# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:41-28-01-04-0003Name:Westmoreland High SchoolPrincipal:Rocco Migliori

Grade Range : 9-12

## **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	123	122	119
Tenth	96	106	112
Eleventh	86	84	101
Twelfth	101	89	83
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	406	401	415

## **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	3	0.7%
Black (Not Hispanic)	3	0.7%	5	1.2%	6	1.4%
Hispanic	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	401	98.8%	393	98.0%	404	97.3%

## **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	7	24	11
Mathematics Grade 10	23	22	16
Science Grade 10	19	19	20
Social Studies Grade 10	24	21	19

(Form - A)

Westmoreland High School

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
51	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

## Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	54	13.3%	72	18.0%	90	21.7%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	2001-02		2–03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.5%		97.0%		97.0%
Student Suspensions	19	5.2%	27	6.7%	15	3.7%

## **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	6.2%	6.0%	4.6%
Public Assistance	21-30%	11-20%	11-20%
Student Stability	98%	100%	99%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003–04
Total Teachers	29
Total Other Professional Staff	5
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001-02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	91	50	55%	79	43	54%	77	45	58%	
Students with Disabilities	1	0	0%	5	1	20%	4	1	25%	
All Students	92	50	54%	84	44	52%	81	46	57%	

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	28	38	0	3	12	0
Percent	35%	47%	0%	4%	15%	0%

## Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
4	1	2	6

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

## **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	4		2		2	0.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	9		8		3	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	13		10		5	1.3%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		1	3.8%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		1	3.8%
All	Dropped Out	4	1.0%	2	0.5%	2	0.5%
All Students	Entered GED Program*	9	2.2%	8	2.0%	4	1.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	13	3.2%	10	2.5%	6	1.4%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## **Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12**

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	383	372	389
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	23	29	27
9-12	Number of All Students	406	401	416
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Drogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	31	97%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	39	100%	0	0%	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		200	2-03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	2	#
Science	0	0%	1	#	1	#
Reading	2	#	1	#	1	#
Writing	2	#	1	#	2	#
Global Studies	0	0%	3	#	1	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	3	#

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001-02		200	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	1	#	1	#	
Science	3	#	4	#	2	#	
Reading	3	#	3	#	4	#	
Writing	3	#	3	#	3	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	3	#	2	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	4	#	3	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students	1		nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng	Í	I	1	
Number Tested	90	98	104	5	5	5
Number Scoring 55–100	70	91	99	2	3	2
Number Scoring 65–100	57	88	98	2	2	2
Number Scoring 85–100	17	32	55	1	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	78%	93%	95%	40%	60%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	63%	90%	94%	40%	40%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	33%	53%	20%	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	76	102	131	2	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	60	87	127	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	52	65	119	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	21	4	27	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	85%	97%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	64%	91%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	4%	21%	#	#	#
		athematics <b>B</b>			1	
Number Tested	0	10	44	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	10	44	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	8	43	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	2	6	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	80%	98%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	20%	14%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	070	070
Number Tested	105	103	117	7	6	2
Number Scoring 55–100	103	96	103	7	4	#
Number Scoring 65–100	86	89	93	3	3	#
Number Scoring 85–100	9	26	31	0	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	93%	88%	100%	67%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	86%	79%	43%	50%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	25%	26%	0%	17%	#
releeninge of rested beoring of 100		ory and Gover		070	1770	п
Number Tested	104	89	101	7	4	7
Number Scoring 55–100	93	86	94	5	#	3
Number Scoring 65–100	77	80	94	3	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	17	35	49	1	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	97%	93%	71%	#	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	97%	93%	43%	#	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	39%	49%	43% 14%	#	43% 0%
recentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	10%	39%	49%	14%	#	(Earma

(Form – F)

	Regents			1		L:1:4:00
	2001_02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
N		g Environme		4	4	2
Number Tested	71	66	89	4	4	3
Number Scoring 55–100	68	66	87	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	61	63	83	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	4	13	15	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	100%	98%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	95%	93%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	20%	17%	#	#	#
		etting/Earth		•	1	1
Number Tested	109	111	95	8	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	98	108	95	4	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	87	100	86	2	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	31	40	33	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	97%	100%	50%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	90%	91%	25%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	28%	36%	35%	0%	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	67	72	78	2	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	60	64	75	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	35	52	66	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	6	4	7	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	89%	96%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	52%	72%	85%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	6%	9%	#	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics	•		
Number Tested			34			0
Number Scoring 55–100			31			0
Number Scoring 65–100			27			0
Number Scoring 85–100			3			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			91%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			79%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			9%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Negents					1 •1• 4 •
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	29	0	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	28	0	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	24	0	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	0	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	0%	80%	0%	0%	0%
N. 1. T. 1		rehensive Ita		0		0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		•	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	prew		-	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	27	0	48	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	27	0	47	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	26	0	46	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	15	0	24	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	98%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	0%	96%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	56%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%
ų ų		rehensive La		-	•	•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
					- / *	(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	69	35	3	0	1	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	55	29	#	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	44	28	#	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	17	16	#	0	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	83%	#	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	64%	80%	#	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	46%	#	0%	#	0%				

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
36	97%	39	92%	6	100%
1	#	3	#	1	#
	No. Tested		No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

# **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
-	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of S	Count of Students							
Test	Not Tested	Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

## **2000** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	75	75	75	5	5	5	80	80	80
Number Scoring 55–64	1	3	5	0	0	0	1	3	5
Number Scoring 65–84	62	36	44	2	2	1	64	38	45
Number Scoring 85–100	9	34	26	0	0	1	9	34	27
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)