# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:22-03-01-06-0008Name:Indian River Middle SchoolPrincipal:Brian Sherman

Grade Range : 6-8

### Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	280	0	0
Sixth	263	278	268
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	276	259	283
Eighth	249	248	224
Ninth	0	0	0
Tenth	0	0	0
Eleventh	0	0	0
Twelfth	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1068	785	775

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001–02		2002–03		3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	19	1.8%	13	1.7%	13	1.7%
Black (Not Hispanic)	153	14.3%	115	14.6%	105	13.5%
Hispanic	79	7.4%	56	7.1%	70	9.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	817	76.5%	601	76.6%	587	75.7%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	22	23	19
English Grade 8	18	20	12
Mathematics Grade 8	19	15	12
Science Grade 8	21	15	12
Social Studies Grade 8	18	20	12
English Grade 10	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 10	0	0	0
Science Grade 10	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 10	0	0	0

(Form - A)

Indian River Middle School

22-03-01-06-0008 03/03/05

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
29	All schools in this group are middle level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

### Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	24	2.3%	11	1.4%	19	2.5%
Eligible for Free Lunch	268	25.1%	195	24.8%	192	24.8%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001–02		2002–03	
	No. of % of		No. of % of		No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.8%		94.8%		94.8%
Student Suspensions	79	7.9%	92	8.6%	94	12.0%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

\$	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	20.7%	15.8%	16.5%
Public Assistance	31-40%	31-40%	31-40%
Student Stability	84%	90%	77%

### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	66
Total Other Professional Staff	5
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4 5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	468
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	67
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	535
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	69%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	This District	
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	18	78%	39	56%	
German	0	0%	72	99%	39	97%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	17	76%	5	80%	
Spanish	0	0%	89	90%	90	63%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

# **Regents Examinations**

	Regents			0	/ 1/1 D1		
		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		g Environme	1	-	-	-	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	16	11	10	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	16	11	10	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	16	11	10	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	10	10	4	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	91%	40%	0%	0%	0%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			0			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%	

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

# **Regents Examinations**

	Regents	All Students			nts with Disa	hilitios	
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	
		cehensive Fre		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
Number Tested		0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		0% rehensive Ital		0%	0%	0%	
Number Tested			0	0	0	0	
Number Tested	0	0		0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Ger		0	0		
Number Tested	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Heb					
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Spa				•	
Number Tested	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Comp	rehensive La	tin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	•	•	•	•	•	(Form –	

(Form - H)

# **Regents Examinations**

		All Students	-	Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		2001–02	2002–03	2003–04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing		% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
		No. Tested% Passing00%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 0	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested% Passing00%00%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 0 0% 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	177	1%	38%	52%	9%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	35	20%	49%	29%	3%
	All Students	212	4%	40%	48%	8%

(Form – I)

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	/	Stude	ents with Disab	oilities
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)	)		•
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)		
Number Tested			11			6
Beginning (0–18)			1			1
Intermediate (19–31)			1			1
Advanced (32–36)			1			1
Proficient (37–39)			8			3
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			11			6
Beginning (0–14)			2			2
Intermediate (15–24)			5			3
Advanced (25–32)			4			1
Proficient (33–35)			0			0

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)		
Number Tested			4			2
Beginning (0–18)			#			#
Intermediate (19–31)			#			#
Advanced (32–36)			#			#
Proficient (37–39)			#			#
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)	)		
Number Tested			4			2
Beginning (0–14)			#			#
Intermediate (15–24)			#			#
Advanced (25–32)			#			#
Proficient (33–35)			#			#
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0

37 arls Otata Es 1.1 C лı A . 1. : 4 **T** AT ANVERED AT \*

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)