# New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 27-03-01-04-0000

Name: Canajoharie Central School District

Superintendent: Richard Rose

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	29	28	30
Kindergarten	71	78	65
First	71	65	76
Second	98	64	65
Third	84	96	66
Fourth	72	81	104
Fifth	84	72	84
Sixth	92	83	74
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	100	92	83
Eighth	88	96	92
Ninth	91	97	98
Tenth	102	89	96
Eleventh	103	95	90
Twelfth	91	92	90
Ungraded Secondary	2	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1149	1100	1083

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	13	1.1%	9	0.8%	6	0.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	11	1.0%	11	1.0%	18	1.7%
Hispanic	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	1123	97.7%	1078	98.0%	1057	97.6%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size							
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04					
Kindergarten	17	20	16					
Common Branch	19	18	19					
English Grade 8	17	18	16					
Mathematics Grade 8	17	18	17					
Science Grade 8	18	19	19					
Social Studies Grade 8	18	19	18					
English Grade 10	19	17	14					
Mathematics Grade 10	16	12	18					
Science Grade 10	17	14	15					
Social Studies Grade 10	14	13	17					

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

3 1	200	2001-02		2-03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	4	0.3%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Eligible for Free Lunch	292	25.4%	252	22.9%	267	24.7%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.9%		94.9%		94.7%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	33	2.8%	57	5.0%	43	3.9%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	13.5%	7.5%	8.8%
<b>Public Assistance</b>	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

S ************************************					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	98				
Total Other Professional Staff	10				
Total Paraprofessionals	12				
Teaching Out of Certification*	2				

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

		2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	66	55	83%	72	51	71%	78	56	72%	
Students with Disabilities	4	0	0%	2	0	0%	2	0	0%	
All Students	70	55	79%	74	51	69%	80	56	70%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	32	30	0	5	13	0
Percent	40%	38%	0%	6%	16%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
2	0	1	3

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	200	2001–02		2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	11		7		12	3.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		3		1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	14		10		13	3.9%
Students	Dropped Out	1		1		7	16.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		1		7	16.3%
All	Dropped Out	12	3.1%	8	2.1%	19	5.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.8%	3	0.8%	1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	15	3.9%	11	2.9%	20	5.3%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	84	0	86
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	7	0	7
0-8	Number of All Students	91	0	93
	Percent of Enrollment	32%	0%	37%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTF Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	2		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	2	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	2	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	2	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	2		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	2	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	2	100%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	1	50%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	21	86%	20	85%	22	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	62	82%	66	100%	107	90%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2003	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	4	#	3	#	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	3	#	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	3	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	3	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	4	#	16	56%	26	50%
Science	2	#	8	75%	20	40%
Reading	0	0%	7	29%	6	33%
Writing	0	0%	9	33%	10	90%
Global Studies	2	#	12	92%	14	43%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	9	44%	12	33%

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	110501100					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			•	
Number Tested	80	99	98	3	8	11
Number Scoring 55–100	77	94	87	#	6	5
Number Scoring 65–100	72	87	76	#	3	1
Number Scoring 85–100	31	55	31	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	95%	89%	#	75%	45%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	88%	78%	#	38%	9%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	56%	32%	#	0%	9%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	89	124	97	4	13	14
Number Scoring 55–100	70	112	91	#	9	8
Number Scoring 65–100	63	100	87	#	8	8
Number Scoring 85–100	30	16	25	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	90%	94%	#	69%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	81%	90%	#	62%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	13%	26%	#	0%	0%
	M	athematics B	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	5	37	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	4	37	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	4	37	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	3	18	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	80%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	80%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	60%	49%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy			•
Number Tested	87	109	110	3	13	13
Number Scoring 55–100	81	101	90	#	9	7
Number Scoring 65–100	74	89	76	#	7	3
Number Scoring 85–100	15	24	40	#	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	93%	82%	#	69%	54%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	82%	69%	#	54%	23%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	22%	36%	#	8%	0%
		ry and Gove		•		
Number Tested	84	124	108	3	10	16
Number Scoring 55–100	78	114	90	#	4	8
Number Scoring 65–100	66	99	76	#	3	4
Number Scoring 85–100	26	40	30	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	92%	83%	#	40%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	80%	70%	#	30%	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	32%	28%	#	0%	0%

(Form – F)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	79	105	43	3	13	9
Number Scoring 55–100	77	104	42	#	12	9
Number Scoring 65–100	75	100	37	#	10	7
Number Scoring 85–100	24	35	11	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	99%	98%	#	92%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	95%	86%	#	77%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	33%	26%	#	0%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth (	Science			
Number Tested	100	83	88	7	2	3
Number Scoring 55–100	98	80	84	7	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	94	76	80	5	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	51	38	32	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	95%	100%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	92%	91%	71%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	46%	36%	0%	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	33	47	81	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	29	43	81	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	20	34	71	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	11	21	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	91%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	61%	72%	88%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	23%	26%	0%	0%	#
	Physica	ıl Setting/Phy				
Number Tested			3			0
Number Scoring 55–100			#			0
Number Scoring 65–100			#			0
Number Scoring 85–100			#			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			#			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			#			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			#			0%

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Fre			•	
Number Tested	11	0	11	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	11	0	11	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	11	0	11	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	9	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	82%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	62	46	28	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	61	44	28	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	58	39	28	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	35	13	5	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	85%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	56%	28%	18%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	8	All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	40	8	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	33	5	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	27	3	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	16	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	62%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001	1–02	2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	11	100%	42	98%	28	89%	
Students with Disabilities	1	#	6	100%	11	55%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	75	1%	8%	48%	43%
	Students with Disabilities	10	50%	40%	10%	0%
	All Students	85	7%	12%	44%	38%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	80	0%	29%	48%	24%
	Students with Disabilities	18	22%	67%	11%	0%
	All Students	98	4%	36%	41%	19%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies         0         0         0         0         0										
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	82	82	82	5	5	5	87	87	87
Number Scoring 55–64	4	5	3	1	1	1	5	6	4
Number Scoring 65–84	60	43	27	1	0	2	61	43	29
Number Scoring 85–100	14	29	52	0	0	0	14	29	52
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)