# **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 24-02-01-04-0000

Name: Caledonia-Mumford Central School District

Superintendent: David V. Dinolfo

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	79	83	63
First	70	85	85
Second	86	72	85
Third	87	86	70
Fourth	82	95	89
Fifth	83	89	94
Sixth	103	90	88
Ungraded Elementary	4	0	0
Seventh	85	105	93
Eighth	85	93	102
Ninth	104	90	93
Tenth	96	98	84
Eleventh	91	100	92
Twelfth	115	94	95
Ungraded Secondary	9	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1179	1180	1133

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	7	0.6%	10	0.8%	9	0.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	43	3.6%	44	3.7%	40	3.5%
Hispanic	10	0.8%	7	0.6%	5	0.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	1119	94.9%	1119	94.8%	1079	95.2%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	16	21	16
Common Branch	21	20	19
English Grade 8	22	23	20
Mathematics Grade 8	21	25	23
Science Grade 8	22	23	20
Social Studies Grade 8	22	22	21
English Grade 10	19	18	19
Mathematics Grade 10	24	17	16
Science Grade 10	15	18	17
Social Studies Grade 10	18	15	0

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	110	9.3%	140	11.9%	137	12.1%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.9%		95.7%		96.3%
Student Suspensions	85	7.0%	35	3.0%	46	3.9%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	5.4%	3.6%	4.7%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

> <b>***</b> *********************************	
Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	99
Total Other Professional Staff	12
Total Paraprofessionals	17
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	98	86	88%	85	66	78%	81	63	78%	
Students with Disabilities	10	3	30%	8	1	12%	7	2	29%	
All Students	108	89	82%	93	67	72%	88	65	74%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	30	40	1	2	14	1
Percent	34%	45%	1%	2%	16%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
7	2	0	7

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	3		4		7	2.1%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		0		2	0.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	4		4		9	2.7%
Students	Dropped Out	1		3		4	9.8%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		4		3	7.3%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		7		7	17.1%
All	Dropped Out	4	1.0%	7	1.8%	11	2.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.5%	4	1.0%	5	1.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	6	1.5%	11	2.9%	16	4.2%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	56%	0%	0%
2–3	92%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	153	95	94
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	21	5	8
0-8	Number of All Students	174	100	102
	Percent of Enrollment	63%	35%	36%
	Number of General-Education Students	363	341	327
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	49	41	37
9–12	Number of All Students	412	382	364
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	16		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	16	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	16	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	16	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	16		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	16	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	0		19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	26	96%	17	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	57	96%	70	69%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	3	#	2	#	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	4	#	7	86%	
Science	6	83%	3	#	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	4	#	
Global Studies	2	#	3	#	4	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	7	71%	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	92	96	92	9	6	11
Number Scoring 55–100	87	93	89	9	6	8
Number Scoring 65–100	79	85	88	7	5	7
Number Scoring 85–100	41	39	43	2	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	97%	97%	100%	100%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	89%	96%	78%	83%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	41%	47%	22%	17%	9%
	M	athematics A		_		
Number Tested	112	113	93	10	11	8
Number Scoring 55–100	96	100	92	8	7	7
Number Scoring 65–100	75	85	90	4	5	6
Number Scoring 85–100	31	19	47	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	88%	99%	80%	64%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	75%	97%	40%	45%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	17%	51%	0%	0%	0%
8		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo	graphy			
Number Tested	98	96	87	9	10	10
Number Scoring 55–100	97	92	83	8	8	7
Number Scoring 65–100	92	89	82	5	7	7
Number Scoring 85–100	19	44	43	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	96%	95%	89%	80%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	93%	94%	56%	70%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	46%	49%	0%	0%	0%
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	92	92	91	11	6	10
Number Scoring 55–100	91	91	89	10	6	8
Number Scoring 65–100	88	88	83	10	6	6
Number Scoring 85–100	47	43	45	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	98%	91%	100%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	96%	91%	91%	100%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	47%	49%	0%	17%	0%

(Form - F)

	8	All Students	114410115		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04		
		g Environme	L	2001 02	2002 03	2005 04		
Number Tested	110	86	87	9	9	7		
Number Scoring 55–100	110	84	87	9	9	7		
Number Scoring 65–100	110	83	85	9	8	6		
Number Scoring 85–100	57	32	39	1	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	97%	98%	100%	89%	86%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	37%	45%	11%	0%	0%		
	Physical Se	etting/Earth	Science					
Number Tested	90	96	89	12	10	7		
Number Scoring 55–100	82	87	85	8	8	6		
Number Scoring 65–100	76	81	77	6	7	5		
Number Scoring 85–100	19	27	18	0	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	91%	96%	67%	80%	86%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	84%	87%	50%	70%	71%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	28%	20%	0%	0%	0%		
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry					
Number Tested	25	61	35	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	23	57	35	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	18	47	32	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	8	13	9	0	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	93%	100%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	77%	91%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	21%	26%	0%	0%	0%		
	Physica	l Setting/Phy						
Number Tested			17			0		
Number Scoring 55–100			17			0		
Number Scoring 65–100			16			0		
Number Scoring 85–100			7			0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			94%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			41%	. 11		0%		

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre				
Number Tested	22	19	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	22	18	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	22	16	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	13	4	9	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	84%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	59%	21%	38%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	24	40	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	24	39	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	22	39	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	17	16	11	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	96%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	97%	92%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	71%	40%	46%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04		
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	55	67	34	1	3	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	54	63	26	#	#	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	53	59	22	#	#	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	32	34	12	#	#	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	94%	76%	#	#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	88%	65%	#	#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	58%	51%	35%	#	#	0%		

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001	1–02	2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	38	100%	35	94%	50	98%	
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	6	83%	10	60%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4	
	General-Education Students	85	4%	12%	71%	14%	
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	10	30%	0%	70%	0%	
	All Students	95	6%	11%	71%	13%	

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	95	1%	49%	42%	7%
	Students with Disabilities	10	0%	90%	10%	0%
	All Students	105	1%	53%	39%	7%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies         0         0         0         0         0										
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	Ceneral	Education	Students	Students with Disabilities			All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	82	82	82	8	8	8	90	90	90	
Number Scoring 55–64	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–84	61	39	36	5	5	7	66	44	43	
Number Scoring 85–100	20	40	45	1	1	1	21	41	46	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Form - J)