# **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 41-18-00-01-0000

Name: Rome City School District

Superintendent: Thomas Gallagher

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	194	197	190
Kindergarten	392	409	433
First	456	423	447
Second	461	423	393
Third	470	445	426
Fourth	438	444	449
Fifth	494	451	438
Sixth	435	475	442
Ungraded Elementary	121	159	136
Seventh	467	504	501
Eighth	460	489	432
Ninth	457	465	480
Tenth	415	472	407
Eleventh	417	397	416
Twelfth	323	393	373
Ungraded Secondary	175	129	140
Total K-12 Enrollment	5981	6078	5913

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

3	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	132	2.2%	128	2.1%	128	2.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	391	6.5%	398	6.5%	415	7.0%
Hispanic	198	3.3%	211	3.5%	194	3.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	5260	87.9%	5341	87.9%	5176	87.5%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	20	18	19
Common Branch	20	20	19
English Grade 8	22	22	21
Mathematics Grade 8	19	21	22
Science Grade 8	23	25	21
Social Studies Grade 8	24	22	21
English Grade 10	21	23	23
Mathematics Grade 10	22	21	17
Science Grade 10	22	23	19
Social Studies Grade 10	21	26	23

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
3	This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group		Description
NA	NA	

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001-02		2002-03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	43	0.7%	33	0.5%	39	0.6%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2001	35.8%	1804	31.8%	1976	33.4%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.6%		93.9%		93.9%
Student Suspensions	435	7.2%	421	7.0%	680	11.2%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	9.0%	8.1%	7.3%
<b>Public Assistance</b>	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

20011 20011103					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	500				
Total Other Professional Staff	70				
Total Paraprofessionals	171				
Teaching Out of Certification*	25				

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02			2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	268	153	57%	292	190	65%	302	193	64%
Students with Disabilities	8	1	12%	24	2	8%	8	2	25%
All Students	276	154	56%	316	192	61%	310	195	63%

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	134	133	2	12	19	10
Percent	43%	43%	1%	4%	6%	3%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
8	2	12	20

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	52		69		98	6.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	18		1		14	0.9%
Students	Total Noncompleters	70		70		112	7.2%
Students	Dropped Out	25		24		16	5.6%
with	Entered GED Program*	3		21		5	1.7%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	28		45		21	7.3%
All	Dropped Out	77	4.5%	93	5.2%	114	6.2%
Students	Entered GED Program*	21	1.2%	22	1.2%	19	1.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	98	5.7%	115	6.4%	133	7.2%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	223	832	664
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	24	161	101
6–8	Number of All Students	247	993	765
	Percent of Enrollment	17%	64%	53%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Buoguam	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	63	86%	79	89%	59	66%	
German	8	88%	8	100%	0	0%	
Italian	72	85%	84	82%	77	82%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	256	76%	253	83%	278	67%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

T4	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	2	#	3	#	3	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	3	#	5	60%	3	#	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	12	42%	24	67%	21	38%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	6	83%	3	#	4	#
Science	5	20%	2	#	4	#
Reading	9	33%	6	67%	3	#
Writing	9	67%	6	100%	9	100%
Global Studies	3	#	2	#	3	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	7	14%	3	#	6	50%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	49	78%	87	70%	78	63%			
Science	41	27%	40	40%	69	58%			
Reading	31	23%	38	74%	7	14%			
Writing	29	66%	38	100%	39	97%			
Global Studies	18	22%	40	18%	40	25%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	10	10%	18	44%	15	47%			

(Form - E)

	Regents	Lami	Hauons			
		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	399	380	420	33	43	41
Number Scoring 55–100	365	325	403	23	18	32
Number Scoring 65–100	300	284	367	15	12	18
Number Scoring 85–100	100	121	183	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	86%	96%	70%	42%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	75%	87%	45%	28%	44%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	32%	44%	0%	2%	2%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	450	476	387	40	58	45
Number Scoring 55–100	215	338	365	4	23	29
Number Scoring 65–100	152	276	322	3	18	17
Number Scoring 85–100	49	56	77	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	48%	71%	94%	10%	40%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	34%	58%	83%	7%	31%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	12%	20%	0%	0%	2%
1 ordering of 1 order storing of 100		athematics B	2070	0,0	0,0	270
Number Tested	0	61	167	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	58	152	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	56	130	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	16	40	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	95%	91%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	92%	78%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	26%	24%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	402	497	99	43	83	38
Number Scoring 55–100	359	429	62	29	51	14
Number Scoring 65–100	310	375	34	17	35	2
Number Scoring 85–100	70	111	3	2	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	86%	63%	67%	61%	37%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	75%	34%	40%	42%	5%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	22%	3%	5%	2%	0%
		ry and Gover			1.5	
Number Tested	427	325	301	34	42	33
Number Scoring 55–100	389	296	270	28	34	24
Number Scoring 65–100	310	265	240	18	25	19
Number Scoring 85–100	73	57	81	1	1	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	91%	90%	82%	81%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	82%	80%	53%	60%	58%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	18%	27%	3%	2%	12%

(Form - F)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Livin	g Environme	ent				
Number Tested	436	659	420	60	64	53	
Number Scoring 55–100	420	620	392	51	45	39	
Number Scoring 65–100	384	572	354	35	28	31	
Number Scoring 85–100	94	203	86	0	4	3	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	94%	93%	85%	70%	74%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	87%	84%	58%	44%	58%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	31%	20%	0%	6%	6%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	379	143	336	14	18	44	
Number Scoring 55–100	370	128	267	13	15	18	
Number Scoring 65–100	349	102	204	12	12	12	
Number Scoring 85–100	162	20	62	3	1	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	90%	79%	93%	83%	41%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	71%	61%	86%	67%	27%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	14%	18%	21%	6%	0%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	60	34	166	1	2	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	60	34	146	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	57	29	112	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	16	17	22	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	88%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	85%	67%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	50%	13%	#	#	#	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics				
Number Tested			158			1	
Number Scoring 55–100			148			#	
Number Scoring 65–100			131			#	
Number Scoring 85–100			51			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			94%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			83%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			32%			#	

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Fre			•	
Number Tested	60	58	37	3	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	60	58	37	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	51	53	36	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	8	24	15	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	91%	97%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	41%	41%	#	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	44	35	31	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	43	34	31	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	39	33	31	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	14	11	18	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	94%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	31%	58%	#	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	•	•	•
Number Tested	11	10	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	11	10	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	10	10	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	6	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	100%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	60%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew		1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			ı
Number Tested	122	140	115	0	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	120	134	112	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	116	128	110	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	68	79	75	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	97%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	91%	96%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	56%	56%	65%	0%	#	#
		rehensive La			J.	ı
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)		
Number Tested	234	145	20	3	4	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	220	112	18	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	206	94	14	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	82	31	0	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	77%	90%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	65%	70%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	21%	0%	#	#	0%	

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	141	99%	98	91%	15	100%	
Students with Disabilities	32	81%	26	58%	2	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	381	9%	9%	61%	20%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	64	52%	14%	34%	0%
	All Students	445	15%	10%	58%	17%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	365	5%	48%	43%	5%
<b>June 2004</b>	Students with Disabilities	76	20%	63%	17%	0%
	All Students	441	7%	50%	39%	4%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	3	1	#	#	#	#			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	3	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	3	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	3	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	347	347	347	27	27	27	374	374	374
Number Scoring 55–64	17	15	18	5	1	3	22	16	21
Number Scoring 65–84	228	139	182	11	4	11	239	143	193
Number Scoring 85–100	65	56	116	1	1	0	66	57	116
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities							
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K–1)										
Number Tested			3			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)	)		•				
Number Tested			3			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–	1)						
Number Tested			14			1				
Beginning (0–18)			0			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			2			#				
Advanced (32–36)			4			#				
Proficient (37–39)			8			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)	l						
Number Tested			14			1				
Beginning (0–14)			5			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			2			#				
Advanced (25–32)			4			#				
Proficient (33–35)			3			#				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	5)						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)	1						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	V TOTK State En	All Students	<u> </u>	Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			6			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0				
Advanced (32–36)			3			0				
Proficient (37–39)			2			0				
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)	)						
Number Tested			6			0				
Beginning (0–14)			1			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			3			0				
Advanced (25–32)			1			0				
Proficient (33–35)			1			0				
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)						
Number Tested			12			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0				
Advanced (32–36)			7			0				
Proficient (37–39)			3			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)										
Number Tested			12			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			6			0				
Advanced (25–32)			5			0				
Proficient (33–35)			1			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)