## New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:28-02-02-03-0008Name:Lawrence Road Middle SchoolPrincipal:Valerie Henning-Piedmonte

Grade Range : 6-8

### Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	270	287	272
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	266	289	310
Eighth	259	250	257
Ninth	0	0	0
Tenth	0	0	0
Eleventh	0	0	0
Twelfth	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	795	826	839

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	18	2.3%	13	1.6%	8	1.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	623	78.4%	627	75.9%	611	72.8%
Hispanic	148	18.6%	178	21.5%	217	25.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	6	0.8%	8	1.0%	3	0.4%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	22
English Grade 8	24	18	18
Mathematics Grade 8	23	24	22
Science Grade 8	19	21	22
Social Studies Grade 8	25	21	21
English Grade 10	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 10	0	0	0
Science Grade 10	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 10	0	0	0

(Form - A)

Lawrence Road Middle School

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
33	All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

### Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	42	5.3%	53	6.4%	60	7.2%
Eligible for Free Lunch	181	22.8%	152	18.4%	212	25.3%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	2001–02		2–03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.8%		97.2%		96.0%
Student Suspensions	211	28.8%	90	11.3%	103	12.5%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

\$	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.8%	6.5%	8.3%
Public Assistance	31-40%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	94%	98%	96%

### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003–04
Total Teachers	75
Total Other Professional Staff	10
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
All Students	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
0	0	0	0

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		2001–02		200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		1		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		1		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4 5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	524	473	641
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	61	99
0-8	Number of All Students	524	534	740
	Percent of Enrollment	66%	65%	88%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	18	83%	39	95%	48	92%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	140	91%	77	99%	96	97%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

## **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

# **Regents Examinations**

			nations			
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Сотр	ehensive Eng	lish		1	1
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>	M	athematics <b>B</b>	L		•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		tory and Geo				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - F)

## **Regents Examinations**

	Regents			0		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme	1			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	24	24	39	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	24	24	31	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	23	24	20	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	4	2	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	79%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	100%	51%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	17%	5%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

# **Regents Examinations**

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
		No. Tested % Passing   0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 0	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   0 0% 0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   0 0% 0 0% 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	221	1%	34%	56%	10%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	19	5%	84%	11%	0%
	All Students	240	1%	38%	52%	9%

(Form – I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
		Middle Le	vel						
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	<b>General-Education Students</b>			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		1
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Read	ing and Writin	ig (Grade K–1)	)		•
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writii	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<b>6</b> )		
Number Tested			32			0
Beginning (0–18)			3			0
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0
Advanced (32–36)			8			0
Proficient (37–39)			20			0
	Read	ing and Writin	1g (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			32			0
Beginning (0–14)			6			0
Intermediate (15–24)			7			0
Advanced (25–32)			15			0
Proficient (33–35)	luciaistans din the 200		4	4		0

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form – K)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)				
Number Tested			41			1		
Beginning (0–18)			3			#		
Intermediate (19–31)			6			#		
Advanced (32–36)			25			#		
Proficient (37–39)			7			#		
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)					
Number Tested			41			1		
Beginning (0–14)			6			#		
Intermediate (15–24)			19			#		
Advanced (25–32)			10			#		
Proficient (33–35)			6			#		
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0		
Advanced (32–36)			0			0		
Proficient (37–39)			0			0		
· · · ·	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12					
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–14)			0			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0		
Advanced (25–32)			0			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		

37 1 04 4 F 1.1 C. лı A 1 · 1 T AT ANOLOL AT \*

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)