New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:41-06-01-04-0006Name:Camden Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Jeffrey Bryant

Grade Range : 9-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	229	255	268
Tenth	201	196	225
Eleventh	205	203	180
Twelfth	199	194	198
Ungraded Secondary	18	16	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	852	864	871

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	6	0.7%	6	0.7%	7	0.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	6	0.7%	5	0.6%	7	0.8%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	5	0.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	840	98.6%	851	98.5%	852	97.8%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	22	21	23
Mathematics Grade 10	0	0	0
Science Grade 10	20	17	23
Social Studies Grade 10	19	19	18

(Form - A)

Camden Senior High School

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
47	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	159	18.7%	159	18.4%	195	22.4%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	2001-02		2–03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		91.0%		91.0%		91.5%
Student Suspensions	87	9.6%	210	24.7%	75	8.7%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	7.6%	7.6%	14.7%
Public Assistance	11-20%	11-20%	21-30%
Student Stability	97%	100%	99%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	65
Total Other Professional Staff	7
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02			2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	155	98	63%	158	91	58%	169	101	60%
Students with Disabilities	3	1	33%	14	1	7%	12	1	8%
All Students	158	99	63%	172	92	53%	181	102	56%

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	49	79	0	6	44	3
Percent	27%	44%	0%	3%	24%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
12	1	5	17

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	14		24		20	2.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	33		35		15	1.9%
Students	Total Noncompleters	47		59		35	4.3%
Students	Dropped Out	0		9		3	4.8%
with	Entered GED Program*	2		8		3	4.8%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		17		6	9.7%
All	Dropped Out	14	1.6%	33	3.8%	23	2.6%
All Students	Entered GED Program*	35	4.1%	43	5.0%	18	2.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	49	5.8%	76	8.8%	41	4.7%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	769	702	191
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	65	123	7
9-12	Number of All Students	834	825	198
	Percent of Enrollment	98%	95%	23%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	3	#	7	86%	7	86%
Science	1	#	1	#	6	67%
Reading	2	#	1	#	3	#
Writing	2	#	1	#	3	#
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	4	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	4	#	2	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	14	57%	15	87%	11	64%	
Science	4	#	5	40%	5	40%	
Reading	5	100%	3	#	3	#	
Writing	2	#	1	#	9	44%	
Global Studies	13	46%	15	20%	8	13%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	4	#	8	50%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			1	1
Number Tested	182	178	182	15	15	16
Number Scoring 55–100	172	157	170	12	6	9
Number Scoring 65–100	148	129	156	6	3	6
Number Scoring 85–100	54	45	58	1	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	88%	93%	80%	40%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	72%	86%	40%	20%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	25%	32%	7%	0%	0%
	Μ	athematics A				
Number Tested	126	216	212	3	18	8
Number Scoring 55–100	106	156	206	#	5	4
Number Scoring 65–100	91	129	186	#	2	0
Number Scoring 85–100	30	23	54	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	84%	72%	97%	#	28%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	60%	88%	#	11%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	11%	25%	#	0%	0%
		athematics B				0,0
Number Tested	0	0	65	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	52	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	43	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	10	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	80%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	66%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	15%	0%	0%	#
refeelinge of rested Scotting 05 100		story and Geo		070	070	11
Number Tested	198	215	217	21	25	24
Number Scoring 55–100	193	186	186	16	9	12
Number Scoring 65–100	157	165	155	8	5	4
Number Scoring 85–100	42	63	65	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	87%	86%	76%	36%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	77%	71%	38%	20%	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	29%	30%	0%	0%	0%
refeelinge of rested Scoring 65–100		ory and Gove		070	070	070
Number Tested	209	190	185	16	16	16
Number Scoring 55–100	189	190	171	10	10	8
Number Scoring 65–100	150	169	171	7	13	8
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	34	71	72	1	2	8
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	98%	92%	69%	<u>2</u> 94%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	98% 89%		<u> </u>	94% 81%	50%
		<u>89%</u> 37%	86% 39%		81% 12%	50% 6%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	31%	39%	6%	12%	<u>6%</u>

(Form – F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	1	g Environme	1	I	T	
Number Tested	185	186	240	15	19	14
Number Scoring 55–100	184	180	216	15	18	8
Number Scoring 65–100	177	162	191	11	10	4
Number Scoring 85–100	45	49	45	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	90%	100%	95%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	87%	80%	73%	53%	29%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	26%	19%	0%	5%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	133	128	138	6	3	7
Number Scoring 55–100	129	120	121	6	#	4
Number Scoring 65–100	115	109	93	5	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	27	26	18	1	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	94%	88%	100%	#	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	85%	67%	83%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	20%	20%	13%	17%	#	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	94	97	108	1	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	91	92	107	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	79	81	88	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	8	18	12	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	95%	99%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	84%	84%	81%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	9%	19%	11%	#	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			38			0
Number Scoring 55–100			38			0
Number Scoring 65–100			37			0
Number Scoring 85–100			10			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			97%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			26%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents				4	1. 11.4.
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Marchard Tracks I		rehensive Fre		0	1	0
Number Tested	18	35	25	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	18	35	25	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	17	34	25	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	13	13	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	97%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	37%	52%	0%	#	0%
N. 1. (7) . 1		rehensive Ital		0		0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		•	1	Γ
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	79	90	88	0	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	75	85	87	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	69	80	76	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	19	29	25	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	94%	99%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	89%	86%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	32%	28%	0%	#	#
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
						(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	104	103	0	1	2	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	97	90	0	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	94	87	0	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	50	38	0	#	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	87%	0%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	84%	0%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	37%	0%	#	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
107	100%	76	97%	31	97%
13	92%	11	73%	3	#
	No. Tested	107 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 107 100% 76	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 107 100% 76 97%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 107 100% 76 97% 31

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4	
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Not Tested	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4						
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	3	0	#	#	#	#				
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Mathematics	3	0	#	#	#	#				
Science	3	0	#	#	#	#				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	174	174	174	11	11	11	185	185	185
Number Scoring 55–64	17	14	5	4	2	2	21	16	7
Number Scoring 65–84	107	83	99	5	5	8	112	88	107
Number Scoring 85–100	41	68	63	0	2	0	41	70	63
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)