## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:25-16-01-06-0000Name:Chittenango Central School DistrictSuperintendent:Thomas E. Marzeski

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	167	171	183
First	177	170	168
Second	190	169	173
Third	202	194	169
Fourth	186	193	189
Fifth	185	183	200
Sixth	230	183	197
Ungraded Elementary	8	10	3
Seventh	207	239	185
Eighth	199	207	247
Ninth	239	222	233
Tenth	219	219	198
Eleventh	210	202	211
Twelfth	194	210	203
Ungraded Secondary	8	8	6
Total K-12 Enrollment	2621	2580	2565

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001–02		2002–03		3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	22	0.8%	22	0.9%	17	0.7%
Black (Not Hispanic)	17	0.6%	20	0.8%	23	0.9%
Hispanic	7	0.3%	6	0.2%	6	0.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	2575	98.2%	2532	98.1%	2519	98.2%

### Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	21	22	18
Common Branch	20	19	19
English Grade 8	19	19	22
Mathematics Grade 8	19	21	24
Science Grade 8	19	20	22
Social Studies Grade 8	19	20	25
English Grade 10	22	20	22
Mathematics Grade 10	19	16	17
Science Grade 10	20	21	17
Social Studies Grade 10	22	22	19

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	2	0.1%
Eligible for Free Lunch	330	13.5%	354	14.6%	384	16.1%

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.3%		95.5%		95.7%
Student Suspensions	99	3.7%	97	3.7%	140	5.4%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	9.6%	8.1%	8.9%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	185				
Total Other Professional Staff	18				
Total Paraprofessionals	46				
Teaching Out of Certification*	1				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

Ingii School	2001–02			<b>#</b>	2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	145	108	74%	156	132	85%	168	129	77%	
Students with Disabilities	20	5	25%	24	7	29%	15	3	20%	
All Students	165	113	68%	180	139	77%	183	132	72%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	103	46	9	9	14	2
Percent	56%	25%	5%	5%	8%	1%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
15	3	9	24

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	12		17		14	2.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	22		8		5	0.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	34		25		19	2.7%
Students	Dropped Out	0		2		4	2.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	4		0		4	2.9%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	4		2		8	5.7%
All	Dropped Out	12	1.4%	19	2.2%	18	2.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	26	3.0%	8	0.9%	9	1.1%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	38	4.4%	27	3.1%	27	3.2%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4 5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	188	169	209
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	37	36	38
0-8	Number of All Students	225	205	247
	Percent of Enrollment	35%	32%	39%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	733
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	118
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	851
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	100%

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Brogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	27		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	25	93%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	26	96%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	27	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	23		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	23	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	11	65%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	6	50%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	63	100%	65	100%	85	99%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	73	93%	84	86%	81	91%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	1	#	1	#	3	#
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	5	40%	6	83%	3	#

## **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	2	#	1	#
Reading	0	0%	1	#	2	#
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	6	100%	14	86%	15	93%	
Science	3	#	10	80%	8	88%	
Reading	5	80%	16	94%	31	84%	
Writing	5	100%	15	93%	30	97%	
Global Studies	0	0%	13	31%	9	22%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	3	#	4	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			1	•
Number Tested	200	184	202	25	18	23
Number Scoring 55–100	190	179	192	20	16	22
Number Scoring 65–100	179	170	185	15	12	20
Number Scoring 85–100	97	98	111	1	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	97%	95%	80%	89%	96%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	92%	92%	60%	67%	87%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	53%	55%	4%	11%	9%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	1	232	218	1	36	32
Number Scoring 55–100	#	205	213	#	27	31
Number Scoring 65–100	#	174	205	#	16	28
Number Scoring 85–100	#	61	69	#	5	8
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	88%	98%	#	75%	97%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	75%	94%	#	44%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	26%	32%	#	14%	25%
	M	athematics <b>B</b>				•
Number Tested	0	0	122	0	0	5
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	111	0	0	5
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	99	0	0	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	41	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	81%	0%	0%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	34%	0%	0%	20%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	
Number Tested	217	224	207	23	31	23
Number Scoring 55–100	210	205	188	21	24	20
Number Scoring 65–100	188	186	178	17	17	16
Number Scoring 85–100	75	75	82	2	4	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	92%	91%	91%	77%	87%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	83%	86%	74%	55%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	33%	40%	9%	13%	22%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gove	rnment		•	
Number Tested	211	199	202	30	21	25
Number Scoring 55–100	202	195	194	28	20	22
Number Scoring 65–100	176	184	180	18	18	19
Number Scoring 85–100	67	99	109	3	3	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	98%	96%	93%	95%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	92%	89%	60%	86%	76%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	50%	54%	10%	14%	16%
	5270	2070	01/0	10/0	11/0	(Form

(Form - F)

	Regents			0			
		All Students	r	Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		<u>g Environme</u>					
Number Tested	189	227	205	15	17	24	
Number Scoring 55–100	189	224	193	15	16	21	
Number Scoring 65–100	188	219	187	15	14	19	
Number Scoring 85–100	77	111	65	2	2	2	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	94%	100%	94%	88%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	96%	91%	100%	82%	79%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	49%	32%	13%	12%	8%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	274	220	206	31	33	23	
Number Scoring 55–100	262	204	180	27	28	16	
Number Scoring 65–100	239	181	159	20	22	9	
Number Scoring 85–100	115	75	59	2	7	3	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	93%	87%	87%	85%	70%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	82%	77%	65%	67%	39%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	34%	29%	6%	21%	13%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	98	110	139	3	1	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	98	107	134	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	84	94	114	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	15	27	39	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	96%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	85%	82%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	15%	25%	28%	#	#	#	
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			74			1	
Number Scoring 55–100			73			#	
Number Scoring 65–100			68			#	
Number Scoring 85–100			34			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			99%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			92%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			46%			#	

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents			1		L 2124'
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested		rehensive Fre		1	0	1
Number Tested	68	56	59	1	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	68	56	57	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	67	56	54	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	27	31	40	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	97%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	92%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	55%	68%	#	0%	#
		rehensive Ital			2	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		•	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	prew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
· · · ·	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			•
Number Tested	86	74	82	2	2	5
Number Scoring 55–100	85	73	81	#	#	5
Number Scoring 65–100	84	73	80	#	#	4
Number Scoring 85–100	52	48	43	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	99%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	99%	98%	#	#	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	65%	52%	#	#	0%
<u> </u>		orehensive La				
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of rested Scoring 05 100	070	070	070	070	070	(Form –

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered .	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	149	131	2	8	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	137	118	#	5	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	127	115	#	5	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	89	61	#	3	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	90%	#	62%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	88%	#	62%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	47%	#	38%	#	0%

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
109	99%	76	100%	67	99%
13	85%	22	77%	10	100%
	No. Tested	109 99%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   109 99% 76   13 85% 22	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   109 99% 76 100%   13 85% 22 77%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   109 99% 76 100% 67

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	160	1%	2%	45%	53%
	Students with Disabilities	38	8%	8%	55%	29%
	All Students	198	2%	3%	47%	48%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	207	1%	49%	45%	4%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	36	11%	83%	6%	0%
	All Students	243	3%	54%	40%	3%

(Form – I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test Tested N		Not Tested	Level 1	Level 1 Level 2		Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Mathematics	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Science	2	0	#	#	#	#				

### 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	164	164	164	30	30	30	194	194	194
Number Scoring 55–64	2	7	2	2	2	3	4	9	5
Number Scoring 65–84	88	59	64	15	14	16	103	73	80
Number Scoring 85–100	67	90	94	2	3	2	69	93	96
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)