New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 43-03-00-05-0000

Name: Canandaigua City School District

Superintendent: Stephen J. Uebbing

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	32	32
Kindergarten	275	283	248
First	300	281	280
Second	292	306	259
Third	298	302	305
Fourth	325	298	302
Fifth	329	337	298
Sixth	310	356	349
Ungraded Elementary	68	0	40
Seventh	331	322	368
Eighth	342	330	320
Ninth	322	342	343
Tenth	368	330	341
Eleventh	328	348	333
Twelfth	286	338	368
Ungraded Secondary	42	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	4216	4173	4154

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

9	200	1–02	2002	2002-03		3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	52	1.2%	52	1.2%	50	1.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	95	2.3%	96	2.3%	93	2.2%
Hispanic	27	0.6%	27	0.6%	40	1.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	4042	95.9%	3998	95.8%	3971	95.6%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Avel age Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	16	16	15						
Common Branch	20	20	20						
English Grade 8	21	17	16						
Mathematics Grade 8	20	19	19						
Science Grade 8	20	22	21						
Social Studies Grade 8	22	22	21						
English Grade 10	20	21	18						
Mathematics Grade 10	20	17	18						
Science Grade 10	21	22	18						
Social Studies Grade 10	20	20	20						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

3 1	200	2001–02		2-03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	2	0.1%	1	0.0%	9	0.2%
Eligible for Free Lunch	438	10.4%	370	8.9%	412	9.9%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.4%		95.4%		96.1%
Student Suspensions	66	1.6%	79	1.9%	66	1.6%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	5.7%	5.0%	5.0%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Start Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	330				
Total Other Professional Staff	50				
Total Paraprofessionals	153				
Teaching Out of Certification*	1				

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

		<u> </u>								
	2001–02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	229	188	82%	253	215	85%	298	242	81%	
Students with Disabilities	15	6	40%	18	6	33%	40	8	20%	
All Students	244	194	80%	271	221	82%	338	250	74%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	136	151	2	11	36	2
Percent	40%	45%	1%	3%	11%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
40	8	20	60

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	200	1–02	2002–03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	16		14		19	1.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	20		10		24	2.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	36		24		43	3.6%
Students	Dropped Out	5		4		7	3.1%
with	Entered GED Program*	4		0		5	2.2%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	9		4		12	5.4%
All	Dropped Out	21	1.6%	18	1.3%	26	1.8%
Students	Entered GED Program*	24	1.8%	10	0.7%	29	2.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	45	3.3%	28	2.1%	55	3.9%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	855	528	729
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	129	72	153
0-8	Number of All Students	984	600	882
	Percent of Enrollment	98%	60%	84%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	572	1209
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	78	176
9–12	Number of All Students	0	650	1385
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	48%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	44		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	44	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	42	95%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	44	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	44		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	44	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	1	10%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	0		19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	90	93%	44	93%	94	91%
German	47	98%	58	93%	29	93%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	153	92%	147	96%	138	78%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	1	#	2	#	2	#	
German	2	#	0	0%	1	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	4	#	4	#	1	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	7	100%	3	#	6	83%	
Science	2	#	0	0%	17	94%	
Reading	6	100%	0	0%	3	#	
Writing	5	100%	0	0%	4	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	6	50%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	1	#	3	#	

Students with Disabilities

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	25	88%	6	100%	41	83%			
Science	3	#	2	#	44	82%			
Reading	12	75%	0	0%	23	87%			
Writing	13	77%	1	#	25	96%			
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	18	39%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	4	#	6	83%			

(Form - E)

	Negents	LAAIIII	mations	,		
		All Students	3	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	367	323	307	28	35	35
Number Scoring 55–100	359	312	301	21	30	32
Number Scoring 65–100	348	290	282	16	18	26
Number Scoring 85–100	227	137	140	6	2	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	98%	75%	86%	91%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	90%	92%	57%	51%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	42%	46%	21%	6%	9%
		athematics A				.1
Number Tested	170	302	322	36	44	38
Number Scoring 55–100	136	284	317	20	31	34
Number Scoring 65–100	100	260	308	11	18	27
Number Scoring 85–100	16	124	178	1	1	8
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	94%	98%	56%	70%	89%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	59%	86%	96%	31%	41%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	41%	55%	3%	2%	21%
1 orderings of 1 october 5 oct 100		athematics B	2070	270		
Number Tested	0	53	98	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	53	97	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	53	96	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	30	59	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	99%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	98%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	57%	60%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				.1
Number Tested	334	325	337	39	50	39
Number Scoring 55–100	324	304	308	33	38	26
Number Scoring 65–100	307	275	290	27	29	19
Number Scoring 85–100	122	122	136	3	5	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	94%	91%	85%	76%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	85%	86%	69%	58%	49%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	38%	40%	8%	10%	8%
		ry and Gover	rnment		J.	<u>.L</u>
Number Tested	317	306	234	31	35	27
Number Scoring 55–100	306	300	221	26	32	23
Number Scoring 65–100	291	294	209	24	30	23
Number Scoring 85–100	107	178	96	4	5	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	94%	84%	91%	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	96%	89%	77%	86%	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	58%	41%	13%	14%	19%
	2 . , 0	1 2070		10,0	1 . , , ,	1770

(Form – F)

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2001-02 2002-03 2003-04		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	310	324	435	48	18	42
Number Scoring 55–100	310	322	425	48	17	34
Number Scoring 65–100	305	318	408	48	16	25
Number Scoring 85–100	124	112	160	9	4	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	100%	94%	81%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	98%	94%	100%	89%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	35%	37%	19%	22%	7%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	283	223	195	25	27	34
Number Scoring 55–100	269	215	184	21	24	27
Number Scoring 65–100	254	211	167	16	22	19
Number Scoring 85–100	132	138	59	2	6	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	96%	94%	84%	89%	79%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	95%	86%	64%	81%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	62%	30%	8%	22%	6%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	176	206	113	3	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	176	203	113	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	160	181	105	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	55	53	46	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	88%	93%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	26%	41%	#	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			67			1
Number Scoring 55–100			67			#
Number Scoring 65–100			63			#
Number Scoring 85–100			26			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			94%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			39%			#

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents	Exami	nations			
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Fre	nch			
Number Tested	90	72	61	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	90	72	60	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	89	72	58	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	23	43	33	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	95%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	60%	54%	0%	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	32	20	40	0	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	32	20	39	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	32	20	38	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	12	8	22	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	97%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	95%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	40%	55%	0%	#	#
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa		_		
Number Tested	94	89	109	2	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	94	89	109	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	94	89	109	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	65	59	78	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	69%	66%	72%	#	#	#
		rehensive La			1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	181	135	0	2	4	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	181	133	0	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	176	129	0	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	140	66	0	#	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	0%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	96%	0%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	77%	49%	0%	#	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	151	99%	117	96%	73	99%	
Students with Disabilities	32	94%	18	89%	17	94%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	251	2%	4%	55%	39%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	50	42%	14%	40%	4%
	All Students	301	9%	5%	53%	33%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	262	0%	22%	55%	23%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	57	5%	58%	35%	2%
	All Students	319	1%	28%	51%	19%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	3	1	#	#	#	#			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary l	Level						
English Language Arts	4	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	4	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	4	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	4	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	268	268	268	58	58	58	326	326	326
Number Scoring 55–64	4	1	1	5	3	2	9	4	3
Number Scoring 65–84	144	85	97	22	22	29	166	107	126
Number Scoring 85–100	113	165	167	2	3	2	115	168	169
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Stude	nts with Disab	Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade K–1)							
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)						
Number Tested			3			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			3			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	W TOTK State En	All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	3)	I	l	
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			3			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)			
Number Tested			3			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)