New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 26-20-01-04-0000

Name: Wheatland-Chili Central School District

Superintendent: Thomas Gallagher

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	47	64	51
First	69	46	65
Second	59	75	52
Third	79	53	66
Fourth	79	68	54
Fifth	70	65	70
Sixth	91	66	68
Ungraded Elementary	21	21	19
Seventh	92	73	63
Eighth	76	84	76
Ninth	85	71	86
Tenth	88	73	69
Eleventh	64	80	77
Twelfth	69	66	78
Ungraded Secondary	0	28	11
Total K-12 Enrollment	989	933	905

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	01-02 2002-0		2–03	-03 2003	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	15	1.5%	9	1.0%	9	1.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	97	9.8%	71	7.6%	75	8.3%
Hispanic	28	2.8%	32	3.4%	16	1.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	849	85.8%	821	88.0%	805	89.0%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	12	14	17						
Common Branch	19	18	19						
English Grade 8	19	21	19						
Mathematics Grade 8	20	20	19						
Science Grade 8	20	23	19						
Social Studies Grade 8	20	21	19						
English Grade 10	23	20	23						
Mathematics Grade 10	18	19	17						
Science Grade 10	18	19	17						
Social Studies Grade 10	22	20	23						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	17	1.7%	16	1.7%	20	2.2%
Eligible for Free Lunch	185	18.7%	164	17.6%	143	15.8%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.8%		95.3%		95.2%
Student Suspensions	33	3.4%	27	2.7%	51	5.5%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	7.0%	6.8%	8.5%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

	•
Staff	2003–04
Total Teachers	85
Total Other Professional Staff	13
Total Paraprofessionals	27
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02			_	2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	57	45	79%	57	44	77%	69	55	80%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	7	2	29%	
All Students	57	45	79%	58	44	76%	76	57	75%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	30	40	2	2	1	1
Percent	39%	53%	3%	3%	1%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
7	2	2	9

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		2002-03		200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		1		1	0.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		0		2	0.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	3		1		3	1.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		3	12.5%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		3	12.5%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	4	1.2%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.7%	0	0.0%	2	0.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	3	1.0%	1	0.3%	6	1.9%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4-	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	-19
(0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	19
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	280
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9-12	Number of All Students	0	0	280
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	88%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTF Dragram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	22	100%	33	88%	18	78%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	41	88%	43	86%	47	60%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	16	88%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	2	#	3	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	6	50%	6	0%	3	#	
Science	0	0%	2	#	3	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	
Writing	0	0%	2	#	5	100%	
Global Studies	0	0%	6	17%	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	64	81	73	8	7	4
Number Scoring 55–100	59	77	69	4	4	#
Number Scoring 65–100	56	77	65	4	4	#
Number Scoring 85–100	25	43	32	0	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	95%	95%	50%	57%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	95%	89%	50%	57%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	53%	44%	0%	14%	#
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	23	84	90	6	9	7
Number Scoring 55–100	9	69	83	2	3	5
Number Scoring 65–100	2	57	73	0	3	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	8	18	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	39%	82%	92%	33%	33%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	9%	68%	81%	0%	33%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	10%	20%	0%	0%	29%
		athematics B			7,7	
Number Tested	0	0	34	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	32	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	29	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	7	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	94%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	85%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	21%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo			7.7	
Number Tested	84	81	68	7	8	5
Number Scoring 55–100	77	73	61	3	4	4
Number Scoring 65–100	69	69	55	2	4	4
Number Scoring 85–100	28	30	25	2	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	90%	90%	43%	50%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	85%	81%	29%	50%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	37%	37%	29%	12%	60%
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	63	77	75	7	6	6
Number Scoring 55–100	62	75	72	7	4	5
Number Scoring 65–100	57	73	72	6	3	5
Number Scoring 85–100	28	41	46	2	2	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	96%	100%	67%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	95%	96%	86%	50%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	44%	53%	61%	29%	33%	17%

(Form - F)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	52	56	48	6	6	3
Number Scoring 55–100	51	56	47	5	6	#
Number Scoring 65–100	47	55	42	2	6	#
Number Scoring 85–100	20	19	13	1	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	98%	83%	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	98%	88%	33%	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	34%	27%	17%	17%	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth (Science			
Number Tested	76	72	85	4	8	1
Number Scoring 55–100	76	60	74	#	3	#
Number Scoring 65–100	72	54	61	#	3	#
Number Scoring 85–100	40	15	23	#	2	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	83%	87%	#	38%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	75%	72%	#	38%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	21%	27%	#	25%	#
		Setting/Chen				
Number Tested	35	41	39	1	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	35	39	38	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	28	34	29	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	3	4	4	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	97%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	83%	74%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	10%	10%	#	0%	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			12			0
Number Scoring 55–100			12			0
Number Scoring 65–100			12			0
Number Scoring 85–100			3			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			25%	. 11	41 D	0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			1	1
Number Tested	15	16	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	14	16	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	13	16	16	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	8	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	100%	89%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	50%	17%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	26	21	16	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	26	21	16	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	25	19	12	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	16	7	6	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	90%	75%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	33%	38%	#	#	0%
<u> </u>	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	41	60	4	2	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	41	56	#	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	38	52	#	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	21	17	#	#	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	93%	#	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	87%	#	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	28%	#	#	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	53	100%	32	91%	61	97%	
Students with Disabilities	2	#	2	#	4	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	66	5%	2%	53%	41%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	5	20%	20%	60%	0%
	All Students	71	6%	3%	54%	38%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	68	0%	28%	62%	10%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	12	17%	50%	25%	8%
	All Students	80	3%	31%	56%	10%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	75	75	75	8	8	8	83	83	83
Number Scoring 55–64	1	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	5
Number Scoring 65–84	42	29	31	0	1	1	42	30	32
Number Scoring 85–100	26	40	38	2	2	1	28	42	39
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04				
					2002-03	2005-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			3			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade K–1))		1				
Number Tested			3			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)		T				
Number Tested			5			4				
Beginning (0–18)			0			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#				
Advanced (32–36)			1			#				
Proficient (37–39)			3			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			T				
Number Tested			5			4				
Beginning (0–14)			1			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			2			#				
Advanced (25–32)			2			#				
Proficient (33–35)			0			#				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>6)</u>		Ī				
Number Tested			2			1				
Beginning (0–18)			#			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			#				
Advanced (32–36)			#			#				
Proficient (37–39)			#			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			2			1				
Beginning (0–14)			#			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			#				
Advanced (25–32)			#			#				
Proficient (33–35)			#			#				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	,, Tolk State En	Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	1
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)