New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:22-09-09-04-0010Name:Belleville Henderson Central SchoolPrincipal:Shawn Baker

Grade Range : P

PK-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	22	34	36
Kindergarten	32	35	31
First	36	30	36
Second	54	38	34
Third	38	55	32
Fourth	40	42	56
Fifth	55	47	45
Sixth	45	62	50
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	48	55	62
Eighth	46	43	49
Ninth	52	38	50
Tenth	38	54	36
Eleventh	46	38	53
Twelfth	44	45	38
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	574	582	572

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	2	0.3%	4	0.7%	5	0.9%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.3%	5	0.9%	2	0.3%
Hispanic	6	1.0%	15	2.6%	17	3.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	564	98.3%	558	95.9%	548	95.8%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	17	18	16
Common Branch	19	20	19
English Grade 8	14	15	16
Mathematics Grade 8	14	13	16
Science Grade 8	14	14	16
Social Studies Grade 8	14	14	16
English Grade 10	12	17	12
Mathematics Grade 10	23	8	10
Science Grade 10	21	20	19
Social Studies Grade 10	14	18	11

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden
	through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are
64	compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for
04	schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable
	districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level
	schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	152	26.5%	161	27.7%	141	24.7%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	2001–02		2–03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.4%		96.2%		96.2%
Student Suspensions	6	1.0%	5	0.9%	9	1.6%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	21.1%	21.1%	18.0%
Public Assistance	11-20%	11-20%	11-20%
Student Stability	95%	98%	97%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	45
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001-02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	40	22	55%	39	27	69%	34	20	59%	
Students with Disabilities	1	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	0	0%	
All Students	41	22	54%	41	28	68%	36	20	56%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	14	11	2	1	6	2
Percent	39%	31%	6%	3%	17%	6%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
2	0	1	3

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		0		3	1.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		1		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		1		3	1.9%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.7%
All Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	3	1.7%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	3%	29%	100%
2–3	4%	59%	98%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	83	76	89
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	3	7	12
4–5	Number of All Students	86	83	101
	Percent of Enrollment	91%	93%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	124	148	148
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	15	11	13
0-0	Number of All Students	139	159	161
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	99%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	162	163	161
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	18	12	15
9-12	Number of All Students	180	175	176
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	99%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	21	86%	28	100%	23	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	1	#	1	#	4	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	4	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	1	#	

(Form - E)

	Negents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	-	ehensive Eng		•	1	1
Number Tested	48	35	50	2	2	3
Number Scoring 55–100	48	34	47	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	47	30	46	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	24	16	20	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	94%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	86%	92%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	46%	40%	#	#	#
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	27	59	67	2	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	18	53	65	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	12	44	58	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	4	13	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	67%	90%	97%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	44%	75%	87%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	7%	19%	#	#	#
	Ma	athematics B	•		•	
Number Tested	0	24	28	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	16	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	13	17	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	3	2	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	67%	82%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	54%	61%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	12%	7%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	39	62	38	2	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	33	59	35	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	23	52	30	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	4	9	9	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	95%	92%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	59%	84%	79%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	15%	24%	#	#	#
6		ory and Gove				
Number Tested	43	43	55	1	2	3
Number Scoring 55–100	42	41	55	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	33	36	52	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	6	14	25	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	95%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	84%	95%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	33%	45%	#	#	#

(Form - F)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme		1	ſ	
Number Tested	57	96	50	3	2	4
Number Scoring 55–100	56	94	50	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	53	82	45	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	6	33	11	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	85%	90%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	34%	22%	#	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	51	2	39	2	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	48	#	36	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	41	#	30	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	22	#	8	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	#	92%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	#	77%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	#	21%	#	#	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	1	22	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	21	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	17	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	1	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	95%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	77%	55%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	5%	10%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			17			0
Number Scoring 55–100			13			0
Number Scoring 65–100			8			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			76%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			47%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	-	rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	8	9	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	8	9	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	8	9	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	7	6	9	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	88%	67%	90%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ital		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nl		ehensive Ger		0	0	0
Number Tested	16	11 11	12 12	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	16			0 0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	<u>16</u> 12	10	12 9	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100			-		-	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100% 75%	91% 64%	100% 75%	0% 0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		ehensive Heb		0%	0%	0%
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0 0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
reicentage of Tested Scotting 85–100		ehensive Spa		0%	0%	0%
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 05–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Fercentage of Tested Scotting 85–100		orehensive La		070	070	070
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of reside Scotting 03-100	070	070	070	070	070	(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cour	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004)	
Number Tested	27	3	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	21	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	20	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	78%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
29	90%	31	97%	38	95%
4	#	2	#	1	#
	No. Tested	0	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	39	0%	3%	54%	44%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	6	0%	67%	33%	0%
	All Students	45	0%	11%	51%	38%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	38	0%	55%	37%	8%
	Students with Disabilities	9	11%	67%	22%	0%
	All Students	47	2%	57%	34%	6%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Not Tested	ot Tested Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	31	31	31	3	3	3	34	34	34
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	2	0	2
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	24	18	18
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	4	14	12
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form – J)