New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 42-18-00-01-0033 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Corcoran High School

Principal: Brian Nolan

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	483	512	392
Tenth	330	313	378
Eleventh	270	274	305
Twelfth	198	204	366
Ungraded Secondary	129	134	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1410	1437	1441

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	1–02	2002–03		2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	15	1.1%	19	1.3%	23	1.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	751	53.3%	805	56.0%	872	60.5%
Hispanic	24	1.7%	32	2.2%	45	3.1%
White (Not Hispanic)	620	44.0%	581	40.4%	501	34.8%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	1verage Class Size							
Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04					
Kindergarten	0	0	0					
Common Branch	0	0	0					
English Grade 8	0	0	0					
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0					
Science Grade 8	0	0	0					
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0					
English Grade 10	21	24	25					
Mathematics Grade 10	24	29	23					
Science Grade 10	0	27	28					
Social Studies Grade 10	23	22	22					

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
	This is one of the large city school districts; Buffalo, Rochester,
2	Syracuse, or Yonkers. All these districts have high student needs
	relative to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
40	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

8 1								
	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04			
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Eligible for Free Lunch	481	34.1%	540	37.6%	531	36.9%		

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		88.7%		89.2%		90.2%
Student Suspensions	283	19.6%	294	20.9%	273	19.0%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Reduced Lunch	9.3%	9.0%	9.9%
Public Assistance	41-50%	31-40%	51-60%
Student Stability	95%	95%	66%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	97
Total Other Professional Staff	7
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	9

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

		2001–02			2002–03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	161	61	38%	190	71	37%	6	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	5	5	100%	24	4	17%	2	0	0%	
All Students	166	66	40%	214	75	35%	8	0	0%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	1	7	0	0	0	0
Percent	12%	88%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
2	0	4	6

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	31		38		49	4.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	53		0		27	2.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	84		38		76	6.2%
Students	Dropped Out	14		16		8	2.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	13		0		4	1.2%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	27		16		12	3.5%
All	Dropped Out	45	3.2%	54	3.8%	57	3.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	66	4.7%	0	0.0%	31	2.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	111	7.9%	54	3.8%	88	5.6%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades		2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	1169	0
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	134	0
9-12	Number of All Students	0	1303	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	91%	0%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Dugguer	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	8	75%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	4	#	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	401	78%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2003	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	34	74%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	2	#	3	#	
Science	7	0%	2	#	3	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	
Global Studies	1	#	3	#	6	17%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	3	#	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Statelite With Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	29	10%	49	61%	44	61%			
Science	19	0%	38	63%	38	45%			
Reading	3	#	6	17%	13	31%			
Writing	12	67%	2	#	14	71%			
Global Studies	18	17%	30	47%	31	32%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	5	40%	9	67%	11	45%			

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

		All Ctudonto		C141	4 44 10	
	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	275	275	294	31	27	49
Number Scoring 55–100	242	229	252	28	18	34
Number Scoring 65–100	187	202	201	17	12	21
Number Scoring 85–100	54	86	89	1	3	6
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	83%	86%	90%	67%	69%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	73%	68%	55%	44%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	31%	30%	3%	11%	12%
	M	athematics A			•	•
Number Tested	136	15	409	19	1	63
Number Scoring 55–100	16	10	296	0	#	39
Number Scoring 65–100	6	4	172	0	#	20
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	12	0	#	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	12%	67%	72%	0%	#	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	4%	27%	42%	0%	#	32%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	3%	0%	#	3%
referring of Tested Scoting of Too		athematics B	270	070		270
Number Tested	0	4	19	0	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	9	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	8	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	47%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	42%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	#	#
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	358	375	381	39	64	65
Number Scoring 55–100	271	175	252	22	31	37
Number Scoring 65–100	182	131	170	14	17	25
Number Scoring 85–100	42	25	34	2	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	76%	47%	66%	56%	48%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	51%	35%	45%	36%	27%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	7%	9%	5%	2%	2%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	nment		•	
Number Tested	249	312	291	24	28	47
Number Scoring 55–100	196	242	196	20	19	29
Number Scoring 65–100	139	197	135	11	13	18
Number Scoring 85–100	19	52	40	0	3	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	78%	67%	83%	68%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	56%	63%	46%	46%	46%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	17%	14%	0%	11%	11%

 $\frac{1170}{(Form - F)}$

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	369	414	419	42	65	103
Number Scoring 55–100	349	297	282	39	45	52
Number Scoring 65–100	311	236	182	31	29	30
Number Scoring 85–100	86	48	30	4	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	72%	67%	93%	69%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	57%	43%	74%	45%	29%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	12%	7%	10%	2%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	147	219	238	4	22	32
Number Scoring 55–100	132	122	140	#	10	14
Number Scoring 65–100	112	102	101	#	8	9
Number Scoring 85–100	17	22	17	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	56%	59%	#	45%	44%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	47%	42%	#	36%	28%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	10%	7%	#	0%	3%
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	154	218	134	6	7	5
Number Scoring 55–100	106	115	102	2	3	2
Number Scoring 65–100	55	64	57	2	2	2
Number Scoring 85–100	5	9	7	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	69%	53%	76%	33%	43%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	36%	29%	43%	33%	29%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	4%	5%	0%	14%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			63			3
Number Scoring 55–100			53			#
Number Scoring 65–100			44			#
Number Scoring 85–100			6			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			84%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			70%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			10%			#

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			1	1
Number Tested	29	0	0	2	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	27	0	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	22	0	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	10	0	0	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital			•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	190	0	206	6	0	17
Number Scoring 55–100	169	0	168	4	0	14
Number Scoring 65–100	148	0	133	3	0	3
Number Scoring 85–100	55	0	39	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	0%	82%	67%	0%	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	0%	65%	50%	0%	18%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	0%	19%	0%	0%	12%
		rehensive La				l
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	140	146	0	6	4	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	94	80	0	6	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	79	64	0	5	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	30	9	0	1	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	67%	55%	0%	100%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	56%	44%	0%	83%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	6%	0%	17%	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001	1–02	2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	42	83%	0	0%	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	20	70%	0	0%	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4	
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies 0 0 0 0 0										
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	5	0	0	0	2	3				
Social Studies	5	0	0	2	1	2				
Mathematics	5	0	0	1	0	4				
Science	5	0	0	1	0	4				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	234	234	234	46	46	46	280	280	280
Number Scoring 55–64	44	36	17	9	4	5	53	40	22
Number Scoring 65–84	123	120	125	9	10	16	132	130	141
Number Scoring 85–100	37	46	75	2	5	3	39	51	78
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)