New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 26-15-01-06-0008 Grade Range: 7-9

Name: Churchville-Chili Junior High School

Principal: David Hamilton

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	397	384	383
Eighth	399	404	386
Ninth	409	388	413
Tenth	0	0	0
Eleventh	0	0	0
Twelfth	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1205	1176	1182

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		3–04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	19	1.6%	24	2.0%	21	1.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	61	5.1%	70	6.0%	71	6.0%
Hispanic	19	1.6%	17	1.4%	17	1.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	1106	91.8%	1065	90.6%	1073	90.8%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Avei age Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	0	0	0						
Common Branch	0	0	0						
English Grade 8	26	28	21						
Mathematics Grade 8	25	28	23						
Science Grade 8	24	24	21						
Social Studies Grade 8	27	27	24						
English Grade 10	0	18	0						
Mathematics Grade 10	0	0	0						
Science Grade 10	0	0	0						
Social Studies Grade 10	0	0	0						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
	All schools in this group are middle level schools in school
32	districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of
	student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	4	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Eligible for Free Lunch	111	9.2%	103	8.8%	108	9.1%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.4%		95.5%		95.9%
Student Suspensions	54	4.6%	74	6.1%	137	11.7%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	7.2%	5.2%	5.0%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	91%	94%	92%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	87
Total Other Professional Staff	9
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

-			0 0							
	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
All Students	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
0	0	0	0

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		200	2002-03		3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	2		16		5	1.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		1		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2		17		5	1.3%
Students	Dropped Out	1		1		2	4.4%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		1		2	4.4%
All	Dropped Out	3	0.7%	17	4.4%	7	1.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	3	0.7%	18	4.6%	7	1.7%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	500	706	706
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	82	63
6–8	Number of All Students	500	788	769
	Percent of Enrollment	63%	100%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTF Dwagnam	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	88	94%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	202	95%	0	0%	234	85%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	2	#	0	0%	7	57%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Science	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	2	#	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Science	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	22	168	95	0	3	1
Number Scoring 55–100	22	160	95	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	22	152	95	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	21	50	84	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	90%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	95%	30%	88%	0%	#	#
		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	16	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	2	3	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	rnment		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - F)

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	223	172	231	0	8	18
Number Scoring 55–100	220	169	218	0	7	13
Number Scoring 65–100	212	162	202	0	4	7
Number Scoring 85–100	82	58	70	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	98%	94%	0%	88%	72%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	94%	87%	0%	50%	39%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	34%	30%	0%	0%	6%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	252	330	260	0	26	21
Number Scoring 55–100	245	302	230	0	23	16
Number Scoring 65–100	231	285	189	0	19	5
Number Scoring 85–100	80	94	77	0	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	92%	88%	0%	88%	76%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	86%	73%	0%	73%	24%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	28%	30%	0%	8%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	1	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Fre		_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	1	1	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	14	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	14	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	14	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	13	0	0	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	93%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	31	65%	2	#	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	332	1%	36%	54%	10%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	31	0%	84%	16%	0%
	All Students	363	1%	40%	50%	9%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students										
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level											
Social Studies	0	0 0 0 0 0									
Middle Level											
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0					
		Secondary 1	Level								
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#					
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#					
Mathematics	1	0	#	#	#	#					
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#					

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8))		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			2			1
Beginning (0–18)			#			#
Intermediate (19–31)			#			#
Advanced (32–36)			#			#
Proficient (37–39)			#			#
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			2			1
Beginning (0–14)			#			#
Intermediate (15–24)			#			#
Advanced (25–32)			#			#
Proficient (33–35)			#			#

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)