New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 23-09-01-04-0002 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Lowville High School Principal: Daniel J. Cushing

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	103	124	112
Tenth	115	101	126
Eleventh	125	109	101
Twelfth	108	122	110
Ungraded Secondary	15	14	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	466	470	449

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

9	200	01–02 2002		2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	14	3.0%	11	2.3%	5	1.1%
Black (Not Hispanic)	4	0.9%	3	0.6%	2	0.4%
Hispanic	5	1.1%	4	0.9%	4	0.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	443	95.1%	452	96.2%	438	97.6%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	0	0	0						
Common Branch	0	0	0						
English Grade 8	0	0	0						
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0						
Science Grade 8	0	0	0						
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0						
English Grade 10	18	17	16						
Mathematics Grade 10	18	19	15						
Science Grade 10	18	17	21						
Social Studies Grade 10	19	21	21						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
46	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

-	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	57	12.2%	73	15.5%	83	18.5%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		97.0%		95.6%		95.4%
Student Suspensions	36	7.1%	6	1.3%	29	6.2%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.1%	7.7%	8.7%
Public Assistance	11-20%	21-30%	21-30%
Student Stability	98%	98%	95%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	38
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	94	69	73%	105	85	81%	93	74	80%	
Students with Disabilities	8	2	25%	10	3	30%	9	3	33%	
All Students	102	71	70%	115	88	77%	102	77	75%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	30	51	4	3	14	0
Percent	29%	50%	4%	3%	14%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
9	3	5	14

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		2002–03		200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	8		6		2	0.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		4		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	11		10		2	0.5%
Students	Dropped Out	0		2		2	3.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		2		2	3.3%
All	Dropped Out	8	1.7%	8	1.7%	4	0.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.6%	4	0.9%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	11	2.4%	12	2.6%	4	0.9%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	117	101
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	10	9
9–12	Number of All Students	0	127	110
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	27%	24%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

T4	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	4	#	10	90%	4	#			
Science	4	#	3	#	7	43%			
Reading	0	0%	4	#	2	#			
Writing	0	0%	2	#	2	#			
Global Studies	2	#	4	#	6	17%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	1	#			

(Form - E)

	Regents	LAAIIII	<u> Hauons</u>			
		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	121	104	94	9	9	7
Number Scoring 55–100	120	103	90	8	8	5
Number Scoring 65–100	118	98	90	6	6	5
Number Scoring 85–100	73	63	62	0	3	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	96%	89%	89%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	94%	96%	67%	67%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	61%	66%	0%	33%	14%
	M	athematics A				•
Number Tested	25	114	123	3	13	6
Number Scoring 55–100	11	105	121	#	7	6
Number Scoring 65–100	4	91	115	#	6	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	21	46	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	44%	92%	98%	#	54%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	16%	80%	93%	#	46%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	18%	37%	#	0%	17%
		athematics B			7,7	-,,,
Number Tested	0	0	62	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	56	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	46	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	7	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	90%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	74%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo				
Number Tested	113	103	120	9	7	7
Number Scoring 55–100	110	98	111	7	5	4
Number Scoring 65–100	101	95	107	6	5	3
Number Scoring 85–100	35	44	32	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	95%	93%	78%	71%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	92%	89%	67%	71%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	43%	27%	0%	0%	0%
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	122	108	94	9	9	6
Number Scoring 55–100	121	105	91	9	8	5
Number Scoring 65–100	111	102	86	8	7	4
Number Scoring 85–100	48	66	50	1	5	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	97%	100%	89%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	94%	91%	89%	78%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	61%	53%	11%	56%	17%

(Form - F)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
		g Environme	l	2001 02	2002 03	2005 04
Number Tested	112	79	109	9	6	7
Number Scoring 55–100	111	78	106	8	5	5
Number Scoring 65–100	110	75	102	8	4	4
Number Scoring 85–100	43	25	41	1	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	97%	89%	83%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	95%	94%	89%	67%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	32%	38%	11%	17%	14%
	Physical Se	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	102	125	116	8	9	16
Number Scoring 55–100	97	116	111	6	8	15
Number Scoring 65–100	92	110	104	3	7	11
Number Scoring 85–100	41	56	35	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	93%	96%	75%	89%	94%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	88%	90%	38%	78%	69%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	45%	30%	0%	11%	0%
		Setting/Chen				
Number Tested	88	79	73	1	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	84	76	72	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	62	54	62	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	7	10	11	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	96%	99%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	70%	68%	85%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	13%	15%	#	#	#
	Physica	l Setting/Phy				
Number Tested			29			0
Number Scoring 55–100			29			0
Number Scoring 65–100			27			0
Number Scoring 85–100			9			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			93%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			31%	4 11	41 D	0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			_	1
Number Tested	26	25	27	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	26	25	27	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	26	25	27	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	11	19	20	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	76%	74%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	73	54	51	5	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	73	54	51	5	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	72	54	51	5	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	39	41	37	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	100%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	100%	100%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	76%	73%	0%	#	0%
referringe of rested scoring of 100		rehensive La		070	"	070
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	January 2004)	
Number Tested	80	61	5	2	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	74	53	4	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	72	49	3	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	41	26	1	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	87%	80%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	80%	60%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	43%	20%	#	0%	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001	1–02	2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	0	0%	46	98%	28	96%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	11	82%	6	67%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4	
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	93	93	93	12	12	12	105	105	105
Number Scoring 55–64	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	0
Number Scoring 65–84	54	30	43	8	4	8	62	34	51
Number Scoring 85–100	36	60	49	0	5	2	36	65	51
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)