%	58	15.1%	42	11.4%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

(
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04					
Reduced Lunch	8.6%	6.5%	19.8%					
Public Assistance	31-40%	31-40%	31-40%					
Student Stability	94%	81%	94%					

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	29
Total Other Professional Staff	3
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002–03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	64	41	64%	73	37	51%	1	1	100%	
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%	
All Students	66	41	62%	77	39	51%	1	1	100%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	0	1	0	0	0	0
Percent	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
0	0	0	0

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		200	2002–03		3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	19		25		24	7.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	5		3		1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	24		28		25	7.9%
Students	Dropped Out	3		3		4	12.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	4		3		4	12.9%
All	Dropped Out	22	5.7%	28	7.6%	28	8.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	6	1.6%	3	0.8%	1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	28	7.3%	31	8.4%	29	8.4%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	283	323	300
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	51	45	44
9–12	Number of All Students	334	368	344
	Percent of Enrollment	87%	100%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	•		
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%	
Science	1	#	4	#	0	0%	
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	3	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

 $\overline{(Form - E)}$

	Regents	Exami	Hauons)		
		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	86	66	69	4	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	85	64	69	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	76	63	67	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	49	39	32	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	95%	97%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	57%	59%	46%	#	0%	#
	M	athematics A				•
Number Tested	64	63	81	2	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	42	47	76	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	32	43	61	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	15	17	23	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	66%	75%	94%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	50%	68%	75%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	27%	28%	#	0%	#
		athematics B		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9,7	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy			
Number Tested	74	86	67	0	5	1
Number Scoring 55–100	71	74	61	0	4	#
Number Scoring 65–100	65	64	50	0	3	#
Number Scoring 85–100	19	20	29	0	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	86%	91%	0%	80%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	74%	75%	0%	60%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	23%	43%	0%	20%	#
		ory and Gove				I
Number Tested	94	72	76	5	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	91	69	69	5	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	69	63	61	1	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	20	26	28	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	96%	91%	100%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	88%	80%	20%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	36%	37%	0%	0%	#

(Form - F)

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	ent			
Number Tested	78	87	64	1	4	1
Number Scoring 55–100	78	86	64	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	75	75	57	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	19	21	22	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	86%	89%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	24%	34%	#	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	96	83	71	6	2	6
Number Scoring 55–100	93	75	62	5	#	3
Number Scoring 65–100	77	66	50	3	#	2
Number Scoring 85–100	21	27	15	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	90%	87%	83%	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	80%	70%	50%	#	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	33%	21%	0%	#	0%
		Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	25	26	40	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	25	26	35	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	23	24	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	4	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	92%	65%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	15%	20%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy				
Number Tested			17			0
Number Scoring 55–100			17			0
Number Scoring 65–100			14			0
Number Scoring 85–100			2			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			82%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			12%			0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			1	1
Number Tested	16	21	17	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	14	19	17	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	11	18	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	7	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	90%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	86%	94%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	33%	41%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital		_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish	•	•	•
Number Tested	33	24	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	33	23	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	33	23	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	14	17	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	96%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	96%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	71%	71%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	45	47	30	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	39	38	22	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	35	35	20	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	16	13	6	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	81%	73%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	74%	67%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	28%	20%	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001	1–02	2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	37	100%	22	86%	13	100%	
Students with Disabilities	1	#	3	#	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4	
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Mathematics	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	79	79	79	7	7	7	86	86	86
Number Scoring 55–64	3	6	3	1	0	0	4	6	3
Number Scoring 65–84	48	35	43	0	0	0	48	35	43
Number Scoring 85–100	19	26	26	0	0	0	19	26	26
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)