## New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:26-16-00-01-0066Name:James Monroe High SchoolPrincipal:Linda Dianetti

Grade Range : 6-8

### Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	238	267	157
Ungraded Elementary	0	56	53
Seventh	321	322	447
Eighth	311	307	276
Ninth	0	0	0
Tenth	0	0	0
Eleventh	0	0	0
Twelfth	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	215	172	162
Total K-12 Enrollment	1085	1124	1095

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001–02		2002–03		3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	21	1.9%	27	2.4%	28	2.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	522	48.1%	564	50.2%	554	50.6%
Hispanic	374	34.5%	369	32.8%	355	32.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	168	15.5%	164	14.6%	158	14.4%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	13	25	19
English Grade 8	20	23	22
Mathematics Grade 8	21	21	22
Science Grade 8	21	23	25
Social Studies Grade 8	22	23	23
English Grade 10	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 10	0	0	0
Science Grade 10	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 10	0	0	0

(Form - A)

James Monroe High School

### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
2	This is one of the large city school districts; Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, or Yonkers. All these districts have high student needs relative to district resource capacity.

### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
24	All schools in this group are middle level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	166	15.3%	161	14.3%	131	12.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	789	72.7%	737	65.6%	831	75.9%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1-02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		91.1%		91.7%		90.5%
Student Suspensions	386	34.1%	277	25.5%	459	40.8%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	6.6%	6.4%	9.3%
Public Assistance	51-60%	51-60%	51-60%
Student Stability	86%	93%	91%

### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003–04				
Total Teachers	94				
Total Other Professional Staff	20				
Total Paraprofessionals	NA				
Teaching Out of Certification*	13				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001–02			2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
All Students	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
0	0	0	0

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		2		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		2		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4 5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	300	315	664
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	50	60	221
0-8	Number of All Students	350	375	885
	Percent of Enrollment	32%	33%	81%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	18	67%	21	100%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	45	91%	0	0%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng	(	1		1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
		athematics <b>B</b>				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	070	070
Number Tested			0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
refeelinge of rested Scoring 65–100		ry and Gover		070	070	070
Number Tested	0.3. 11150	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
reicentage of rested Scoring 85–100	U%0	0%	U%0	0%0	0%0	0%

(Form - F)

	Regents					
		All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme				
Number Tested	43	45	36	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	42	45	35	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	39	45	33	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	6	8	12	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	97%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	100%	92%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	18%	33%	0%	0%	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics	-		
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

		All Students			nts with Disa	hilitias
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
		rehensive Fre		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		rehensive Ital		0%	0%0	0%
Number Tested			0	0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0		0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0		0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		0	<u>^</u>	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb			-	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	22	16	18	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	22	16	18	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	22	16	18	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	21	14	18	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	95%	88%	100%	0%	#	0%
	Comp	orehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
		No. Tested% Passing00%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 0	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested% Passing00%00%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 0 0% 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	260	4%	70%	22%	4%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	69	22%	72%	6%	0%
	All Students	329	8%	71%	18%	3%

(Form – I)

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ig (Grade K–1	)		•
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–	4)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–	6)		
Number Tested			18			7
Beginning (0–18)			2			1
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0
Advanced (32–36)			9			5
Proficient (37–39)			6			1
	Read	ing and Writin				
Number Tested			18			7
Beginning (0–14)			1			1
Intermediate (15–24)			13			6
Advanced (25–32)			4			0
Proficient (33–35)		02 02	0	ta data fan all a		0

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form – K)

Ne	w York State Eng	All Students	cond Langua	ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT) <sup>;</sup> Students with Disabilities			
		An Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	3)			
Number Tested			70			10	
Beginning (0–18)			15			2	
Intermediate (19–31)			15			4	
Advanced (32–36)			23			4	
Proficient (37–39)			17			0	
	Read	ing and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			70			10	
Beginning (0–14)			22			5	
Intermediate (15–24)			33			5	
Advanced (25–32)			11			0	
Proficient (33–35)			4			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			0			0	
Proficient (37–39)			0			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0	
Advanced (25–32)			0			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	

**x**7 1 04 4  $\mathbf{T}$ 1.1 C 1 т A 1 . 4 **T** 4 AWCECIAT)\*

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)