## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:44-12-01-06-0000Name:Monroe-Woodbury Central School DistrictSuperintendent:Frank L. Moscati

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	476	470	495
First	521	499	486
Second	514	526	498
Third	540	560	555
Fourth	535	560	564
Fifth	575	551	568
Sixth	609	592	565
Ungraded Elementary	27	25	23
Seventh	616	627	601
Eighth	555	615	624
Ninth	588	638	628
Tenth	512	514	579
Eleventh	473	516	560
Twelfth	441	471	509
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	6982	7164	7255

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	266	3.8%	299	4.2%	311	4.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	213	3.1%	234	3.3%	278	3.8%
Hispanic	729	10.4%	791	11.0%	831	11.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	5774	82.7%	5840	81.5%	5835	80.4%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	22	22	23
Common Branch	24	23	24
English Grade 8	23	23	23
Mathematics Grade 8	26	24	24
Science Grade 8	26	24	24
Social Studies Grade 8	26	24	24
English Grade 10	23	26	25
Mathematics Grade 10	23	24	20
Science Grade 10	25	24	23
Social Studies Grade 10	24	24	25

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2002-03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	92	1.3%	80	1.1%	105	1.5%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	215	3.1%	251	3.5%	300	4.1%	

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.8%		94.7%		95.1%
Student Suspensions	139	2.0%	181	2.6%	181	2.5%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	2.5%	2.5%	2.4%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	519				
Total Other Professional Staff	74				
Total Paraprofessionals	131				
Teaching Out of Certification*	5				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

0	2001–02			-	2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	354	270	76%	420	323	77%	462	347	75%	
Students with Disabilities	57	10	18%	14	2	14%	10	0	0%	
All Students	411	280	68%	434	325	75%	472	347	74%	

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	271	134	17	8	33	9
Percent	57%	28%	4%	2%	7%	2%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
10	0	7	17

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	18		20		8	0.4%
Education	Entered GED Program*	14		25		24	1.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	32		45		32	1.6%
Students	Dropped Out	1		5		3	0.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	7		5		2	0.6%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	8		10		5	1.5%
All	Dropped Out	19	0.9%	25	1.2%	11	0.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	21	1.0%	30	1.4%	26	1.1%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	40	2.0%	55	2.6%	37	1.5%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4 5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	150	536	1525
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	275
0-0	Number of All Students	150	536	1800
	Percent of Enrollment	8%	29%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	343	382	511
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	69	62	72
9–12	Number of All Students	412	444	583
	Percent of Enrollment	20%	21%	26%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	40	90%	0	0%	45	96%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	90	94%	0	0%	96	95%	
Latin	30	90%	0	0%	48	96%	
Spanish	346	92%	0	0%	388	86%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	2	#
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	2	#	0	0%	2	#
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	4	#
Spanish	23	70%	0	0%	19	74%

## **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	4	#	0	0%
Science	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	1	#	3	#	2	#
Writing	1	#	0	0%	2	#
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	1	#	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	16	88%	74	85%	1	#	
Science	9	44%	50	54%	3	#	
Reading	4	#	13	77%	0	0%	
Writing	4	#	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	2	#	64	55%	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	5	80%	17	59%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			1	T
Number Tested	457	486	570	53	38	77
Number Scoring 55–100	441	463	541	43	32	57
Number Scoring 65–100	406	422	515	24	19	49
Number Scoring 85–100	218	206	269	5	0	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	95%	95%	81%	84%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	87%	90%	45%	50%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	42%	47%	9%	0%	6%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	600	599	609	72	91	86
Number Scoring 55–100	484	532	598	27	61	76
Number Scoring 65–100	391	464	574	14	41	64
Number Scoring 85–100	164	174	311	0	3	11
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	89%	98%	38%	67%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	65%	77%	94%	19%	45%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	29%	51%	0%	3%	13%
	M	athematics <b>B</b>		•		
Number Tested	0	5	138	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	5	136	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	3	133	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	50	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	99%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	60%	96%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	36%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy	•	•	
Number Tested	523	531	585	63	72	84
Number Scoring 55–100	500	491	547	52	48	65
Number Scoring 65–100	462	450	495	30	34	45
Number Scoring 85–100	161	181	188	1	8	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	92%	94%	83%	67%	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	85%	85%	48%	47%	54%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	34%	32%	2%	11%	6%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gove	rnment		•	
Number Tested	485	518	537	61	58	70
Number Scoring 55–100	468	499	499	55	51	50
Number Scoring 65–100	423	475	467	35	42	37
Number Scoring 85–100	134	226	194	3	3	10
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	96%	93%	90%	88%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	92%	87%	57%	72%	53%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	44%	36%	5%	5%	14%
	2070	. 170	20/0	270	270	(Earm

(Form - F)

	Regents			0			
		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Livin	<u>g Environme</u>					
Number Tested	441	444	535	18	21	43	
Number Scoring 55–100	441	442	525	18	21	42	
Number Scoring 65–100	437	436	507	18	21	38	
Number Scoring 85–100	176	166	176	1	5	3	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	98%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	98%	95%	100%	100%	88%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	37%	33%	6%	24%	7%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science	-	-		
Number Tested	548	583	639	89	79	86	
Number Scoring 55–100	528	539	581	75	52	50	
Number Scoring 65–100	494	502	544	57	42	42	
Number Scoring 85–100	226	227	205	7	3	5	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	92%	91%	84%	66%	58%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	90%	86%	85%	64%	53%	49%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	39%	32%	8%	4%	6%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	381	377	381	13	3	9	
Number Scoring 55–100	374	359	349	12	#	7	
Number Scoring 65–100	325	311	272	5	#	6	
Number Scoring 85–100	48	60	48	0	#	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	95%	92%	92%	#	78%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	82%	71%	38%	#	67%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	13%	16%	13%	0%	#	11%	
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	sics				
Number Tested			237			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			227			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			210			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			63			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			96%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			89%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			27%			0%	

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			<u> </u>	0
Number Tested	26	37	31	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	26	37	31	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	26	37	31	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	12	24	20	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	65%	65%	#	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita	1		-	
Number Tested	48	47	67	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	48	47	67	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	48	47	67	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	31	32	42	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	65%	68%	63%	0%	0%	#
		ehensive Ger		•	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb		•	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa			•	•
Number Tested	255	281	238	6	8	7
Number Scoring 55–100	255	279	234	6	7	7
Number Scoring 65–100	254	273	229	6	7	7
Number Scoring 85–100	152	183	110	2	4	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	100%	88%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	97%	96%	100%	88%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	65%	46%	33%	50%	43%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	28	34	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	28	34	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	28	34	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	17	26	19	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	61%	76%	83%	0%	0%	0%
						(Form –

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04			
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
283	99%	340	95%	0	0%
49	92%	56	63%	0	0%
	<b>No. Tested</b> 283	No. Tested % Passing   283 99%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   283 99% 340	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   283 99% 340 95%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   283 99% 340 95% 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	480	1%	2%	43%	55%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	88	2%	5%	68%	25%
	All Students	568	1%	2%	47%	50%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	529	0%	22%	55%	22%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	90	8%	62%	27%	3%
	All Students	619	1%	28%	51%	20%

(Form – I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
		Elementary	Level	•					
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
		Middle Le	vel	•					
Social Studies	5	1	0	1	1	3			
		Secondary I	Level	•					
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	482	482	482	29	29	29	511	511	511
Number Scoring 55–64	29	19	15	5	2	5	34	21	20
Number Scoring 65–84	270	216	255	5	6	9	275	222	264
Number Scoring 85–100	156	223	199	0	0	0	156	223	199
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Stude	ents with Disab	oilities	
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)	I	
Number Tested			33			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			9			0
Advanced (32–36)			20			0
Proficient (37–39)			4			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ig (Grade K–1	)		
Number Tested			33			0
Beginning (0–14)			13			0
Intermediate (15–24)			8			0
Advanced (25–32)			9			0
Proficient (33–35)			3			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–	4)		
Number Tested			23			6
Beginning (0–18)			3			2
Intermediate (19–31)			3			0
Advanced (32–36)			8			1
Proficient (37–39)			9			3
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			23			6
Beginning (0–14)			7			2
Intermediate (15–24)			12			4
Advanced (25–32)			3			0
Proficient (33–35)			1			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–	<u>6)</u>		-
Number Tested			13			2
Beginning (0–18)			0			#
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#
Advanced (32–36)			2			#
Proficient (37–39)			10			#
	Read	ing and Writin				
Number Tested			13			2
Beginning (0–14)			1			#
Intermediate (15–24)			1			#
Advanced (25–32)			11			#
Proficient (33–35)		02.02.5.1.5.1.5	0	ta data famali a		#

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

	w York State Eng	All Students			ents with Disab	
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	B)		
Number Tested			15			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			6			0
Advanced (32–36)			4			0
Proficient (37–39)			5			0
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)	-		
Number Tested			15			0
Beginning (0–14)			1			0
Intermediate (15–24)			10			0
Advanced (25–32)			2			0
Proficient (33–35)			2			0
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			20			0
Beginning (0–18)			2			0
Intermediate (19–31)			4			0
Advanced (32–36)			9			0
Proficient (37–39)			5			0
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)		
Number Tested			20			0
Beginning (0–14)			2			0
Intermediate (15–24)			7			0
Advanced (25–32)			7			0
Proficient (33–35)			4			0

**x**7 1 04 4  $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ 1. 1 C 1 т A 1 . 4 **T** . ATTACE ATTA

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)